## In Re: USACE Pearl River Flood Risk Management

**Transcript of 2:00 Meeting** 

July 10, 2024

All depositions & exhibits are available for downloading at <u><<www.brookscourtreporting.com>></u> Please call or e-mail depo@brookscourtreporting.com if you need a **Username** and **Password.** 



Mississippi - Louisiana - Tennessee - New York 1-800-245-3376 TRANSCRIPT OF THE HEARING OF USACE PEARL RIVER FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT PROJECT MEETING JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI

DATE: JULY 10, 2024, AT 2:00 P.M.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers - Vicksburg District Public Meeting for the Pearl River Flood Risk Management Project

Held at the Mississippi Public

Broadcasting Auditorium at

3825 Ridgewood Road,

Jackson, Mississippi,

Beginning at 2:00 p.m.

**REPORTED BY:** 

ELLA J. HARDWICK, CVR-M, CCR #1749

Jackson Gulfport

**APPEARANCES:** Members of the Army Corps of Engineers: Mr. Thomas R. Shaw Ms. Robyn Colosimo Colonel Christopher Klein Mr. Brandon Davis Mr. Keith Turner 

1	MR. SHAW: Good afternoon, everybody.
2	Welcome to the public meeting for the
3	Pearl River Flood Risk Reduction Project.
4	The meeting is for the purpose to discuss
5	the draft environmental impact statement.
б	My name is Tom Shaw. I'm the project
7	manager for the Vicksburg District. I'm
8	going to introduce some of our speakers
9	here at the table, but I would like to
10	take an opportunity to recognize a few
11	people that are here in the audience, and
12	we appreciate you all coming today.
13	Beginning with Supervisor Robert
14	Graham from Hinds County. He is also
15	these people are on the board of the Pearl
16	River the Rankin-Hinds Pearl River
17	Flood Control and Drainage District. So
18	Supervisor Graham. Mayor Clay Burns from
19	the City of Richland, Mayor Gary Rhoads,
20	who's the president, I believe, from the
21	City of Flowood, Mayor Jake Windham from
22	the City of Pearl, and Supervisor Steve
23	Gaines from Rankin County.
24	Also joining us today is Anna Thames
25	with Senator Hyde-Smith's office and Brad

1	Ferguson with Senator Wicker's office. If
2	there are any other public officials or
3	people representing any of our elected
4	officials, if you're here, and would like
5	to make yourself known yet, please do so
б	at this time.
7	MR. MCGOWAN: Bobby McGowan, Hinds
8	County.
9	MR. SHAW: Thank you, sir.
10	Appreciate you being here.
11	UNIDENTIFIED: (Indiscernible).
12	MR. SHAW: Thank you, sir.
13	Appreciate it. Anyone else? Okay, so
14	let's see if we can get this thing to
15	work.
16	And so, the introductions that I'd
17	like to make for folks at the table here,
18	being on the far end is Keith Turner, who
19	is representing the Rankin-Hinds Board;
20	Colonel Jeremiah Gipson, the Vicksburg
21	District Commander; Robyn Colosimo, she is
22	with the ASA's office and responsibility
23	for planning and project review, I
24	believe, policy review; Mr. Eric Bush,
25	chief of planning at headquarters with the

1	United States Army Corps of Engineers;
2	and, Brandon Davis, with the Regional
3	Planning and Environmental
4	MR. DAVIS: South Group.
5	MR. SHAW: South Group Division.
6	Excuse me. I lost the beat.
7	So, anyway, the agenda kind of looks
8	like this. The way that we anticipate the
9	flow of this meeting is it will be
10	there will be kind of an information
11	meeting at the beginning, and when we get
12	through the presentation, we'll have an
13	opportunity for people to come down to ask
14	questions in the mic.
15	And I would just remind everybody that
16	this is part of the public record. And
17	so, we will the videos of these public
18	meetings will be posted on our project
19	website, along with the transcripts, and
20	the presentation will ultimately be posted
21	on the project website.
22	And we'll have that information in
23	just a minute so that you can actually see
24	where you can find it, get your phone out,
25	take a snapshot, that kind of thing.

1 There's also a QR code on here as well. 2 So let's begin with the -- let's begin 3 with the message from our outgoing 4 Commander. Those of you that were at our 5 scoping meetings back in May of '23, Colonel Christopher Klein was our 6 7 commander then, but he's moved on to another assignment, and Colonel Jeremiah 8 9 Gipson is our new Commander. And let's 10 watch the video. Hopefully the audio is going to work on this. See if we're still 11 12 plugged in. May have to have a technical 13 person figure it out. Of course, it did work before we did this. 14 15 (TRANSCRIPTION OF VIDEO.) 16 In 2024, the Vicksburg COL. KLEIN: 17 District published a Draft Environmental 18 Impact Study, a pivotal step in our 19 journey towards mitigating the flood risks 20 plaguing the Pearl River Basin. 21 Publishing the Pearl River Basin DEIS 22 forms a significant milestone in our 23 collaborative efforts with the community 24 and local leaders to deliver a flood risk 25 management strategy tailored to the unique

1	needs of the City of Jackson and its
2	surrounding areas.
3	We're committed to providing a
4	solution that manages flood risk and, at
5	the same time, enables a wide range of
6	additional benefits. It was an honor to
7	lead the team through the publication of
8	this important document.
9	My time in the Vicksburg District,
10	however, has come to an end, as the Army's
11	transitioned me to another role in a
12	district serving our war fighters, allies,
13	and partners in the Middle East. The good
14	news, though, is that the Army chose a
15	very capable leader to continue the good
16	work in the District. I'd like to
17	introduce Colonel Jeremiah Gipson.
18	Colonel Gipson most recently served as
19	Deputy of the Mississippi Valley Division
20	in Vicksburg and has been following the
21	development of this plan for months.
22	Colonel Gipson Jeremiah, welcome.
23	COL. JEREMIAH: Thank you, Chris
24	Klein, and for your dedication and
25	leadership. To our partners, I assure you

1	that the mission entrusted to us will
2	continue with the same vigor and result.
3	Together, we will navigate the
4	complexities of the Pearl River Basin with
5	diligence and foresight.
6	For decades, flooding along the Pearl
7	River has disrupted lives and livelihoods.
8	Various proposals have been presented, yet
9	none garnered definitive support. In July
10	2022, the Rankin-Hinds Pearl River Flood
11	and Drainage Control District presented a
12	locally preferred plan to the Assistant
13	Secretary of the Army for Civil Works, the
14	Honorable Michael Connor. This set the
15	stage for the Corps involvement.
16	Secretary Connor tasked us with
17	bridging data gaps, identifying the
18	National Economic Development Plan, and
19	evaluating the merits of that plan.
20	Through extensive public engagement, we've
21	gained valuable insights ranging from the
22	need for recreational areas, concerns
23	about water quality, and existing concerns
24	in the lower Pearl River.
25	As we move forward, your engagement is

1	critical. We invite you to attend our
2	scheduled public meetings or submit your
3	thoughts via email or mail. Your voices
4	will shape the future of flood risk
5	management in our region.
6	In closing, I urge each of you to
7	participate. Together, we can forge a
8	resilient future for those who are
9	affected by the Pearl River Basin and
10	those who rely on its many benefits.
11	Thank you.
12	(END OF VIDEO.)
13	MR. SHAW: Okay. I mentioned earlier
14	a QR code. Those of you that use
15	smartphones with QR codes, you can see
16	there is a QR code up there. And so,
17	there is a schedule for our meetings.
18	This is the first one.
19	We've got meetings scheduled, another
20	one in Jackson this afternoon, but at the
21	Mississippi Trade Mart. There'll be a
22	meeting tomorrow morning at 11:00 in
23	Slidell, Louisiana, and then we will close
24	out at 6:00 p.m. in Monticello, so,
25	please, if you've got an opportunity to

1	attend.
2	The purpose of these meetings, yes, we
3	do want to explain what we've done to
4	date, but we also want to hear from you.
5	It is vital that we get the information
б	from those that are local here in the
7	area.
8	So, Rules of Engagement, how are we
9	going to conduct ourselves. I would ask
10	that when we get through the presentations
11	and it's time for people to come up and
12	speak at the mic, I would just ask that
13	you please wait until you are given
14	permission. I will grant permission for
15	you to speak then.
16	I would also ask unfortunately,
17	because of the number of people that we
18	expect to actually talk, we need to limit
19	your questions to about three minutes
20	apiece. I know that doesn't seem quite
21	long enough, but that is what we actually
22	have to do.
23	I would also ask that you please be
24	respectful and not to be offensive to
25	anybody here in the audience. We would

1	ask that you avoid interruptions of the
2	panel moderator and the fellow attendees.
3	This is only one of the ways that we can
4	transfer information to you. We have a
5	project website. It's not shown here.
6	It'll come up in just a minute.
7	But here's one of the ways that we can
8	collect comments. One would be the
9	comment cards that are out in the lobby
10	when you first came in. We would love for
11	you to write a question there. Your
12	questions will become part of the public
13	record. We will respond to those, and
14	they'll all be posted to the project
15	website.
16	Of course, you can write in by US
17	mail. The address is right there in terms
18	of how you would address the mail. And
19	then there's also an email, that the
20	PearlRiverFRM@usace.army.mil. Any of
21	those abilities to comment, any of those
22	will become part of the record, and it'll
23	be responded to.
24	Okay. At this time, I'm going to ask
25	Robyn Colosimo to discuss our meeting

1	purpose.
2	MS. COLOSIMO: Can you hear me? Hi,
3	I'm Robyn Colosimo, and I'm the Deputy
4	Assistant Secretary of Project Planning
5	and Review and the Assistant Secretary of
6	the Army for the Civil Works Office.
7	What does that mean? My boss, Mike
8	Conner, who's already been referenced,
9	oversees the Corps of Engineers as a
10	matter of policy oversight. So we are a
11	small office that oversees the Corps of
12	Engineers civil works program.
13	My job here is to make sure we're
14	hearing from you all directly, and I want
15	to thank you for your time. It's hugely
16	important to all of us, and I know during
17	the week in particular is an investment in
18	time. Thank you for being here, thank you
19	for being present, and thank you for the
20	input you're going to provide. It's
21	invaluable.
22	Our main goal really is to hear from
23	the public, whether it's today or through
24	the email or through all the sessions. We
25	are really valuing input always. But this

1	process has been pretty dynamic so far,
2	and we know we're at a place where we want
3	to find those good solutions that
4	represent the community's broader
5	interests.
6	Overarchingly, the work reflected in
7	this DEIS was really guided by my boss in
8	the memo that Mr. Shaw already referenced.
9	Why is that? It is unusual because we
10	actually have an authority that directs my
11	boss to make a decision about potential
12	investment alternatives within
13	implementing authorities that was afforded
14	money during the bipartisan infrastructure
15	law to allow an implementation, if we can
16	find that implementable solution.
17	That work seeks to resolve the decades
18	of repetitive flooding here in Jackson
19	within the Pearl drainage and take
20	advantage of those bipartisan
21	infrastructure law funds. That's a huge
22	deal, right? There's a lot going on in
23	Jackson, but these, these monies mean
24	something, and we know how important that
25	is.

Page 14

1	In fact, when the rains happened in
2	'22, all the year's flooding, we were
3	actually here as those rains were
4	arriving. It was a pretty poignant moment
5	actually to arrive back in DC and realize
6	what was happening on the ground and see
7	it firsthand and know the new cycle was
8	coming.
9	This work that you have seen in the
10	draft DEIS and you'll hear more about
11	today encompasses updated modeling. It
12	builds upon prior studies completed by the
13	Corps and Rankin-Hinds, some of which had
14	not been released. And so, this is a
15	really important point in time for this
16	DEIS. You're going to hear more about
17	those alternatives, understand what they
18	encompass, and give us your valuable
19	input.
20	One thing I want to leave you with is
21	it is imperative to understand that we
22	understand how much other work is going on
23	in Jackson, particularly the water and
24	sewer work that's going on. Anything we
25	do as an agency through the use of these

funds to benefit your community will 1 dovetail with that work. We want to make 2 3 sure all of those things happen. 4 And, in fact, we do have some 5 environmental infrastructure money that we used to support some of the work in the 6 water and sewer area as well. So that's 7 been a big effort for us. More than 8 9 anything, thank you again for being here. 10 I look forward to conversations today and 11 continuing conversations. Next slide. 12 And I think this is the slides, Tom, 13 you're referencing that have a bit more 14 about some of the websites and the dates. This is the first of four sessions in the 15 16 next two days. We did have a virtual 17 session a bit ago. Many of you may have 18 participated there, and so, that input's 19 already a huge value to us. I'm going to 20 turn it back to you. 21 MR. SHAW: And so, Ms. Colosimo 22 mentioned the virtual meeting. We took 23 questions in the chat, and those questions 24 are being responded to, and we hope to get 25 those posted to the website within a week

1	or so.
2	I would want to mention, too, that in
3	addition to a video that we have going on,
4	we also have a court reporter doing
5	transcription for us to make sure that we
6	get everything captured properly.
7	So at this time, as we go in and
8	discuss the review process, I'm going to
9	ask Mr. Eric Bush, if you would, to talk
10	to us about that.
11	MR. BUSH: Thanks, Tom, and thanks,
12	Robyn. Again, my name is Eric Bush. I'm
13	the Chief of Planning and Policy at Corps
14	headquarters, and my job is to provide
15	technical and policy advice to the Chief
16	of Engineers, that's Lieutenant General
17	Scott Spellmon, and to Ms. Colosimo and
18	the Secretary, Mr. Conner.
19	And so, with that, I just want to
20	state the obvious here. We're in the
21	middle of a public process now, which
22	leads to a future decision by Mr. Connor,
23	and naturally that involves public review
24	and the opportunity to comment on our
25	proposed actions.

1	This, for me, is the most important
2	step in our decision process here. And
3	so, I want to assure you that your input
4	is valued and will be taken seriously with
5	all due consideration as we advance in
6	this decision process here.
7	As I think Tom stated, the review
8	process is currently underway now, and it
9	continues through August 6th. And, as has
10	been stated previously, there are multiple
11	ways for you all to submit comments and
12	questions regarding the evaluations that
13	have been performed and the alternatives
14	that are being considered.
15	All of your comments will be
16	considered and documented in the final
17	DEIS. And more important than just
18	documenting, whatever additional tasks or
19	evaluations we undertake as a result of
20	public comment will be included in that
21	in that final Environmental Impact
22	Statement. Next slide, please.
23	And so, you all know this, but it's
24	worth emphasizing that this project is
25	about flood protection. And when I think

1	about flood protection, and you all do,
2	too, it's about reducing economic damages
3	and human suffering and environmental
4	impacts associated with flooding events.
5	And Robyn just spoke about the most recent
6	one, but we all know there's a long
7	history of flooding in this basin.
8	Through our process, we evaluate a
9	range of alternatives in order to identify
10	the best plan. And you'll hear later in
11	this presentation today about the
12	evaluations that have already been
13	undertaken in furtherance of an eventual
14	decision.
15	And it's also important for me to
16	emphasize that we do this in partnership
17	with our nonfederal partner. In this
18	case, it's the Rankin-Hinds Flood Control
19	District, who's already done, as you all
20	know, much better than most of us at this
21	table. Rankin-Hinds has already done a
22	considerable amount of great work to
23	advance to the point where we are today
24	and, you know, on the brink of moving the
25	project forward here.

Page 19

1	I'll just also state that the project
2	is already authorized by Congress. That's
3	important because that's a very important
4	decision point in our civil works process,
5	but that authorization is subject to
6	subsequent determinations to be made by
7	our Secretary. And we're using this
8	Environmental Impact Statement process to
9	inform him regarding the decisions that
10	are yet to be made.
11	And I'll also add that it's important
12	for you all to know that the
13	administration and Congress have set aside
14	funds through the bipartisan
15	infrastructure law to initiate design and
16	construction on a project after the
17	required evaluations have been completed.
18	So I'll wrap up here. Per direction
19	from our bosses, Mr. Connor, the Chief of
20	Engineers, we're preparing we've
21	prepared already a draft Environmental
22	Impact Statement to inform you all, to
23	inform agencies, and also to inform
24	Mr. Connor and his staff.
25	And we are, of course, now in the

1	middle of that public process, that public
2	comment period which we invite you to
3	participate in, and that includes that
4	concludes, rather, in August, August 6th.
5	And so, I thank you for the opportunity to
6	be here today, and I'll also just add that
7	we're happy to take questions later.
8	And I'll turn now the presentation
9	over to Mr. Keith Turner, representing
10	Rankin-Hinds. Thank you.
11	MR. TURNER: Thank you, Mr. Bush.
12	So, as many of you are aware, Jackson has
13	had flooding problems since its creation.
14	The Pearl River has flooded continuously
15	over the years, and to the point of where
16	in the 1960s, the Corps of Engineers came
17	in to work with the local authorities to
18	build the existing levee system, as some
19	of you may be aware. It protects a
20	portion of the fairgrounds area and then a
21	section of Rankin County.
22	During that construction of the
23	levees, they also straightened a section
24	of the river, created a new channel, and
25	also dredged out portions of the river.

1	Unfortunately, in '79, as some of you may
2	be aware, that we had the worst flood of
3	record that overtopped the levee on the
4	Hinds County side and flooded all the
5	Coliseum and the buildings in that area.
6	Fortunately, it did not overtop on the
7	Rankin County side, but it was a
8	significant flood for much of Jackson.
9	The neighborhoods had 8-10 feet of water
10	in them, and north and south of downtown,
11	as well as downtown, was under water.
12	So since that time, various groups,
13	government entities, have been trying to
14	find solutions. They've struggled with
15	additional floods through the years. Of
16	course, we've had floods. Another one in
17	'83 that was second flood of record, and
18	then as recently as 2020, we had a flood
19	of third flood of record.
20	And it would have been much worse but
21	for the fact that the Ross Barnett
22	Reservoir at the time was extremely low.
23	It was lower than its normal pool because
24	they were trying to kill off invasive
25	plant species at the time. So

1	fortunately, they had some storage
2	capacity in there. Otherwise, the 2020
3	flood would have been much worse. And
4	then, of course, in 2022, we had some more
5	flooding going on.
6	Each year, the Pearl river floods.
7	Naturally it floods eight to ten times a
8	year to where the levees have to perform,
9	and Rankin-Hinds operates two pumping
10	stations, one on each side of the river.
11	They have to perform and they pump for
12	sometimes weeks at a time because the
13	levees are having to hold back water and
14	water falls on the dry side.
15	So it's an ongoing effort. It's been
16	there for years, and it's a challenge that
17	folks have been trying to find solutions
18	for. If you can go to the next slide,
19	please.
20	As I mentioned, the levees were
21	constructed in the '60s. Since that time,
22	of course, and since '79, these other
23	flood ideas have been tested and failed.
24	Shoccoe Dam was a dry dam north of the
25	reservoir. That was knocked down because

1	of local concerns about flooding in that
2	area. A full levee system from the
3	reservoir on down south was also killed in
4	the legislature because of downstream
5	concerns. So there has been no solution
6	since '79, and we keep coming close to
7	having another significant flood event.
8	Originally, Rankin-Hinds got involved
9	back in the early 2000s and picked up the
10	mantle from other entities that no longer
11	were able to move forward on options. And
12	then in 2012, we entered into an agreement
13	with the Corps of Engineers under what
14	they call Section 211, which allowed
15	Rankin-Hinds to prepare an Environmental
16	Impact Statement and NEPA compliance and a
17	feasibility study of different
18	alternatives.
19	That was turned in to the Corps in
20	2022 as a final DEIS. It was never
21	published because at that stage, the Corps
22	identified issues that they wanted to go
23	through and create another additional
24	DEIS. But those documents are out there.
25	During that process, of course, we had

1	multiple public meetings. I know some of
2	you were at those, both at our scoping
3	period in the early 2000s and then through
4	the 2018 process as well.
5	I think that really summarizes where
6	we're at. I mean, obviously, we have
7	worked hard with the Corps trying to come
8	up with the alternatives they're going to
9	talk to you today about, and we really
10	appreciate and thank the Corps for their
11	hard work as they've really worked very
12	hard in the past year trying to pull all
13	this together.
14	And also, as they'll discuss the
15	alternatives, we understand that, you
16	know, we worked with them on
17	alternatives D and E, which will be
18	discussed, but alternative D is the Flood
19	Control District's preferred alternative
20	as well right now. So I think the next
21	slide is Oh, that's mine also. Yes.
22	I'm sorry.
23	Out of the last meetings that were
24	held last year, there was concerns about
25	the tributaries and flooding associated

Page 25

1	with all of that. So the team came out
2	and started looking at what's being done
3	and what's available. And so, as you can
4	see, this is not all the detail, but as
5	you can see, there's a lot of projects in
6	the metropolitan area by the counties and
7	by the cities that are specifically
8	designed to deal with tributary flooding.
9	Because there's a lot of flash
10	flooding and a lot of tributary concerns
11	unrelated to the Pearl River flooding, and
12	I know a lot of you experienced that. So
13	there's several millions of dollars that
14	are being allocated towards tributary
15	work.
16	The last item, we had a question on
17	(indiscernible) funding, District's
18	funding. Jackson's in the process of
19	moving some dollars, several millions of
20	dollars I don't have the exact number
21	yet because they're still in the process,
22	but they're working with their engineers
23	to focus on additional tributary work in
24	the Jackson area.
25	We'll hopefully have that in the next

1	week or so, and we'll make sure that that
2	gets put out to the public as well so
3	they'll be aware of it. Next slide, I
4	think, is yeah.
5	MR. DAVIS: You get the easy stuff.
б	Is this my slide? Is this my slide?
7	MR. SHAW: No. It was Keith's also.
8	MR. TURNER: Oh, is it mine? I'm
9	really screwing up today, aren't I? Okay.
10	I'll be glad to run it back. Let's go.
11	Sorry about that, folks. My apologies.
12	You know, we did one this morning. You
13	think I would have gotten it right after
14	having it done this morning as well.
15	So as we mentioned, this is being done
16	under WRDA, Water Resources Development
17	Act, Federal Law 3104, which allowed the
18	Flood Control District to perform the work
19	thus far and then passes the mantle over
20	to the Corps and the Assistant Secretary
21	of the Army to make a decision.
22	The problem, obviously, with flooding
23	in Jackson, and if we had a map there's
24	one out there that you can see that I
25	think does a good job of it. Jackson's

1	flooding comes from the fact that we have
2	a very wide floodplain above the City of
3	Jackson up towards the reservoir, and then
4	it pinches very tightly where we've
5	developed it as urban development, and
6	then it widens back out again.
7	So the challenge there is to try to
8	figure out how to move that water through
9	the area with the urban structures and so
10	forth. So the study area encompasses
11	basically the whole metropolitan area.
12	That's what our authority is from the
13	Flood Control District. That's the
14	authority under 3104. So that's Rankin,
15	Hinds Counties, and then, of course, the
16	Jackson area, Flowood and Pearl and
17	Richland.
18	So the flooding, as some of you may
19	have experienced or may have seen pictures
20	of, folks don't realize how severe it can
21	get. For example, in 1979, we had
22	Interstate 55 under water. You literally
23	had a stream flowing down I-55, and you
24	couldn't use it for days on end.
25	The wastewater treatment plant, which

1 has been in the news obviously a lot in 2 the past few years, went under water. So 3 you had raw sewage coming out of the 4 wastewater treatment plant flowing into 5 the Pearl. That lasted for months. Ι think there was a nine- or ten-month 6 7 project before they were able to turn that off. 8 9 So there's a lot of -- there's 10 hospitals. Flowood has four hospitals that would be surrounded by water in 11 12 another flood event, a 100-year flood 13 event, and they'd have to vacate those hospitals. And, of course, there's loads 14 15 of businesses, and then many of you have 16 maybe personal residences in these areas. 17 So Lakeland Drive went under water as 18 well. I forgot to mention that. So you 19 have difficulty on evacuation areas, you 20 have difficulty on all the infrastructure, 21 if we don't get this addressed. 22 The other important part of flooding, 23 in particular on the Jackson side, is the 24 areas that flood first are environmental 25 justice communities, minority, low income

1	areas. They're the first ones to
2	experience it. We saw it in 2020. We saw
3	it in 2022. The water comes up to their
4	neighborhoods first, and they're not
5	getting any relief.
б	And the problem with some of this
7	flooding is you don't know whether you
8	have to move out or not. They project it,
9	but if your street's going under water,
10	you got to decide whether you want to stay
11	or go, whether you want to move your
12	stuff. So a lot of times when these
13	floods start coming up, it may not flood
14	your home, but you've already had to pack
15	up and move out.
16	So there's a lot of cost and stress
17	and grief associated with this, even if it
18	doesn't flood their homes. So just south
19	of downtown and, of course, the north
20	neighborhoods, they're the first ones to
21	flood, and that's what we're trying to
22	solve problems for as well. I think I'm
23	done now.
24	MR. SHAW: You did. Okay. And so, I
25	would like to take this opportunity to

Page 30

1	discuss a little bit of what USACE has
2	done. So it's been mentioned Section 3104
3	of WRDA 2007 is what we were working from.
4	That language states the Secretary is
5	authorized to construct the NED plan,
6	which that's the National Economic
7	Development Plan, the locally preferred
8	plan, or LPP, or some combination thereof.
9	You're going to hear that combination
10	thereof. It's going to become a common
11	theme, because in the collaborative work
12	that we've done with Rankin and Hinds,
13	we've identified certain things that we
14	can do and look at what would ultimately
15	reduce the impacts of, say, excavation or
16	HTRW that's hazardous, toxic,
17	radiological waste or mitigation, those
18	kind of things.
19	And so, that collaborative work means
20	that we kind of honed in on some better
21	choices, some alternatives that we think
22	are going to do better. And so, we were
23	also asked to look and compare the levels
24	of flood protection for the alternatives
25	and then assess the environmental

1	acceptability and technical feasibility.
2	The end goal is to get flood risk
3	reduction for the Jackson metro study
4	area.
5	Okay. And so, what you're actually
6	seeing here can anybody see that? We
7	didn't make those circles quite heavy
8	enough. What you've got is you've
9	basically got
10	UNIDENTIFIED: Is there a way to turn
11	the lights down on the screen?
12	MR. SHAW: Hey, Justin, is there any
13	way to dim the lights just a little bit?
14	There we go. Does that make it a little
15	bit easier, everybody?
16	VARIOUS: Yes.
17	MR. SHAW: Okay. Perfect. So we
18	kind of used the geometric shapes
19	actually work good for us here. So if you
20	see the area that's the yellow circle,
21	that's what we consider to be the study
22	area. That focuses on the communities of
23	the cities of Jackson, Flowood, Pearl, and
24	Richland, and, of course, Hinds and Rankin
25	Counties, and also has some of the

1	tributaries that are in there as well.
2	There is an oval. That oval is the
3	is what's considered to be the project
4	area. That's the area where we can
5	actually exercise some influence, if you
6	will, through this potential project, and
7	basically that goes from river mile 270,
8	which is just south of Byram, all the way
9	up to the Ross Barnett Reservoir.
10	And, of course, the project area is
11	actually limited to be where the proposed
12	actions would cause some benefits and were
13	to be implemented. And then the last
14	thing that kind of looks like a long
15	rectangle, that's an influence area.
16	That's an area where anything that we do
17	from a hydrology standpoint would have any
18	potential impact at all. So that's the
19	three areas that we wanted to focus on.
20	Okay. And so, multiple alternatives
21	were considered. Rankin-Hinds looked at
22	three alternatives when they completed
23	their draft DEIS. Alternate A, which was
24	basically a nonstructural plan.
25	And I failed to mention this when you

1	came in outside, there's some study boards
2	out there, and those boards kind of
3	describe what's going on with the draft
4	DEIS that talks about nonstructural
5	solutions, for example, whether we dry
6	proof or we elevate to provide benefits.
7	So they looked at that, the
8	nonstructural plan. They looked at the
9	levee plan, which is Alternate B that the
10	Corps of Engineers proposed back in 2007,
11	and then Alternate C was their locally
12	preferred plan. The draft DEIS was
13	submitted to the Assistant Secretary of
14	the Army under Section 211 back in 2022.
15	The Secretary's office took a look,
16	evaluated certain components of it. There
17	were some things they didn't understand,
18	and they basically charged the Corps of
19	Engineers, please see if you work with
20	the Rankin-Hinds folks, see if you can
21	work together and fill in there were
22	some data gaps. See if we can fill in
23	those data gaps to get to a solution for
24	the Jackson, the metro study area.
25	So what we did is we had a

1	collaboration effort with them for months
2	and months now, and it's been very good.
3	What we did is we modified that
4	nonstructural plan just a little bit, and
5	we'll talk about these plans shortly.
6	But we also created remember, I
7	talked to you about that combination
8	thereof. So we did look at some of the
9	more, if you will, attractive features
10	where we felt like we could give benefits
11	with more limited impacts and reductions
12	in cost as well. So Alternate D was one
13	of those combinations thereof.
14	And as the economics have worked out,
15	it would probably likely be the National
16	Economic Development Plan, and it is
17	that combination plan with the weir,
18	Alternate D was also looked at because the
19	weir has a there's a significant cost
20	associated with a weir. And so, we also
21	looked at that Alternate D, which is the
22	same combination thereof but without a
23	weir.
24	Okay. And so, we talked about
25	nonstructural plans. So when the

1	evaluations are done of the study area for
2	a nonstructural standpoint, we look at the
3	25-year event, the 25-year flood. And
4	then once that determination is made with
5	the structures that fall within that flood
6	area, then one of two things can happen
7	is, if they're residential, then they
8	would be eligible for being raised. And
9	so, they would be raised to that
10	100-year elevation is where you would
11	ultimately raise them to.
12	If they're nonresidential, then we
13	would do, basically, it's called dry
14	floodproofing, and one of the potential
15	options there would be a voluntary
16	acquisition, with the caveat that if any
17	properties were acquired that they would
18	ultimately be converted to a green space.
19	And so, within the area of
20	Alternate A1, there were 143 structures
21	that were actually identified. Eighty-one
22	of those were residential, with the
23	remaining being nonresidential.
24	Okay. Alternate C that we mentioned
25	was the locally preferred plan originally

	1	submitted by Rankin-Hinds, and one of the
	2	things that it did was it significantly
	3	improved the conveyance through this area,
	4	through the study area.
	5	And it was accomplished through
	6	several things, one of which was clearing
	7	and lowering of the channel, the
	8	overbanks, to basically improve this from
	9	a hydraulic standpoint to make the water
1	LO	flow more efficiently and better. It also
1	1	included demolition of a weir for the fuel
1	L <b>2</b>	water treatment plant and then
1	L3	construction of a new weir, and that new
1	L <b>4</b>	weir would have a low flow gate in it that
1	15	would be constructed at an elevation of
1	L6	258.
1	L7	And it would also include the upgrade
1	L8	of a nonfederal levee around the Savannah
1	L9	Street wastewater treatment plant. And
2	20	then there would also be some requirements
2	21	for improved drainage, if you will, in
2	22	support of existing federal levees.
2	23	Okay. And so, now we're here we'll
2	24	talk about the combination thereof,
2	25	Alternate D, which is with the weir, and

1	Alternate E without the weir. And so,
2	remember the authority that the Secretary
3	has.
4	And so, the features that we looked at
5	that would be a significant improvement,
б	if you will, for this selection, if you
7	will, this alternate, is that it did use
8	some of the components of the
9	nonstructural, the A1 that I spoke of
10	earlier. It would also reduce excavation
11	of that main channel of those overbanks
12	that I was speaking of.
13	By reducing that excavation, number 1,
14	you do reduce the cost, but you also
15	reduce the mitigation that might be
16	associated with the removal of that
17	material. It would continue to utilize
18	the existing weirs, so there would be the
19	demolition costs that would be associated
20	with it, and it would be construction of a
21	new weir.
22	That new weir would be set at an
23	elevation of 256, which is 2 feet lower
24	than the Alternate C that was mentioned
25	earlier. And the reason we would

1	construct that weir is to support future
2	water supply and also make a provision for
3	fish passage.
4	And then, we also have nonfederal
5	levee improvements at Savannah Street
6	wastewater treatment plant. There's
7	one of the other things from EJ, from an
8	environmental justice standpoint, there
9	are levees at there will be additional
10	levees at the Canton Club neighborhood.
11	It would also include some
12	countermeasures to protect bridges that
13	cross the Pearl River in the study area,
14	and there would be mitigation features
15	associated with this. And so, as we
16	mentioned earlier, this was arrived at in
17	collaboration with Rankin-Hinds.
18	Okay. And so, Alternate D, which is
19	that potential NED plan that we mentioned,
20	I mentioned that there are reduced
21	excavations compared with Alternate C,
22	which is a good thing. And then we
23	actually moved the weir upstream. There
24	was a deliberative effort to more
25	optimally locate that weir.

1	So that weir now would be basically
2	just upstream of the Gallatin Street
3	the old Gallatin Street landfill is where
4	that weir is. It's just downstream of
5	I-20. Like I said, I mentioned earlier,
6	it's at elevation 256. Okay. I think
7	we've covered that.
8	Alternative E is basically the same
9	thing. It just does not have the weir in
10	it, the new weir.
11	Okay. And so, a little brief
12	discussion of H&H. So one of the
13	important things here is if you look at
14	that graph, and that graph is basically
15	the water surface elevation, with if
16	you look at the right-hand side of your
17	screen, the right-hand side of your screen
18	is upstream of the weir.
19	The weir and I don't think there's
20	any way for me to show you where the weir
21	is. If you can see, there is a that
22	first arrowhead going from the right to
23	the left where it talks about I-20 and the
24	Alternate D proposed weir, that's where
25	that weir is, and just downstream is that

1	Gallatin Street landfill that I was
2	mentioning. And so, the two colors are
3	important here, because the color that's
4	in blue, if you will, it begins from the
5	right-hand side moving to the left-hand
б	side. That blue color represents the
7	without project. That's the current
8	condition that we have now. So in an
9	event of a 100-year flood, that's where
10	that water surface elevation would be at
11	that particular location upstream.
12	The orange or the kind of red-looking
13	line represents the width project line.
14	And you can see, as you move downstream,
15	you start to see the benefits increase,
16	but at the very upstream limits there,
17	that's the bulk of the benefits that are
18	associated with that 100-year event.
19	But you see, as you get down, and you
20	get to the weir that the lines basically
21	remain parallel, but there is a slight,
22	slight bit of inducement, we believe less
23	than 6 inches, for the 100-year event.
24	The other thing that we were asked to
25	do is consider the downstream effects. In

1	our hydraulic modeling, we determined that
2	basically once you get downstream about 5
3	miles north of Monticello, it would not be
4	measurable. It's within the tolerances in
5	the model.
6	And so, one of the last things I would
7	mention here is that one of the things we
8	know we still have to do is sedimentation
9	modeling, and we'll be doing that in a
10	later phase of the project. Okay. Now,
11	habitat mitigation. Brandon Davis is
12	going to address this slide for us.
13	MR. DAVIS: Thank you, Tom. Thank
14	you. There we go. Back it up just a hair
15	for you. Thank you, Tom. Well, good
16	afternoon. I'm Brandon Davis, and I'm
17	really glad to see you all here. I met a
18	lot of you during the scoping process, and
19	it was very enlightening to learn about
20	you, learn about your situation. It's how
21	it was supposed to be. And it was good
22	for me to meet you and to see the problems
23	that you're having.
24	And that's one of the great things
25	about NEPA. We're going to talk about

1	that. NEPA is the National Environmental
2	Policy Act. These next few slides, we're
3	going to go over some of that, where we
4	have the opportunity to come together to
5	collaborate and learn from each other and
6	what's going, and that's what we're going
7	to do today.
8	One thing I want to promise you, a
9	commitment to you for me is that, as I sit
10	up here today, I'll be honest, I may not
11	have all the answers to the questions, but
12	my commitment to you is is I have subject
13	matter experts out in the foyer with
14	boards that if I don't know the answer to
15	something, they do. And I don't want you
16	leaving here today without having your
17	question answered if there's something
18	that's weighing heavily on you from a
19	technical standpoint.
20	So we'll go ahead and get into this.
21	I have a few slides, and we're going to
22	talk about the NEPA process, and we're
23	going to talk about some things that fall
24	underneath that umbrella. The first thing
25	that you see here in slide 22 is Habitat

1	Mitigation. That's one of the things
2	about the CIS. We come together, and we
3	share our understandings of what's going
4	on, and we try to talk about the impacts
5	and also the benefits of what is going on
6	out here on the various resources and
7	discuss any plans of mitigation.
8	Now, obviously, we want to avoid and
9	minimize the best that we can, but there
10	are situations where mitigation is
11	required, and if that is the case, we take
12	the necessary steps to do so.
13	So what are you looking at here? On
14	the table, what this table is going to
15	show you up in the left-hand corner, I
16	believe that's my left, it's going to show
17	you an overview of the anticipated habitat
18	impacts for the alternatives, Alternative
19	C and then what they're calling the CTO,
20	combination thereof of Alternative D and
21	Alternative E.
22	So the first two columns I guess you
23	see is acres of impacts, and, like I said,
24	that's the first column. You see
25	Alternative C, you see the values that are

1	broken out by the various habitat type,
2	and you see how it compares to the CTO
3	alternative, the reduction that you're
4	seeing for each of the various habitat
5	units for the project.
6	Now, the farthest two columns and
7	I'm sorry. I'm trying to explain this
8	from the table here. But the farther two
9	columns, you see AAHUs. Okay. What is
10	that? That's average annual habitat
11	units, and what that represents are the
12	functions and the values of a particular
13	habitat.
14	When we mitigate in the Corps, when
15	we mitigate, we're going to base on these
16	loss functions. The mitigation that we
17	may have for open water may be different
18	for bottomland hardwood. It just depends
19	on what is potentially impacted. But it's
20	not a 1:1, a ratio of acres to acres on
21	that. There may be more value having to
22	be put in bottomland hardwood versus open
23	waters. That's just an example that I'm
24	using.
25	Our terrestrial impacts, they were

1	determined by running the certified
2	habitat evaluation process model. That's
3	HEP, H-E-P. Some of you may be familiar
4	with that. This was completed by
5	Rankin-Hinds, and their contractor did an
6	outstanding job with that, and we
7	presented we were able to work with
8	that and presented that into the draft
9	DEIS that we have for you that's out for
10	the public right now.
11	One thing I want to point out, very
12	important we point this out, that we
13	are our team is we are currently
14	developing a mitigation plan. As we said,
15	we don't really know the direction. We
16	are going to present this to Secretary
17	Conner. He's going to make a decision
18	based off the information that we provide
19	to him.
20	So prior to any construction
21	activities starting, we would have a
22	mitigation plan complete. Next slide,
23	Tom.
24	All right. This is a slide I know
25	that is important to a lot of you, the

1	threatened and endangered species. And in
2	this table, what you're going to see is
3	eight species of concern in the study
4	area. We want to note that, A1, that the
5	alternatives that you're seeing up there
6	on the table are C and then the
7	combination thereof. Al, which was the
8	nonstructural plan, was not included in
9	this because there's not any expected
10	impacts to be listed for these species
11	with a nonstructural activity.
12	A good thing to point out is that we
13	have a fantastic team working on this, but
14	they did a really good job in
15	collaboration with the U.S. Fish and
16	Wildlife Service when they were making
17	their determinations of these species.
18	So what do I mean when we're talking
19	about determination of the species? If
20	you see up there in the table, you may see
21	an LAA or an NLAA. That means the LAA,
22	excuse me, likely to adversely affect but
23	not to jeopardize the continued existence
24	of. So there could be temporary impacts
25	to that species, but we're not expecting

1	that species to be in complete jeopardy
2	and not be able to revisit and reestablish
3	themselves into that habitat.
4	And then NLAA, not likely to adversely
5	affect. As I said, the common the
6	determinations for each of these species
7	that are listed, eight of them here, was
8	made in collaboration with the U.S. Fish
9	and Wildlife Service. This is not just
10	the Corps saying, well, we don't think
11	anything's going to happen here. We had
12	great collaboration.
13	You'll notice with Alternatives C and
14	D that's going to be your middle column
15	there with weir, we have the same
16	impacts. It's assumed that just like what
17	we saw in Alternative C, construction of
18	the CTO with the weir, there could be
19	potential elimination of some riverine
20	habitats, as you possibly would expect
21	with that.
22	A velocity analysis like was conducted
23	for Alternative C is being conducted
24	because we need to better understand the
25	potential impacts that the CTO could have

1	on the riverine system. Yes. We do have
2	fish ladders in place and other measures,
3	but we still need to make sure that we're
4	doing that velocity analysis to understand
5	what is really going on with those
6	habitats.
7	The Alternative E, the CTO without the
8	weir, it does have slightly different
9	impacts, as you possibly would expect.
10	Remember, that is with like I said,
11	without the weir. Has slightly different
12	impacts to the river channel as expected,
13	but expect to function as a riverine
14	system except during the high water
15	events, which is, you know, kind of a
16	tip what you expect with the existing
17	conditions that are going on.
18	There's going to be some bank
19	excavation, even with the CTO without the
20	weir. So there could be some impacts to
21	turtles. I know if you notice on here
22	I can barely see it, but that's okay.
23	It's better for the public to see that.
24	There may be some temporary impacts to
25	turtles because they use those banks for

1	various things, but it's temporary, and we
2	expect that to come back to a natural
3	state for them.
4	A biological assessment has been
5	prepared. It's been submitted to the U.S.
б	Fish and Wildlife Service with the effect
7	of these determinations that we have, and
8	the consultation is still ongoing and
9	expected to be completed by the release of
10	this final DEIS. Next slide.
11	Cultural Resources. Most of you know
12	what cultural resources is. It can be
13	buildings. It can be Native American
14	artifacts. Historical artifacts. There's
15	a whole array of what cultural resources
16	could be.
17	All the alternatives do have the
18	potential to affect cultural resources,
19	but that's common knowledge. Building a
20	highway, you don't know what's buried
21	there from a historical reference. So
22	cultural resources are all around us
23	everywhere.
24	But how we work through that is we are
25	currently negotiating a programmatic

1	agreement with the State Historic
2	Preservation Office, that's called the
3	SHPO, and tribal nations and also other
4	partners as well.
5	So what is a PA? Really it's a plan
6	to lay out the steps of what we would have
7	to do to address any impacts
8	programmatically. So if we do a
9	nonstructural plan, if we do a plan with a
10	weir, without the weir, we'd have steps
11	laid out of what we would have to do to
12	take care of that.
13	Our team is having regular
14	consultation meetings. They actually had
15	one this morning until they reached an
16	agreement. That PA I called it
17	periodic assessment. Excuse me. The
18	programmatic agreement is expected to be
19	signed before a record of decision is
20	signed. Next slide.
21	So Tribal Nations, that falls
22	underneath Section 106, part of that NEPA
23	umbrella that I had mentioned a little bit
24	earlier. A policy of the federal
25	government is to consult with our

1	federally recognized tribal governments,
2	nation to you know, government, nation
3	to nation.
4	Currently there's no tribal lands or
5	rights that have been identified, but
6	consultation is continuing to go on with
7	our tribes because we have identified some
8	protected tribal resources, but that's
9	something that no reason for harm or
10	alarm. We're just continuing to consult
11	and be a good partner with our federally
12	recognized tribal nations. Next slide.
13	So environmental justice, something
14	that I think that this administration, the
15	Biden administration's done a fantastic
16	job of implementing. So what is
17	environmental justice?
18	Keith talked about it a little bit a
19	moment ago, but, really, if giving you a
20	brief definition, you know, it means the
21	just treatment and the meaningful
22	involvement of all people, regardless of
23	income, background, origin, disability,
24	things of that nature.
25	We want to make sure everyone's

1	involved and that we are not going out and
2	targeting cheap lands to build a project.
3	We're making sure everyone's involved.
4	Everyone's included. It's very important,
5	and this administration has done a
6	fantastic job of making sure that all
7	voices are heard.
8	For the no-action and the 100-year
9	floodplain, there's roughly just under 800
10	structures. The actual number is up there
11	in the EJ in the EJ area of concern.
12	In the nonstructural plan, Alternative A1,
13	there'd be 143 structures in this EJ area
14	of concern.
15	For structures that do flood in EJ
16	areas, under our alternative CTO,
17	nonstructural measures obviously would
18	have to be revisited. Now, in the CTO, we
19	already and Tom had mentioned this
20	we already talked about nonstructural
21	measures within that CTO alternative.
22	But when continuing to refine our
23	models, if there are any type of a
24	structure that is getting any, you know,
25	type of inundation, we would have to

1	revisit that, make sure that we're going
2	through the proper methods, whether it be
3	floodproofing, elevating, things to that
4	nature.
5	Something important to know about the
6	environmental justice is that the public
7	meetings, the sessions here, I think
8	public comment closes on this sometime in
9	August, but the talking with the
10	environmental and justice community is
11	going to continue to outgo outreach and
12	meetings.
13	We have two to three meetings over the
14	next couple of months with our
15	environmental justice communities. We
16	want to offer the opportunity for them to
17	ask more questions and understand about
18	the project and how it's going to benefit
19	them. All right. Next slide.
20	Something we haven't talked much about
21	is recreation, and I want to from a
22	policy standpoint, I want to make
23	something make something clear if
24	there's any confusion. This is a flood
25	risk management project, and by our

1	policy, 50 percent or more of our benefits
2	have to come from flood risk benefits and
3	anything on the backside of that, we can
4	start counting recreational benefits.
5	Alternative C and the CTO, they do
6	show water-based and shoreline-based
7	recreation for this alternative. We
8	wouldn't see any type of as you would
9	imagine, we wouldn't see any type of
10	recreational benefits for any type of a
11	nonstructural alternative because things
12	would pretty much stay the same, almost
13	like the way conditions are right now in
14	the area.
15	What we do see, and one thing to point
16	out, Alternative C and the CTO, they have
17	benefits, but they also show to have
18	impacts at Lefleur State Park, showing to
19	have some impacts. I want to point out
20	that the impact area would be more to the
21	east, near the river, down to the
22	southeast, and that's areas that are
23	normally that are already impacted by and
24	are made inaccessible during water events.
25	Something a key point to take away

1	from this is that if there were any type
2	of impacts to key infrastructure, you
3	know, key structure being trails, boat
4	ramps, things to that nature, we would
5	continue we would coordinate with the
6	park service on that to mitigate as we
7	needed to. We can't just make damage
8	an area out there and there not be any
9	type of mitigation for that.
10	The goal obviously is going to be to
11	avoid and minimize, but if we have to
12	mitigate, we'll take the proper steps to
13	mitigate if one of the alternatives is
14	selected for with recreation impacts
15	or benefits. Excuse me.
16	All right. So the next slide here is
17	Economics, and I wanted I wanted to
18	I'm not here to teach you anything, but I
19	do want to explain some things to you,
20	because this to me, this looks like a
21	bunch of numbers. It's almost like, so
22	what? Okay. So let's try to get clear on
23	what these mean and break them down for
24	you.
25	Now, one thing I do want to start off

1	with is that you're going to see a range
2	of numbers up here, and just, for example,
3	if you look at Alternative D here, the CTO
4	with weir, you're seeing a cost that
5	ranges from 487 million to 655 million.
6	So why do we have a range of costs like
7	that?
8	Well, we are building in risks
9	associated with these costs. Our
10	engineers got together, and they have come
11	up working with a team coming up with
12	these estimates, and they put ranges on
13	them. And to me, that's a very smart way
14	of doing business with that.
15	But to check ourselves, this is
16	currently under agency technical review.
17	We have a reviewer out of our Walla Walla
18	District that is the cost engineering
19	center of expertise that is actually
20	reviewing these numbers for us right now.
21	That ATR is ongoing and will be completed
22	in about mid-March.
23	So you see what the various costs are
24	here. And the next thing that you're
25	going to see that I want to bring to your

1	attention is we talked about NED. Okay.
2	What is a NED plan?
3	And what a NED plan is, is the
4	National Economic Plan National
5	Economic Development Plan. But what that
6	is is that we are looking for a project
7	that is going to provide the most net
8	benefits. Okay? And we're also looking
9	for what a benefit to cost ratio that
10	is above unity.
11	So benefits. And that's pretty much,
12	you know, common knowledge of, you know,
13	you go to your job and you get paid. The
14	benefit is going to your job, you're
15	making money. That's what we're trying to
16	do here is maximize the benefit that we
17	get from these projects.
18	And then the benefit to cost ratio,
19	you see that over there, 1.4. So what
20	that means is is we're going to take our
21	benefits that are derived from our
22	economist on this project, we're going to
23	divide that by the costs that are provided
24	to us from our engineers, and that's going
25	to give you a number.

1	So what that 1.4 means is that for
2	every \$1 that is invested by the federal
3	government, \$1.40 is returned to the
4	public. So that's how we derive what NED
5	is. So just wanted to give you an
6	overview of what you see here. And,
7	again, I invite you to if you have
8	questions about the economics, I'm going
9	to be in the back with our lead economist
10	on this. We'll be glad to go in more
11	detail with you on that. Next slide, Tom.
12	So this is a lot of numbers, and I
13	don't know if you can see the BCR down at
14	the bottom there. But I want to remind
15	you that we were the charge that we
16	were given by the ASA in our guidance is
17	that we are to give them an array of
18	alternatives to choose from, and we were
19	to identify what a NED plan is.
20	And we have done that, and, you know,
21	we feel really good about these numbers,
22	and they're vertically aligned. Again, we
23	are going before the agency technical
24	review right now to make sure that there
25	wasn't anything missed, and there could be

1	additional analysis that's required that
2	would give, you know, maybe some type of a
3	variation.
4	But, at the moment, these are the
5	slot, the numbers that we have. And what
6	I want to point out is that the big thing
7	is the risk that we see with the costs.
8	We have a high and a low for
9	Alternative D, Alternative E, and then the
10	nonstructural plan.
11	So if you're trying to identify what a
12	NED plan would be, it would be the BCR
13	being above one and then also which
14	project provides the most net benefits.
15	Well, it's kind of subjective. We have
16	about three options up there: One could
17	be a nonstructural plan, one could be the
18	Alternative D, the CTO without a weir, and
19	then it could be with a weir. A lot of it
20	depends on the cost and what we get as far
21	as a final cost certification goes.
22	So just wanted to break that out for
23	you, that nothing has been selected.
24	There are options out there for the
25	Secretary, and this is the information

1	that we will provide to them to make an
2	informed decision. Next slide.
3	Okay. So my final slide here is
4	Hazardous, Toxic, and Radiological Waste.
5	And so, numerous, as you can see, are the
6	nonfederal interests. Rankin-Hinds did a
7	great job. There's numerous analyses that
8	have been conducted on this.
9	Once an alternative has been selected,
10	we would have to do an additional
11	assessment prior to construction. Really
12	the bottom line on this is that we can't
13	go out and construct in an area if there's
14	some type of HCRW risk. So we have to
15	have a clean site. We'll collaborate with
16	Rankin-Hinds to make sure that that is
17	taken care of before any type of
18	construction activity would take place.
19	MR. SHAW: All right. Thank you,
20	Brandon. And so, one of the questions
21	that you might ask is, so where are we in
22	the process? And so, what we tried to
23	provide here is the timeline that gives
24	you some information on that.
25	So, as we mentioned earlier, the draft

DEIS went out for public review on the 7th 1 2 of June. 3 The public review period does end 4 August the 6th, and then the period of 5 August through September is when we will be addressing the public comments with the 6 7 intent to make a notice of availability for the final DEIS in 20 -- I'm sorry, in 8 9 October. And then with the final DEIS 10 being released sometime in December and 11 with the Secretary making his determination and a record of decision at 12 13 that time. 14 Okay. And so, that concludes the 15 first part, the presentation part, and 16 what we will do is we will now open it up 17 for the microphones. 18 And I would just ask -- you know, we 19 are trying to focus on process and 20 procedure at this particular moment, and 21 if there are technical questions that we 22 do not have answers for, we have our 23 subject matter experts that are in the 24 back. They will be kind of standing 25 around those boards that relate to their

1	specialty, and they will be more than
2	happy to discuss with you at that time.
3	And so, we mentioned the ways we
4	can you know, you can have feedback.
5	So we've got basically two hours allotted
6	for this, and so, we can spend about an
7	hour. So about an hour for just general
8	comments and discussion, and then, like I
9	said, you're free to go back to the
10	subject matter experts back in the lobby
11	area. Dr. Crawford, I think.
12	(COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS BY PUBLIC.)
13	MS. ABBY BRAMAN: Afternoon. If you
14	don't mind, I'm going to go first because
15	I have to leave.
16	MR. SHAW: Certainly.
17	MS. ABBY BRAMAN: If that's okay.
18	Thank you. My name is Abby Braman. I'm
19	Executive Director of Pearl River Keeper,
20	a nonprofit dedicated to protecting
21	everyone's right to clean water.
22	So the Corps' flood risk management
23	planning document seems to be written to
24	justify the existence of a lake
25	development, instead of objectively

1	assessing the alternatives that provide
2	the most flood risk reduction.
3	After the Corps found the local
4	sponsors' One Lake project to be not
5	feasible, it spent years and over a
6	million dollars of taxpayer funds on the
7	design of Alternative D, which was another
8	lake plan.
9	Alternative D has the same problems as
10	the One Lake plan. It's prohibitively
11	expensive. It's above the maximum budget
12	threshold dictated by Congress. It will
13	require millions of dollars in
14	environmental mitigation costs to
15	compensate for habitat loss. The Corps
16	stated in its own internal presentation
17	that it would be extremely challenging, if
18	not impossible, to mitigate for riverine
19	impacts of this proportion.
20	It will dredge away a large portion of
21	our beautiful Lefleur's Bluff State Park,
22	our only current public access to the
23	river. Alternative D's channel
24	improvement and lake shifts the flood
25	risks away from central Jackson and

Transcript of 2:00 Meeting 7/10/2024

1 Flowood and towards federally designated 2 disadvantaged communities along our 3 tributaries, South Jackson and Richland. 4 Improved river conveyance through 5 central Jackson forces more water on communities downstream of the project area 6 7 inducing flooding that will cover 38,000 acres and be felt as far downstream as 8 9 Byram. 10 The flooding caused by Alternative D is so egregious that the Corps is offering 11 the owners of some structures in South 12 13 Jackson and Richland compensation in the 14 form of elevations, floodproofing, and voluntary buyouts. Alternative D, the 15 16 Corps' new channel improvement and lake 17 plan, alleviates flooding for a little 18 over 300 structures and causes flooding 19 for others, has a price tag of 500 to 650 million. 20 21 The other alternative proposed 22 alternative, A1 plus the Canton Club 23 Levee, is a nonstructural plan involving 24 elevations and floodproofing of 25 structures. It also protects a little

1	over 300 structures, but only comes to a
2	price tag of 60 million. It doesn't make
3	sense that alternative A1 cost is well
4	below the current total authorized project
5	costs.
6	A large budget remains for expanding
7	alternative A1 to include more structures
8	and for adding other project features,
9	such as recreational opportunities along
10	the river, levees to protect critical
11	infrastructure, like Savannah Street
12	wastewater treatment facility, bridge
13	conveyance improvements and tributary
14	restoration.
15	Communities along our tributaries,
16	like Purple, Eubanks, Town, and Lynch
17	Creek suffer from persistent and
18	devastating flash flood events and deserve
19	consideration in a Pearl River Basin flood
20	risk management project. So Pearl River
21	Keeper recommends the Corps discard the
22	lake plans, like Alternative D, and
23	instead develop a combination plan that
24	prioritizes and maximizes flood risk
25	management benefits over recreational and

1	commercial opportunities.
2	Thank you for your time. I'm going to
3	run. But the other thing is I've
4	submitted a FOIA request for a cost
5	analysis or an economic appendix. It's
б	impossible for anyone to understand the
7	project and whether it's the NED if we
8	don't have that information. So thank you
9	very much for your time.
10	MR. SHAW: Thank you.
11	DR. SCOTT CRAWFORD: Hi. Good
12	afternoon, everyone. Good afternoon. Hi.
13	My name is Dr. Scott Crawford. I am just
14	here as a private citizen that lives along
15	one of Jackson's many tributaries. I
16	happen to live on Eubanks Creek in Fondren
17	on Choctaw Road, and, you know, we
18	experience frequent flash flooding that is
19	a direct threat to our homes, our cars,
20	and in the worst case scenario, our lives.
21	And as you know, I'm a wheelchair
22	user, and I can't self-evacuate, and, you
23	know, when these floods happen, I'm
24	trapped in my home. My neighbors get
25	water in their homes.

1	And so, back to this issue. Rather
2	than an unbiased scientific assessment,
3	the draft EIS reads like a justification
4	for a predetermined conclusion. The
5	document argues for a new lake plan
6	touting its economic and development
7	impact while completely ignoring induced
8	flooding on Jackson's tributaries and
9	river flooding south of the dam.
10	For instance, you know, the Corps is
11	completely ignoring the tributary flash
12	flooding, stating incorrectly that someone
13	else will handle it. I read your report.
14	I've done nothing else for the last month,
15	and table 1-1 in your executive summary
16	does not stand much scrutiny at all.
17	We've been told many times that
18	there's a study, and nothing has been done
19	for decades, decades now, to solve our
20	creek flooding problems. So we are not
21	naive. We do not believe listing some
22	words on a table is going to work. We're
23	not buying it.
24	Okay. As someone that lives on one of
25	those tributaries, you know, like I said,

1	we're just not buying it. Words on a
2	table are a far cry from substantive
3	action to mitigate flash flooding.
4	Now, I agree with Ms. Braman. There
5	are better alternatives than a lake plan.
6	We already have a lake, folks. It's
7	called the reservoir. We have a lake.
8	Alternative A1 has no adverse
9	environmental consequences and could be
10	expanded to include more homes and
11	structures, and I think it should be
12	expanded to include more homes and
13	structures.
14	Restore the floodplains and work on
15	the tributaries, raise the roads, and
16	include a possible levee to protect all
17	the northeast neighborhoods, including
18	McCloud and Parkway. I need someone to
19	prove to me that it can't be done.
20	You know, I agree. I agree
21	wholeheartedly that Canton Club Levee is a
22	great idea. In fact, I can't believe it
23	wasn't done decades ago.
24	So the question I have, and it's a
25	sincere question, is why can't we extend

1	that levee, or something like that levee,
2	to protect all three of those communities
3	in the northeast Jackson area? And all of
4	this, this A1, it's all doable now. It's
5	all doable right now.
6	MR. SHAW: Thank you, sir. Anyone
7	else?
8	MR. ANDREW WHITEHURST: I'm Andrew
9	Whitehurst, Water Program Director for
10	Gulf Restoration Network, or Healthy Gulf.
11	I live in Madison.
12	I learned two things in my ecology
13	class from Dr. Robert Kashner at UNO that
14	are relevant to this project and its
15	alternatives that further dam the Pearl.
16	The first thing is that dams disrupt the
17	physics and ecology of rivers by trapping
18	nutrients and sediment and exporting
19	heated water. They are also barriers to
20	the movement of fish and aquatic life.
21	The second thing that he told us was
22	the Corps of Engineers does what Congress
23	tells it to do because Congress has the
24	money. On the first point, you don't have
25	to read too hard between the lines of this

1	DEIS to see that the Corps is leaning
2	towards Alternative D, which will further
3	dam the river.
4	On the second point about the Corps,
5	one person makes the decision on which
6	alternative to advance to the next stage
7	of being funded and built, and that's Army
8	Secretary for Civil Works Michael Connor,
9	who has for the last three years been
10	lobbied hard by the nonfederal sponsor and
11	its supporters.
12	He'll make his decision sometime in
13	December, and he's in a hurry. There's an
14	election and a new presidential term
15	coming.
16	My main question for Secretary Connor
17	is this: Is it really okay to approve a
18	project first and then wait until the
19	pre-construction engineering and design
20	stage to figure out how great the costs
21	are? Costs for wetland mitigation, toxic
22	waste site remediation, modifying bridges,
23	utility lines, and many others will be
24	determined later during the PED stage.
25	What Army Corps rules govern this

1	process that leaves the determination of
2	major costs until after he gives his
3	approval? And why was there no economics
4	index or appendix included to help the
5	public compare project costs and see the
6	Corps work in generating them?
7	The Corps of Engineers follows
8	planning rules that make it find the
9	alternative that has the best benefit to
10	cost ratio, and so, it found alternative
11	A1, the nonstructural alternative that
12	elevates, floodproofs, offers buyouts, and
13	can build a levee to protect the Canton
14	Club neighborhood and others. Al costs
15	the least and does the least environmental
16	damage.
17	Without actually asking community
18	members and homeowners what they think of
19	Al or Al with levees, the Corps states
20	that this alternative might not work due
21	to low participation. Again, who did the
22	Corps ask?
23	Alternative A1, even an enhanced
24	version of it, can be built with existing
25	money without additional authorization

1	from Congress. Alternative D costs so
2	much that the Corps and sponsors would
3	have to go back to Congress to authorize
4	more money for the Pearl project. And the
5	DEIS document admits that the weir built
6	to create the recreational lake in
7	Alternative D offers no new flood control,
8	because a weir is not a water storage
9	structure.
10	Alternative D will induce new, higher
11	flooding on residents downstream in
12	Richland, South Jackson, and Byram, all
13	the way to Georgetown. This DEIS
14	document, for all its shortcomings,
15	contains components that can be rearranged
16	in a logical, constructive way if
17	communities are involved in the planning.
18	An expanded Canton Club Levee that
19	protects the three neighborhoods that
20	flooded worst in 2020 and 2022 could be a
21	start, and other beneficial features could
22	be added in increments as the Corps plan
23	formulation guidance documents suggest.
24	The way I see it, the Army Secretary can
25	do this project well, or he can do it by

1	the end of December.
2	MR. SHAW: Thank you. Ma'am?
3	MS. FRAN BRIDGES: Good afternoon. I
4	come as an individual resident, you might
5	say. I am May Francis Bridges, also known
6	as Fran Bridges, and I live in the west
7	Jackson area.
8	And I've attempted you know, you
9	almost have to have a technical degree to
10	understand some of this, but when I look
11	at it in layman's terms, you have the
12	Lynch the Lynch Street the Lynch
13	Creek that flows behind our residents who
14	live off of Jane Avenue, off of
15	St. Charles, and go on down behind
16	Westland Plaza, and then on down by
17	Hemingway. The neighborhood right behind
18	it, Sylvan Glen, floods. The what used to
19	be called Camelot Apartments floods.
20	And then you go on past Hemingway, and
21	you're right there at the entrance of
22	Jackson State University where it floods.
23	Okay. You go past that, and you have Jim
24	Hill and Isabel School, elementary school
25	and a high school.

1 So as I've looked at this, we talk a 2 lot about northeast, but we talk very 3 little about West Jackson. And then you 4 have South Jackson, and you all probably 5 understand this better than I do, that when you have any type of development 6 7 going on and you put in more cement or something like that or you're making, I 8 9 guess, slabs for houses, that water has to 10 run off somewhere. 11 So there's some neighborhoods down 12 around Bienville in South Jackson, and 13 that's sort of what has happened to them. The new development is -- the water's 14 15 running off of them. And I think the same 16 thing happens out toward Westside, because 17 there's a new development there. So when 18 we look at this, and the Corps of 19 Engineers is doing this, so we're like, okay, Northeast Jackson, Old Canton this 20 21 or Canton that, but where's West Jackson? 22 Where is South Jackson? 23 So why can't the Corps consider 24 that -- Jackson and perhaps Hinds County 25 both are in a unique situation with

1	funding. So when you say tributaries and
2	you don't do anything about our
3	tributaries, you know, it's like you're
4	cutting our legs off and telling us, you
5	know, keep swimming, you'll be okay.
6	So I would just ask you to consider
7	the tributaries and consider working a lot
8	closer with the people who are affected.
9	Last thing I'm going to say, in 1979, I
10	had the great pleasure of being an
11	employee of South Central Bell at that
12	time. I also had the great pleasure, the
13	Easter weekend of 1979, moving from an
14	apartment to a house. My first attempt at
15	home ownership was right behind Westland
16	Plaza on Casa Grande Circle.
17	And we moved that weekend. Had no
18	idea it was flooding in Jackson. Okay?
19	From right over on Pearl Street, Summers
20	Apartments, over right behind Westland
21	Plaza, we didn't know there was a flood,
22	but it flooded downtown, Town Creek, all
23	of that. Where the convention center is
24	now, W.T. May was there, water was up to
25	the door.

1	The word from South Mississippi was
2	you can't see anything in Jackson but the
3	eagle on top of the Capitol. That's how
4	bad the flooding was. But it did not
5	flood this community.
6	So one would ask, how could such a big
7	flood have occurred downtown and in that
8	part and then all of a sudden, after 1979,
9	1983, all the other flooding, it came to
10	the environmental justice neighborhoods,
11	you might say?
12	I don't have the answers, but I would
13	certainly ask you to consider and make
14	close contact with the people that are
15	affected. And I was very pleased to hear
16	you talk about the reason for this study
17	may have been economic impact and human
18	suffering. People suffer when they have
19	to start over with their houses when it's
20	been flooded, and they don't have the
21	insurance.
22	I said last thing. This is the last
23	thing. Last thing, the flooding, putting
24	us in those flooding zones has increased
25	our insurance, flood insurance

Jackson Gulfport

1	astronomically. So that needs to be
2	considered, too. If you fix it, we might
3	have an opportunity to pay less flood
4	insurance. Thank you.
5	MR. SHAW: Anyone else? Yes, sir.
6	MR. MIKE MOCKBEE: We appreciate all
7	y'all done and all and all the information
8	we've gotten, but I'd like to ask each one
9	of y'all to get your crystal ball out and
10	tell us when we might see some shovels
11	doing some of these solutions.
12	THE COURT REPORTER: Sir, what is
13	your name? I'm sorry.
14	MR. MIKE MOCKBEE: Mike Mockbee.
15	MR. SHAW: Mike Mockbee. Yeah. And
16	I apologize. If you would, can you step
17	to the microphone, please, and state your
18	name for the record? Yes, sir.
19	MR. REESE PARTRIDGE: My name is
20	Reese Partridge. I've lived in Jackson
21	for over 40 years. I've been going to
22	Lefleur's Bluff State Park since it was a
23	Jackson city park. Since about 25 years
24	ago, I and other members of the Jackson
25	Audubon Society have maintained nesting

1	boxes at Lefleur's Bluff State Park for
2	prothonotary warblers, which are yellow
3	songbirds that migrate each year from
4	South America for their summer breeding
5	season here and return to South America in
6	the fall.
7	Like most of our migratory birds,
8	their numbers are in decline, and they are
9	of high conservation concern. Cornell
10	University reports they have declined by
11	31 percent from 1966 to 2019, an average
12	of and average a decline of about 0.7
13	percent each year.
14	Quoting Cornell, "As habitat
15	specialists, these warblers are vulnerable
16	to the loss and alteration of forested
17	wetlands on their breeding grounds.
18	Removal of standing dead trees and
19	channeling of streams can affect
20	availability of nest sites, as well as
21	nest success."
22	That sounds like it's on point for
23	what we're talking about today. Past park
24	visitors are going to be shocked by how
25	Alternative D, the Corps preferred plan,

1	is going to destroy some of the park's
2	mature cypress Tupelo gum wetlands, which
3	is also the very best prothonotary warbler
4	nesting habitat. The lake plan
5	alternatives also eliminate this prime
6	nesting habitat for declining species.
7	According to a map in the EIS draft,
8	the most quintessential cypress Tupelo gum
9	pond in the park, actually on any public
10	lands in the Jackson area I'm aware of, is
11	going to be excavated, destroyed, and
12	eliminated for the sake of commercial
13	development.
14	According to the Wildlife and
15	Fisheries website, there are 305 acres in
16	Lefleur's Bluff State Park. The EIS says
17	that 78 acres of the park will be
18	excavated for Alternate D. That's over 25
19	percent.
20	So on one hand, we're told that the
21	government is going to increase recreation
22	with Alternative D, and on the other, take
23	25 percent of actual park land and put it
24	under water for the sake of commercial
25	development.

1	My second topic concerns the economics
2	that we talked about today on the
3	alternatives in the draft EIS. There's
4	some astonishing facts in the draft EIS
5	lake plans, including alternative D. Not
6	only will it protect 316 houses for its
7	half a billion dollar cost, it will flood
8	52 other houses downstream. The term
9	used, as I understand it, is "induced
10	flooding." So the net improvement is only
11	going to be 264 houses for the half a
12	billion bucks.
13	But the bad news doesn't stop there,
14	because the presence of the lakes will
15	also increase flash flooding in the
16	tributaries and drainage ditches that flow
17	into the Pearl.
18	But there's some good news in all
19	this, if the Corps of Engineers will just
20	dump the lake plans and go with some form
21	of Alternative A, buyouts and raising up
22	of flood-prone properties, along with
23	protective levees for Canton Club and
24	other neighborhoods in a similar
25	situation.

1	Alternative A with Canton Club Levee
2	protects 308 homes and structures. 308 in
3	Alternative A with Canton Club Levee
4	versus the 264 net number in Alternative D
5	and E lake plans. Start with
6	Alternative A and improve on it.
7	Alternative A1 has the highest benefit
8	to cost ratio. Alternative A1 with Canton
9	Club is \$60 million, million with an M,
10	versus Alternative D, which is half a
11	billion with a B.
12	When I read about the good and
13	important work the Corps of Engineers and
14	the federal government does around the
15	country to prevent, alleviate, and reduce
16	flooding in private homes and businesses,
17	time and again I hear the most
18	cost-effective solution is buyouts. Too
19	often, all the incredibly expensive earth
20	moving excavation and destruction doesn't
21	even solve the flooding problems.
22	We've had a governor who recently had
23	a lot of country sayings that he repeated,
24	one of which was, "Keep your eye on the
25	ball." "Keep your eye on the ball" means

1	get the job done but do it in the most
2	cost effective way for taxpayers and, in
3	this case, in the least destructive way
4	for our natural heritage.
5	The lake plans are a preposterous and
6	outrageous misuse of and misappropriation
7	of taxpayer money. What's more, it's
8	ignorant to move forward with any lake
9	plan when it ignores the facts. The facts
10	are that buyouts and raising flood prone
11	structures are a far wiser of taxpayer
12	funds than any lake plan. Thank you.
13	MR. SHAW: Thank you. Next.
14	MR. MORRELL RICHARDSON: Hi. I'm
15	Morrell Richardson. I'm a retiree from
16	Eaton Aerospace, and I live very close to
17	Lefleur's Bluff State Park. This question
18	is for Colonel Gipson. It is a follow-up
19	from a question that I asked the last time
20	of Colonel Klein, which he could not
21	answer but promised that he would study
22	and get back to me. So I assume he has
23	briefed you on this.
24	Before I get to the question, though,
25	let me give you a little back.

1	MR. SHAW: Sir, can I ask you to get
2	closer to the mic? Thank you.
3	MR. MORRELL RICHARDSON: Before I get
4	to the question, let me give you a little
5	background. I was born and raised in
6	Jackson. I've seen many floods. I was
7	alive when the reservoir was built.
8	When I lived at the reservoir, I
9	crossed that dam every single day for 18
10	years going to work. I saw the levels.
11	When the water's up over the boat ramp and
12	over in the bathrooms at the spillway,
13	Jackson is under water. And I saw that
14	day after day, year after year.
15	Now I live right next to Eubanks
16	Creek, right next to Mayes Lake, and every
17	time it floods, the park is under water.
18	I have looked out on in the February
19	'22 flood, I looked out my window from my
20	living room couch and saw beavers and
21	ducks swimming by.
22	Now, raising the river level 6 feet is
23	not going to prevent stuff like that. The
24	park already floods every year. It's only
25	going to flood 6 feet deeper.

1	Now, there is the drainage from
2	this campus here goes across Lakeland
3	Drive, and there's a storm drain which
4	constantly gets overwhelmed during flash
5	floods. Now, if the river level is 6 feet
6	higher, where is that water going to go?
7	All right. So I'm familiar with the
8	reservoir. It operates at capacity. It
9	goes up and down only by inches. It
10	cannot in any sense of the word be
11	considered a flood control project.
12	Neither can this. And I resent when I see
13	flood control project or flood mitigation
14	in the titles of these projects. If it
15	does anything at all, it's going to make
16	things worse.
17	And to follow up with the question, I
18	asked Colonel Klein toward the end of the
19	last meeting as we were looking at some
20	large photos before and after the
21	reservoir, within a year of the reservoir
22	being built, there was a massive
23	channelization downstream, and it was not
24	planned.
25	Now, supposedly, they did their

1	homework on the reservoir, and yet this
2	massive miscalculation took thousands and
3	thousands of acres, rerouted the river,
4	changed everything. Those were just
5	complete miscalculations.
6	Designing a dam and a spillway should
7	not force you to do major projects
8	downstream. And with what's known of this
9	situation I'm also old enough to
10	remember when they used to do hydrological
11	experiments at the Waterways Experiment
12	Station.
13	I wonder if you can produce a
14	hydrological model. Say an office cooler
15	with a spigot, and it has to maintain
16	within an inch, that's the reservoir.
17	Then you got a dog bowl with a few holes
18	in it that are tributaries, and it's the
19	Pearl River Basin. When you put the whole
20	thing under a sprinkler, the reservoir
21	can't go over the top. It's got to stay
22	there. The only thing you can do is open
23	that spigot and fill up that dog's bowl
24	and the tributaries.
25	Now, if somebody can show me a

1	physical experiment where rain at the
2	reservoir is not going to affect us or
3	that the reservoir can control Jackson
4	flooding in any other way than opening the
5	gates, that's all they can do. The only
6	flood control the reservoir has over
7	Jackson is how deep Jackson floods.
8	Now, Colonel Gipson, what did Colonel
9	Klein say about why the massive redesign
10	was necessary after only one year?
11	COL. GIPSON: We didn't talk about
12	that.
13	MR. MORRELL RICHARDSON: He told me
14	he would. That's all. Thank you.
15	MR. SHAW: Thank you, sir. Next.
16	MS. TAMITHA DILL: Good afternoon.
17	My name is Tamitha Dill, and I am a
18	resident in the McCloud neighborhood and a
19	member of the 3C1CA group, stands for
20	three communities, one common agenda, and
21	the three communities are Canton Club,
22	Parkway, and McCloud.
23	We were organized for the main reason
24	to focus on flood damage reduction. I
25	would like to thank you, USACE, the rest

1	of the panel, our dignitaries, local
2	businesses, friendly neighbors, and
3	friends. Thank you for giving my
4	neighbors and I the opportunity to express
5	our concerns.
6	In our communities, we were mandated
7	to be evacuated in 2020 and 2022 due to
8	the Pearl River flooding, but,
9	unfortunately, we have been dealing with
10	other factors on a regular basis for
11	several years. We have worked with
12	department heads, dignitaries, with little
13	to no progress.
14	Some of the issues are collapsed pipes
15	in the storm drains; clogged drains for
15 16	in the storm drains; clogged drains for many, many years; flash floods each time
16	many, many years; flash floods each time
16 17	many, many years; flash floods each time it rains, which block access to roads,
16 17 18	<pre>many, many years; flash floods each time it rains, which block access to roads, homes, businesses, et cetera; unstable</pre>
16 17 18 19	<pre>many, many years; flash floods each time it rains, which block access to roads, homes, businesses, et cetera; unstable bridge on Sedgwick Drive on Purple Creek,</pre>
16 17 18 19 20	<pre>many, many years; flash floods each time it rains, which block access to roads, homes, businesses, et cetera; unstable bridge on Sedgwick Drive on Purple Creek, which already had a proposal in 2021 to</pre>
16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>many, many years; flash floods each time it rains, which block access to roads, homes, businesses, et cetera; unstable bridge on Sedgwick Drive on Purple Creek, which already had a proposal in 2021 to have it replaced. Unfortunately, nothing</pre>
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>many, many years; flash floods each time it rains, which block access to roads, homes, businesses, et cetera; unstable bridge on Sedgwick Drive on Purple Creek, which already had a proposal in 2021 to have it replaced. Unfortunately, nothing has been done yet.</pre>
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>many, many years; flash floods each time it rains, which block access to roads, homes, businesses, et cetera; unstable bridge on Sedgwick Drive on Purple Creek, which already had a proposal in 2021 to have it replaced. Unfortunately, nothing has been done yet. Currently, the City of Jackson does</pre>

1	would like to request USACE to reject the
2	alternatives and choose an inclusive
3	decision for the residents for upstream
4	and downstream, businesses in the area,
5	and wildlife.
6	Some of the issues with these
7	alternatives are, with alternative A1,
8	where will the water flow for the Canton
9	Club Levee? There is a need to replace
10	the current levees now. So if the levees
11	are placed in your alternatives, how will
12	they be managed or maintained? Who will
13	be responsible for those operational fees
14	of these levees?
15	Home elevations may require additional
16	costs to bring those structures up to
17	current building codes for plumbing,
18	electrical, and energy systems. And why?
19	Why were there many tributaries that
20	removed that would impact our
21	neighborhoods removed from your plan?
22	For example, Purple Creek. It floods
23	definitely for those particular
24	communities that I just mentioned and also
25	upstream to Ridgeland and so forth. But

1	it's funny that the same Purple Creek is
2	on the City of Ridgeland's Flood
3	Mitigation and restoration project, which
4	they are currently asking \$4 million.
5	They also have the written support
б	from to Congress they have written
7	support to Congress from Representative
8	Michael Guest and Senator Cindy
9	Hyde-Smith, but that will not fix our
10	problem in Jackson for the same Purple
11	Creek tributaries and including others.
12	Will buyouts be taxed? Will flood
13	zones reduce the values of those homes
14	that are being voluntarily asked to be
15	bought out?
16	Alternative C, this plan takes us
17	furtherest away from the productive flood
18	mitigation and restoration plan. It
19	disturbs the ecosystem and definitely not
20	cost effective in regards to the towns.
21	Alternatives D and E, it doesn't
22	provide a solution that resolves our
23	flooding, and both of these requests
24	require congressional action. USACE, your
25	motto is ESSAYONS, which stands for

1	it's a French phrase that translates to
2	"let us try." For you, try means using
3	all of your skills, resources, talents,
4	and enthusiasm to overcome a challenge,
5	and I believe you're up for the challenge.
6	So please, I beg you, do not place us
7	on the back burner. Please focus on flood
8	damage reduction. Let us try. Thank you.
9	MR. SHAW: Thank you. Yes, sir.
10	MR. ALAN LANG: Good afternoon. I'm
11	Alan Lang. I'm a lifelong Jackson
12	resident/investor in a lot of the affected
13	areas. Just, again, thank you for what
14	you're doing here. I've been involved in
15	some wetlands mitigation projects that are
16	involved. I know all the cultural and
17	ecological and hydrological and all of the
18	different considerations that you go
19	through, and it's not easy.
20	As a lifelong resident, I know that,
21	you know, there needs to be a bias toward
22	action with regards to flood control. If
23	you look at a lot of the considerations
24	a lot of concerns have been brought here
25	today. If you tried to do the Ross

1	Barnett Reservoir today, 70 or 80 percent
2	of the comments would be directly pointed
3	against that action, and billions of
4	dollars in residential and commercial
5	development, hundreds of millions of tax
6	dollars have been generated over the last
7	50 years as a result of that project.
8	We've seen how the story ends. It's
9	an unqualified success. Flood control
10	does work, and I would just encourage you
11	to have a bias toward action with regards
12	to your to your proposals. Thank you.
13	MR. SHAW: Thank you, sir. Sir,
14	next.
15	MR. LARRY MCDONALD: Hey, everybody,
16	how you doing? I'm Larry McDonald. I'm
17	from one of the flood districts, North
18	Canton Club Circle. I was here just about
19	every time after 2000 when it flooded,
20	every time, even flashflooded.
21	The question I got, I see I'm from
22	Hillsboro, Mississippi originally. I'm
23	not going to throw all these numbers up
24	here, but the One Lake proposal that
25	you're trying to do, I'm just going to

1	call it what it is. What happens when
2	that one lake floods? I never saw that
3	contingency plan in any report.
4	Two, I've been to many countries, many
5	states that have recreational levees. Why
6	we, the people in Mississippi, the
7	government, or the federal government
8	can't come up with something to do
9	recreational levees to benefit all the
10	people in Mississippi that goes along that
11	river channel? That's one of the main
12	concerns that I have.
13	I don't think we're doing I'm not
14	saying you guys are not doing enough. I
15	appreciate you for your support, whatever
16	you're doing, but I'm thinking somehow we
17	need to come as a collective to do
18	something that will benefit everyone as a
19	whole.
20	And I think a combination of levee, a
21	recreational levee, and cleaning some of
22	these drains everybody keeps talking about
23	within the inner cities, no matter whether
24	it's Flowood, Jackson, Pearl, I think it
25	can be done with a combination of the

1	United States government and the
2	Mississippi government communicating and
3	working effectively. I think we can do
4	that.
5	And if you can show me that critical
6	point for this One Lake project, what you
7	want to call it, because once you build
8	this, I know you a lot of people want
9	it done. I want to see the critical point
10	because I think it's going to have another
11	disaster. That's all I got.
12	MR. SHAW: Thanks, sir. Yes, sir.
13	MR. GREG DIVINITY: Okay. First of
14	all, I'm Greg Divinity. I'm here just as
15	a pastor in the South Jackson area, as
16	well as a citizen of South Jackson, and
17	this is where I'm coming from, is this, is
18	that we've been talking about this and
19	doing something about this since I was a
20	junior in high school. Now my grandbabies
21	are juniors in high school.
22	What I'm saying is we have to begin to
23	do something, and we have something on the
24	table. What we need to do is we need to
25	move forward with something that we

1	already have. If Alternative D is what we
2	have on the table, we need to move with
3	it.
4	Because what happens is, if we
5	continue to kick the can down the road, my
б	grandchildren's grandchildren will be
7	juniors in high school and will still be
8	kicking this can down the road. A flood
9	would devastate our communities, would
10	devastate the areas.
11	So what I'm saying is, if we have
12	something on the table, all these numbers
13	and stuff, all this, I'm not familiar with
14	all that, but I know that we need to do
15	something. And then when we do it, what
16	we can do is begin to improve on it.
17	But we have to move forward on
18	something soon and quick, because what is
19	happening is we're continuing to kick this
20	can down the road, and you're continuing
21	to cause people to lose hope. And so, we
22	have something in place, which is
23	Alternative D that we have, it seems to be
24	a pretty good plan at this present time,
25	let's move forward with it, put it in

1	place, improve as we go, but it will give
2	us some hope that something is taking
3	place.
4	Appreciate all that you all do.
5	Appreciate all the research that has taken
б	place. I understand sometimes people say,
7	well, you're paying too much for this,
8	paying this for this, but I want you all
9	to understand with me, flooding will cost
10	lives, and one life is worth all the money
11	that we're talking about.
12	When I think about it, we need to do
13	something, and if we have something on the
14	table, let's move forward with it and
15	improve it as we go. Thank you.
16	MR. SHAW: Thank you, sir.
17	MR. DEION THOMPSON: Good afternoon,
18	sir.
19	MR. SHAW: Good afternoon.
20	MR. DEION THOMPSON: Good afternoon,
21	USACE. I'm going to make my comment very
22	short.
23	MR. SHAW: Could you give us your
24	name, please?
25	MR. DEION THOMPSON: Yes, yes. My

1	name is Deion Thompson, and I live in the
2	Canton Club subdivision. Been there for
3	the past 20-some years.
4	And my neighborhood, which is in
5	northeast Jackson, consists of Canton
6	Club, there's McCloud, and we also have
7	Parkway. And in our backyard, when the
8	flooding starts on the Pearl River, it
9	hits us first. In '20, we had to
10	evacuate. '22, we were getting ready to
11	evacuate.
12	The flooding came instantly, just as
13	it did in '20, and people were having to
14	just disrupt their livelihoods and not
15	knowing how long we were going to be away
16	from our homes, and our homes being
17	destroyed with the floods because some of
18	the residents still, to this day, have not
19	gotten their homes back intact.
20	We met with Roger Wicker, Senator,
21	that is. In '20, he stood there at the
22	flooding and told us we were going to fix
23	this problem, this flooding. "It's a
24	doable project." He spoke those exact
25	words to me as he shook my hand.

1 The mayor was there for the City of 2 Jackson that did nothing, and several 3 other people. Like I say, I'm not going 4 to call anybody's name to discriminate 5 against them. But the thing of it is, we have to 6 7 come up with this plan that you all told us that you all would come up with, and 8 9 that plan included, one, it was a 10 comprehensive plan. You all know what that is. That starts at our back door at 11 the Pearl River Basin there at the 12 13 spillway all the way down to the Gulf 14 Coast. 15 Okay. And I want you all to give us a 16 plan that's going to include everyone, 17 meaning that it's going to strengthen, 18 first and foremost, our neighborhoods. We 19 don't want our neighborhoods destroyed. We don't want our neighborhoods wiped out. 20 21 The people that are there, homeowners, 22 myself, and others, we want our properties 23 and our neighborhood protected. 24 We talk about, what, flood risk, the 25 management of it? It starts there with

1	the reservoir and goes all the way down to
2	the Gulf. You all know that. You are not
3	the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for
4	nothing.
5	Secondly, the safety impacts of our
6	neighborhoods should be included in this
7	plan with our creeks, our bridges, our
8	drainage systems, our sewage, and even the
9	future references of our drinking waters.
10	And, thirdly, the environmental safety
11	of this. We don't want the environment
12	destroyed. We don't want none of the
13	facets of it. The Audubon Society is
14	involved in it. The Pearl River Keepers,
15	they're involved it. And these are
16	entities that are counting on you all, as
17	well, including this comprehensive plan
18	that's going to help all of us.
19	We don't want our neighborhoods
20	flooding the way that they've been
21	flooded. You all please come up with some
22	kind of plan. You don't work for the
23	Pearl River Flood Control District. You
24	work for us.
25	You all have been given the privilege
L	

1	to give us some satisfaction as to taking
2	care of the flood, the flood mitigations
3	and all these things that we're being
4	involved in. We are counting on you all.
5	Please don't let us down.
6	Colonel Klein was honest with us, I
7	would say, with the conversation that I've
8	had with him now. Our new person, we
9	expect you to do the same. If you do
10	that, we'll be grateful to you.
11	Pearl River flood the Pearl River
12	Flood Control people that's controlling
13	the river and what have you, they're
14	looking for one thing, that is to put
15	money in their pockets, and I'm just going
16	to tell you like that, with this One Lake
17	project, and we don't have time for that.
18	We do not have time for our
19	neighborhoods to be flooding again. And
20	right now, within the past from January up
21	until now, we've been threatened with
22	floods three times. So we're just sitting
23	ducks waiting for the next flood to
24	happen.
25	Please consider that in y'all's plan.

1	Please do not neglect us. Please, Colonel
2	Gipson. Please help us. We ask of you.
3	We beg of you. Got you.
4	MR. SHAW: Thank you, sir.
5	MS. MINDY BOWERS: Hi. My name is
б	Mindy Bowers, and I live in Canton Avenue
7	Estates. I flooded in 2020. I was
8	evacuated. Threatened in 2022. My house
9	was 8 feet under in 1979, and I'm very
10	invested in this control project.
11	However, all the tributaries I live
12	on Purple Creek, and the tributaries are
13	not being taken care of. This is an
14	example of Purple Creek. It's blocked.
15	I have been working 11 years to try to
16	get this cleared so that what is
17	happening I live down this area, and
18	the water is 4 feet deep, standing, not
19	moving because of this. I've been on
20	WLBT. I've written to the city. So
21	someone needs to take care of this
22	problem.
23	The other issue I have is I'm a little
24	concerned with the levee, the Canton Club
25	Levee. It will, I think, take care of

1	certain houses, but one portion of this
2	levee is on the north side of Purple
3	Creek.
4	The creek floods. I've been flooded
5	all the time. If you put a levee on the
б	back side of this creek and the water
7	comes, hits the resistance of that levee,
8	the water is going to be come right
9	toward my backyard, right into my house.
10	So it's protecting these houses, but what
11	about these houses over here where the
12	water is going to now just flood a
13	different different houses? Still
14	going to flood, in my opinion.
15	And if what you say is that after they
16	do the work, this will prevent
17	100-year flood won't happen, so not to
18	worry, because Purple Creek won't flood.
19	Well, then why are you putting the levee
20	there if it's not going to flood?
21	And I'm on the downside, the back
22	side, the bottom of the levee. So in my
23	opinion, when water hits a wall, it's
24	going to bounce off. And that's all I
25	have to say.

1	MR. SHAW: Thank you, ma'am. Next.
2	Yes, ma'am.
3	MS. SHARON PAGE: Good afternoon.
4	Thank you for listening to our comments.
5	I would like to be heard by you
6	MR. SHAW: Ma'am, could you start
7	your name, please?
8	MS. SHARON PAGE: I'm going to get to
9	it. The U.S. Corps of Engineers and
10	elected officials of the Rankin-Hinds
11	District Board, my name is Sharon Page. I
12	have I have lived in part of the Canton
13	Club that flooded in 2020 and 2022.
14	In 2020, officials claim we had a
15	certain amount of time to evacuate. Of
16	course, I worked. I got off of work
17	early. By the time I got home, it was
18	really too late for me to evacuate
19	anything. What I got out was clothes for
20	myself and my son. Everything else I left
21	there. I do have flood insurance. Thanks
22	for that.
23	In 2022, the water came up to my
24	doorstep. It did not get into my home. I
25	am back there on Foxboro where the water

1	actually comes in at to start off with.
2	First time it flooded, I was out of my
3	house for nine months. Second time, it
4	was probably a week because the water did
5	recede.
6	This is a trauma to me, and it stays
7	on me. Every time it rains, every time it
8	rains, my street floods, especially when
9	there's a heavy rain. I have problems
10	getting out of my community.
11	Not only that, I have to think about,
12	do I need to evacuate now? Do I need to
13	pack? The last time my coworkers came to
14	my home, and they elevated all of my
15	furniture. They lifted it up high because
16	that was less than two years. They said,
17	We're not going to let you lose all your
18	furniture this time, so we're going to do
19	this for you. And I thank them for that.
20	It's three communities there. I see
21	with one of your alternatives you do have
22	the levee for the Canton Club area, which
23	is my area, but I think about my neighbors
24	as well, because all three of us, we are
25	communicating with each other on this here

1	situation. I would hate seeing their
2	for what you are going to do for us, the
3	Canton Club, destroy their property.
4	I know what it's like going through
5	that. At first, I didn't. I used to see
6	all this on television, people houses
7	flooding. Then it would hit me, it was a
8	problem. It really was a problem. I saw
9	how the other communities, how they felt.
10	And if I felt like that and you all
11	protect my area and forget the people
12	downstream, I'm going to know if they're
13	going to going through it, and that's
14	going to hurt me as well because I'm going
15	to, I know.
16	Questions I have, first, what measures
17	will the levee system include to ensure
18	drainage? The streets in Canton Club,
19	like I said, they flood every time we get
20	a heavy rain. Sometimes it's just a
21	moderate rain. It floods. It floods
22	there.
23	The new levee cannot make this worse,
24	and any situation should seek to lessen
25	this problem. Your plan must consider

1	Canton Club drainage when the river is
2	flooding within its banks and doing
3	extreme events when the river is above
4	flood stage.
5	Second, how would Canyon Club Levee
6	impact my neighbors south of Purple Creek?
7	We are all in this together, and the
8	solution that helps one but not the other
9	is unacceptable.
10	Third, if the Corps can ensure Canton
11	Club protection behind a levee, then
12	what's the feasible and constructive
13	system for Parkway and McCloud? We want
14	measures that can protect us and be
15	(indiscernible) without further studies
16	and congressional action trying to justify
17	a new recreation and real estate dam.
18	I want to thank you all again for
19	listening to me.
20	MR. SHAW: Thank you. Next.
21	MR. ZACH SERVIS: Hey. How are y'all
22	doing today? My name is Zach Servis.
23	That's S-E-R-V-I-S. I'm a resident of
24	Jackson on the north side. Thankfully,
25	I'm not affected by the floods or

1	anything. I'm a business owner, music
2	artist, and I'm also raising a family and
3	running for mayor of Jackson.
4	Why do I say that? Because there's a
5	caveat about this entire project. And I
6	come from a military family, so, first of
7	all, thank you for what you guys do.
8	There's a caveat nobody's talking
9	about. I don't know if it's because they
10	just don't want to or if it's because
11	they're not aware of it, but there's an
12	agenda that has existed long before this
13	project came.
14	And if you go on to YouTube, there's a
14 15	And if you go on to YouTube, there's a video called Great City Vision video on
15	video called Great City Vision video on
15 16	video called Great City Vision video on the Great City of Mississippi page, and it
15 16 17	video called Great City Vision video on the Great City of Mississippi page, and it shows you what this river is going to look
15 16 17 18	video called Great City Vision video on the Great City of Mississippi page, and it shows you what this river is going to look like long before they ever told you about
15 16 17 18 19	video called Great City Vision video on the Great City of Mississippi page, and it shows you what this river is going to look like long before they ever told you about this project.
15 16 17 18 19 20	video called Great City Vision video on the Great City of Mississippi page, and it shows you what this river is going to look like long before they ever told you about this project. Because there is a plan and agenda to
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>video called Great City Vision video on the Great City of Mississippi page, and it shows you what this river is going to look like long before they ever told you about this project. Because there is a plan and agenda to make East Jackson the place to be for</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>video called Great City Vision video on the Great City of Mississippi page, and it shows you what this river is going to look like long before they ever told you about this project. Because there is a plan and agenda to make East Jackson the place to be for residential and commercial development</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>video called Great City Vision video on the Great City of Mississippi page, and it shows you what this river is going to look like long before they ever told you about this project. Because there is a plan and agenda to make East Jackson the place to be for residential and commercial development while completely neglecting West Jackson</pre>

1	They're getting ready to say they're
2	not going to rehydrate Lake Hico. They're
3	going to level it out. It's a complete
4	waste. The only reason Lake Hico was
5	stopped being used was because of
6	segregation. The reason it was fenced
7	off.
8	The Ross Barnett Reservoir was created
9	with no thought towards how people in
10	South Jackson and West Jackson were going
11	to be affected. I don't know if y'all
12	know the history. Ross Barnett was
13	Governor of Mississippi who wrote his
14	campaign song, and he sung of how he was
15	anti-integration.
16	So all of the planning that went into
17	these developments for the river were only
18	supposed to benefit one group of people,
19	which are the ones who all moved east of
20	I-55.
21	There is over 300 acres of land on the
22	east side of I-55 by Elton Road and
23	McDowell Road that are city surplus that
24	they're actively willing to sell in the
25	City of Jackson that easily could be used

1	for this river project, and if you want to
2	put a lake anywhere, put it there, because
3	all the development is going into a place
4	that's already being developed well. It's
5	already doing well economically. It's
6	already doing well financially.
7	But let me tell you the communities
8	that aren't doing well right now, West
9	Jackson, South Jackson, and they're the
10	ones that get flooded out mostly.
11	Now, I used to be an Uber driver as
12	well. I have driven every street that
13	I know about streets y'all don't even know
14	exist in the metro area, and I've seen the
15	streets that flood. I've seen how bad it
16	gets. Shoot, Roach the Monument bridge
17	floods every year downtown. That's
18	downtown.
19	I know we're pressed for time, but I
20	want to implore you guys, because you
21	mentioned economic justice and
22	environmental justice. It needs to be a
23	real priority, because I believe we need
24	this progress. I believe we have to have
25	something to solve this flooding.

2commercial development is a needed and3good thing, but it cannot be at the4detriment of the people who are already in5need of development.6I would like to see a version of this7project that puts the lake on the south8side, that takes those tributaries that9run into Hanging Moss Creek, put it up10into Lake Hico, and let's rehydrate Lake11Hico.12Let's put a reservoir in Jackson that13benefits Jackson so that instead of them14opening up the levees and flooding us out15in 2020 no one in Madison got flooded16out. Ridgeland didn't get flooded out.17They let it flow downstream.18All I'm asking is that you guys19prioritize the people who are already not20getting a fair shake in this so that21everyone in Jackson benefits from this.22Thank you.23MR. SHAW: Thank you. Next.24MR. TAYLOR NICHOLAS: Thank you for25your time. I realize we're coming up on	1	And I do believe recreational,
4detriment of the people who are already in5need of development.6I would like to see a version of this7project that puts the lake on the south8side, that takes those tributaries that9run into Hanging Moss Creek, put it up10into Lake Hico, and let's rehydrate Lake11Hico.12Let's put a reservoir in Jackson that13benefits Jackson so that instead of them14opening up the levees and flooding us out15in 2020 no one in Madison got flooded16out. Ridgeland didn't get flooded out.17They let it flow downstream.18All I'm asking is that you guys19prioritize the people who are already not20getting a fair shake in this so that21everyone in Jackson benefits from this.22Thank you.23MR. SHAW: Thank you. Next.24MR. TAYLOR NICHOLAS: Thank you for	2	commercial development is a needed and
5need of development.6I would like to see a version of this7project that puts the lake on the south8side, that takes those tributaries that9run into Hanging Moss Creek, put it up10into Lake Hico, and let's rehydrate Lake11Hico.12Let's put a reservoir in Jackson that13benefits Jackson so that instead of them14opening up the levees and flooding us out15in 2020 no one in Madison got flooded16out. Ridgeland didn't get flooded out.17They let it flow downstream.18All I'm asking is that you guys19prioritize the people who are already not20getting a fair shake in this so that21everyone in Jackson benefits from this.22Thank you.23MR. SHAW: Thank you. Next.24MR. TAYLOR NICHOLAS: Thank you for	3	good thing, but it cannot be at the
6I would like to see a version of this7project that puts the lake on the south8side, that takes those tributaries that9run into Hanging Moss Creek, put it up10into Lake Hico, and let's rehydrate Lake11Hico.12Let's put a reservoir in Jackson that13benefits Jackson so that instead of them14opening up the levees and flooding us out15in 2020 no one in Madison got flooded16out. Ridgeland didn't get flooded out.17They let it flow downstream.18All I'm asking is that you guys19prioritize the people who are already not20getting a fair shake in this so that21everyone in Jackson benefits from this.22Thank you.23MR. SHAW: Thank you. Next.24MR. TAYLOR NICHOLAS: Thank you for	4	detriment of the people who are already in
7project that puts the lake on the south8side, that takes those tributaries that9run into Hanging Moss Creek, put it up10into Lake Hico, and let's rehydrate Lake11Hico.12Let's put a reservoir in Jackson that13benefits Jackson so that instead of them14opening up the levees and flooding us out15in 2020 no one in Madison got flooded16out. Ridgeland didn't get flooded out.17They let it flow downstream.18All I'm asking is that you guys19prioritize the people who are already not20getting a fair shake in this so that21everyone in Jackson benefits from this.22Thank you.23MR. SHAW: Thank you. Next.24MR. TAYLOR NICHOLAS: Thank you for	5	need of development.
<ul> <li>side, that takes those tributaries that</li> <li>run into Hanging Moss Creek, put it up</li> <li>into Lake Hico, and let's rehydrate Lake</li> <li>Hico.</li> <li>Let's put a reservoir in Jackson that</li> <li>benefits Jackson so that instead of them</li> <li>opening up the levees and flooding us out</li> <li>in 2020 no one in Madison got flooded</li> <li>out. Ridgeland didn't get flooded out.</li> <li>They let it flow downstream.</li> <li>All I'm asking is that you guys</li> <li>prioritize the people who are already not</li> <li>getting a fair shake in this so that</li> <li>everyone in Jackson benefits from this.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>MR. SHAW: Thank you. Next.</li> <li>MR. TAYLOR NICHOLAS: Thank you for</li> </ul>	6	I would like to see a version of this
9run into Hanging Moss Creek, put it up10into Lake Hico, and let's rehydrate Lake11Hico.12Let's put a reservoir in Jackson that13benefits Jackson so that instead of them14opening up the levees and flooding us out15in 2020 no one in Madison got flooded16out. Ridgeland didn't get flooded out.17They let it flow downstream.18All I'm asking is that you guys19prioritize the people who are already not20getting a fair shake in this so that21everyone in Jackson benefits from this.22Thank you.23MR. SHAW: Thank you. Next.24MR. TAYLOR NICHOLAS: Thank you for	7	project that puts the lake on the south
10into Lake Hico, and let's rehydrate Lake11Hico.12Let's put a reservoir in Jackson that13benefits Jackson so that instead of them14opening up the levees and flooding us out15in 2020 no one in Madison got flooded16out. Ridgeland didn't get flooded out.17They let it flow downstream.18All I'm asking is that you guys19prioritize the people who are already not20getting a fair shake in this so that21everyone in Jackson benefits from this.22Thank you.23MR. SHAW: Thank you. Next.24MR. TAYLOR NICHOLAS: Thank you for	8	side, that takes those tributaries that
11Hico.12Let's put a reservoir in Jackson that13benefits Jackson so that instead of them14opening up the levees and flooding us out15in 2020 no one in Madison got flooded16out. Ridgeland didn't get flooded out.17They let it flow downstream.18All I'm asking is that you guys19prioritize the people who are already not20getting a fair shake in this so that21everyone in Jackson benefits from this.22Thank you.23MR. SHAW: Thank you. Next.24MR. TAYLOR NICHOLAS: Thank you for	9	run into Hanging Moss Creek, put it up
12Let's put a reservoir in Jackson that13benefits Jackson so that instead of them14opening up the levees and flooding us out15in 2020 no one in Madison got flooded16out. Ridgeland didn't get flooded out.17They let it flow downstream.18All I'm asking is that you guys19prioritize the people who are already not20getting a fair shake in this so that21everyone in Jackson benefits from this.22Thank you.23MR. SHAW: Thank you. Next.24MR. TAYLOR NICHOLAS: Thank you for	10	into Lake Hico, and let's rehydrate Lake
<ul> <li>benefits Jackson so that instead of them</li> <li>opening up the levees and flooding us out</li> <li>in 2020 no one in Madison got flooded</li> <li>out. Ridgeland didn't get flooded out.</li> <li>They let it flow downstream.</li> <li>All I'm asking is that you guys</li> <li>prioritize the people who are already not</li> <li>getting a fair shake in this so that</li> <li>everyone in Jackson benefits from this.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>MR. SHAW: Thank you. Next.</li> <li>MR. TAYLOR NICHOLAS: Thank you for</li> </ul>	11	Hico.
14opening up the levees and flooding us out15in 2020 no one in Madison got flooded16out. Ridgeland didn't get flooded out.17They let it flow downstream.18All I'm asking is that you guys19prioritize the people who are already not20getting a fair shake in this so that21everyone in Jackson benefits from this.22Thank you.23MR. SHAW: Thank you. Next.24MR. TAYLOR NICHOLAS: Thank you for	12	Let's put a reservoir in Jackson that
<ul> <li>in 2020 no one in Madison got flooded</li> <li>out. Ridgeland didn't get flooded out.</li> <li>They let it flow downstream.</li> <li>All I'm asking is that you guys</li> <li>prioritize the people who are already not</li> <li>getting a fair shake in this so that</li> <li>everyone in Jackson benefits from this.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>MR. SHAW: Thank you. Next.</li> <li>MR. TAYLOR NICHOLAS: Thank you for</li> </ul>	13	benefits Jackson so that instead of them
16out. Ridgeland didn't get flooded out.17They let it flow downstream.18All I'm asking is that you guys19prioritize the people who are already not20getting a fair shake in this so that21everyone in Jackson benefits from this.22Thank you.23MR. SHAW: Thank you. Next.24MR. TAYLOR NICHOLAS: Thank you for	14	opening up the levees and flooding us out
<ul> <li>17 They let it flow downstream.</li> <li>18 All I'm asking is that you guys</li> <li>19 prioritize the people who are already not</li> <li>20 getting a fair shake in this so that</li> <li>21 everyone in Jackson benefits from this.</li> <li>22 Thank you.</li> <li>23 MR. SHAW: Thank you. Next.</li> <li>24 MR. TAYLOR NICHOLAS: Thank you for</li> </ul>	15	in 2020 no one in Madison got flooded
18All I'm asking is that you guys19prioritize the people who are already not20getting a fair shake in this so that21everyone in Jackson benefits from this.22Thank you.23MR. SHAW: Thank you. Next.24MR. TAYLOR NICHOLAS: Thank you for	16	out. Ridgeland didn't get flooded out.
19prioritize the people who are already not20getting a fair shake in this so that21everyone in Jackson benefits from this.22Thank you.23MR. SHAW: Thank you. Next.24MR. TAYLOR NICHOLAS: Thank you for	17	They let it flow downstream.
20getting a fair shake in this so that21everyone in Jackson benefits from this.22Thank you.23MR. SHAW: Thank you. Next.24MR. TAYLOR NICHOLAS: Thank you for	18	All I'm asking is that you guys
<ul> <li>everyone in Jackson benefits from this.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>MR. SHAW: Thank you. Next.</li> <li>MR. TAYLOR NICHOLAS: Thank you for</li> </ul>	19	prioritize the people who are already not
<ul> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>MR. SHAW: Thank you. Next.</li> <li>MR. TAYLOR NICHOLAS: Thank you for</li> </ul>	20	getting a fair shake in this so that
23MR. SHAW: Thank you. Next.24MR. TAYLOR NICHOLAS: Thank you for	21	everyone in Jackson benefits from this.
24 MR. TAYLOR NICHOLAS: Thank you for	22	Thank you.
	23	MR. SHAW: Thank you. Next.
25 your time. I realize we're coming up on	24	MR. TAYLOR NICHOLAS: Thank you for
	25	your time. I realize we're coming up on

1	4:00. My name is Taylor Nicholas. I'm
2	actually a resident of Jackson, and I work
3	with Great City. So I would love to
4	sidebar with you after this, if that's
5	okay.
6	And I want to just give a little
7	clarity and some insight into that Vision
8	video into what I hope, right, this
9	project could be and what I hope,
10	honestly, where we can go as a city.
11	I'm a father of three, as I mentioned.
12	I believe that Jackson has natural
13	resources and community as its two major,
14	I'd say biggest, assets. I believe that
15	you guys have been trying to, let's just
16	say, work on a longstanding issue, and I
17	believe flood control is something that we
18	absolutely have to absolutely have to
19	tackle. We hope that we can do it in a
20	way that brings the community to our
21	riverfront. Right.
22	We have, let's just say, as we all
23	know, water quality problems in the city.
24	We have sewage quality sewage issues in
25	the city. And as we've read about for

1	years, there have been millions of gallons
2	of sewage, right, going into this into
3	this river, and we hear about downstream
4	impacts of that.
5	I personally believe that as we we
6	talk about South Jackson. We talk about
7	West Jackson. As we open up our
8	riverfront and allow our youth of our city
9	to be able to access that and to actually
10	engage with the river and outdoor
11	activities, then we all as a community
12	have a greater appreciation for our
13	natural resources.
14	So whether that looks like a lakefront
15	or that just looks like trails and parks
16	along the river, we as a community need to
17	come together and embrace that and be able
18	to chart a course for a better future.
19	Now, flood control is why we're all
20	here. Flood control is paramount, and we
21	all, I think, implore you together to get
22	that right and to move on action. And I
23	do believe that there are options on the
24	table here that can give us greater
25	community impacts and recreational

1	opportunities.
2	I think that's great. I understand
3	that's secondary, but I think it's
4	fantastic. So I'll leave my comments
5	there and love to talk to you afterwards.
6	Thanks.
7	MR. SHAW: Thank you, sir. Next.
8	MR. PATRICK JEROME: My name is
9	Patrick Jerome. I was here 15 years ago,
10	I think, when this was the Two Lakes
11	project, and we heard from the U.S. Corps
12	of the Army Corps of Engineers that it was
13	going to be too expensive, there were
14	going to be environmental problems, and
15	that it was not very good flood control.
16	So now we're here listening to all
17	this One Lake project. Turns out it's too
18	expensive, there's environmental issues,
19	and it's not very good flood control.
20	I've heard a lot about the Rankin-Hinds
21	Flood Control District, but I've also
22	heard a lot of people here complaining
23	about flooding.
24	I feel that the I would like to
25	know what the Rankin-Hinds Flood Control

1	District has been doing about the flooding
2	that is existing, other than pushing these
3	lake projects because that is all that
4	I've ever seen them do. You go to their
5	website. It is just a big PR piece for
6	this project.
7	They don't seem to be doing anything
8	about the tributary flooding, which I can
9	tell you is going to get worse. I have
10	been observing the real estate buildup in
11	Madison County right now, which is where a
12	lot of the water we get here is coming
13	from.
14	The tributaries upstream are not
15	unrelated to the flooding that we're
16	experiencing. The development that is
17	happening in Madison County right now,
18	especially out near Gluckstadt and north
19	of Madison, a lot of that comes down here.
20	We're pushing more water into the
21	floodplain every year through development
22	here and development in Flowood.
23	And I would like to see more
24	acknowledgement of the increased
25	development in the report, because what I

1	read did not it seems to operate under
2	the assumption the water flows that we're
3	getting now are what we're going to get in
4	the future, which I do not believe to be
5	true for a number of reasons, mainly
6	because of development.
7	And Mr. Turner said, you know, the key
8	here is to move this water through the
9	city in a hurry, but we're not talking
10	about doing that. We're talking about
11	slowing it down. We're talking about
12	building a lake that's going to be full of
13	trash and sewage, because we do not have
14	proper storm drain system in here.
15	And the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
16	knows that along the Pacific Northwest and
17	the Mississippi River, upstream of the
18	Mississippi River, the northern part of
19	the river, there are moving dams, and
20	people along those rivers are enjoying the
21	benefits of the increased flow. They're
22	enjoying these rivers being removed
23	these dams being removed, and we're
24	talking here about building one.
25	It seems to me that the and, also,

1	I did not know until today that the
2	Alternative D, the third, fourth lake
3	project I've seen presented here,
4	increases flooding in some areas of the
5	city, which is not what I wanted to hear.
6	It seems to me that, yes, as the Pearl
7	River Keeper said, that this is a
8	justification for a plan. I understand
9	that it is the locally preferred plan, but
10	I do not feel that the Flood Control
11	people are speaking for many locals. It
12	seems like a justification.
13	It seems as though we could expand
14	alternative A1 for a fraction of the cost.
15	We're talking about 500,000 million
16	dollars at least, and you know it's going
17	to be more expensive than that. 500,000
18	million dollars, whereas we could get this
19	done for less than \$100 million for a
20	thousandth of the price.
21	And this development in Madison and
22	Gluckstadt is going to make this project
23	problem worse in the future. And, look,
24	if we try if we go ahead and approve a
25	lake project now, we're going to be back

1	here in ten years. And we're not kicking
2	the can down the road by refusing a plan
3	that doesn't work. We are proposing
4	better action because we again, I said,
5	if we try this lake plan, I'll see you all
6	here in 15 years.
7	MR. SHAW: Thank you. Next.
8	MR. KENNY DAWSON: Good afternoon.
9	My name is Kenny Dawson. I'm a landowner
10	in the very southwestern corner of Rankin
11	County. I own a half mile of river
12	frontage on the Pearl.
13	While we empathize with the people
14	upstream that flood and would like to see
15	something done for that, the immediate
16	concern that has not been addressed for
17	the landowners south of I-20 is the
18	constant eroding of the banks. I'm paying
19	tax now on five acres that's water over
20	the last 20 years.
21	Congress allocates millions of dollars
22	every year to flood control projects on
23	the Yazoo, while the Pearl just gets
24	minimal monies, just enough to maintain
25	the gauges and a little bit of flood

1	control study. You guys have been doing
2	this stuff for a long time. You know what
3	you're doing.
4	You changed the outflow on all of the
5	reservoirs that flood the Yazoo River
6	Basin to drop that river level at 1 foot
7	per 24-hour period, yet you're mandating
8	that the reservoir do it at what was very
9	destructive on the Yazoo at 2 foot every
10	24-hour period.
11	My question to you would be, instruct
12	the reservoir to do what you're doing on
13	the Yazoo and stop this erosion of the
14	lower Pearl. You killing us. When I
15	first bought that property in '83, I could
16	throw a boat in, jon boat and a motor, and
17	come all the way to Jackson without any
18	problem. Wouldn't hit a thing. I can't
19	get off my property now without getting
20	out and dragging the boat over stuff.
21	Trees.
22	The river's wider than it's ever been.
23	It's just horrible. And we don't see any
24	information coming down the pike from you
25	guys about what this lake project or any

1	other thing that we're doing is going do
2	to the landowners downstream. You know,
3	my heart goes out to these folks flooding.
4	I'd love to see you get something fixed
5	for them, but don't forget about those
6	folks downstream.
7	You know, I'm paying tax on land that
8	I do not own anymore, and it's
9	heartbreaking. It really is. Thank you
10	very much.
11	MR. SHAW: Thank you, sir. Ma'am.
12	MS. MAUREEN MCGUIRE: Good afternoon.
13	My name is Maureen McGuire, and my family
14	and I moved here some 25 years ago to
15	Jackson, and we were surprised at the lack
16	of sizable parks in Jackson, having come
17	from the northeast, with the exception of
18	Lefleur's Bluff.
19	Lefleur's Bluff is a true gem in this
20	city. It is a state park. It has
21	endangered species. I have seen wood
22	storks there. Wood storks are an
23	endangered species. I've seen multiple,
24	multiple species there. My family has had
25	picnics there. We go canoeing there.

1	We've gotten barbecuing there.
2	I am a bit shocked at the callous
3	nature of how you say, oh, the park will
4	be a little more flooded than it usually
5	is. Yes. It floods a bit. It's on a
6	wetland. But it sounds like it will be
7	totally devastated with this plan.
8	And I would like you to consider what
9	a gem it is to Jackson and how ruining
10	that park will devastate some of us.
11	There's not a person in this room,
12	including myself, who feels for the people
13	that are being flooded and have this
14	constant thing hanging over their head,
15	and I sincerely hope we can put together a
16	bunch of measures to alleviate that, but
17	let's not destroy the best park in
18	Jackson. Thank you.
19	MR. SHAW: Thank you, ma'am. Next.
20	MS. JILL MASTROTOTARO: Hi, I'm Jill
21	Mastrototaro. I'm the Mississippi policy
22	director for Audubon Delta. I'm here on
23	behalf of our 38,000 members in
24	Mississippi, Louisiana, and Arkansas. And
25	as a conservation nonprofit guided by the

Transcript of 2:00 Meeting 7/10/2024

1	principle what's good for birds is good
2	for people, we understand just how
3	important the Pearl River is to our
4	communities, for our economy, and an
5	astonishing 32 million birds that use the
б	Pearl River every year from the Ross
7	Barnett Reservoir to the Gulf of Mexico.
8	It comes as no surprise, then, that we
9	have been working for many years with our
10	chapters and partners to protect the Pearl
11	from the environmentally destructive One
12	Lake project, a plan widely publicized as
13	a private real estate development scheme
14	masquerading as a flood control project.
15	Despite the Corps' latest plan being
16	incomplete and missing important
17	information required by law, this draft
18	EIS makes it crystal clear that one lake
19	and all similar dam or dredge plans of the
20	Pearl should be reduced. Specifically,
21	our Alternatives C, D, and E will not
22	provide meaningful flood relief. They
23	will only put more people and property in
24	harm's way and threaten the way of life
25	for downstream communities.
1	

Jackson Gulfport

1	Along with many other concerns, these
2	alternatives will cause massive
3	environmental impacts, expose local and
4	downstream communities to toxic
5	contamination, and induce flooding on
6	vulnerable and downstream communities.
7	All three alternatives include a long
8	list of hidden costs to be borne by
9	taxpayers, such as cleaning up toxic sites
10	or replacing bridges. The draft EIS
11	states one lake is not justified.
12	For the slightly smaller One Lake
13	proposal, Alternative D, that's now the
14	preferred, apparently, it says the dam,
15	quote, "Does not provide any flood control
16	benefits." So instead, Audubon is urging
17	the Corps to pursue effective flood relief
18	for the Jackson community that protects
19	the Pearl and its wildlife and respects
20	downstream communities, and we believe
21	this can be done through Alternative Al's
22	home elevations and floodproofing
23	buildings and crucially crucially by
24	expanding this plan to include elements
25	such as benefiting more homes and

1 businesses, elevating roads, addressing 2 local creek flooding, incorporating 3 nature-based solutions, and considering 4 levee protections for vulnerable Jackson 5 neighborhoods. It's very disappointing to see the 6 7 draft plan arbitrarily exclude and outright dismiss these transformational 8 9 opportunities, opportunities that Audubon 10 and many others have been asking for. For 11 example, it's outrageous that the Corps is 12 proposing to put undue financial burdens 13 on homeowners to participate in home 14 elevations. These costs aren't justified, 15 and we suspect they're intentional so as 16 to derail that alternative. 17 Another opportunity to expand 18 alternative A1 is to include the Pearls 19 urban creeks to be inclusive, not 20 dismissive, of the chronic flash flooding 21 concerns that you've heard from many folks 22 this afternoon. 23 And another opportunity is to look at 24 the potential Canton Club Levee that could 25 protect another thousand structures by

being expanded to include the adjacent 1 2 Parkway and McCloud neighborhoods and to 3 consider those other neighborhoods around 4 it. 5 The good news is that there's federal money available now to begin putting 6 7 alternative A1 plus -- A1 plus into action. Let's begin delivering meaningful 8 9 flood relief for those who need it here in 10 Jackson while protecting the Pearl River and downstream communities. 11 12 And, importantly, we also support the comprehensive study's recommendation that 13 14 a comprehensive watershed study is long 15 overdue. So we look forward to providing 16 our comments in writing. Thank you. 17 MR. SHAW: Thank you. Yes, sir. 18 MR. JUAN FERNANDEZ: Hey. I'm Juan 19 Fernandez. I was driven here by the 20 motivation that this project has lacked a 21 certain level of transparency, and I think 22 honesty, as to what the goals of the 23 project really are. 24 I'll save my -- I haven't really 25 written my comment yet, but I did have

1	another one. I've seen a lot of people
2	from Jackson I've seen a lot of people
3	from Jackson speak today, I think pour
4	their hearts out in statements.
5	And I think it's a real problem that
6	the only elected officials who are part of
7	this vetting process, members of the levee
8	board left very early on in the meeting,
9	was Mayor Gary Rhoads, Jake Windham from
10	Pearl, the mayor, excuse me, Supervisor
11	Graham, and maybe Supervisor Steve Gaines.
12	They walked out. Who's telling them how
13	people feel about this project? Who's
14	trying to tell them that perhaps there's
15	more alternatives that could be
16	implemented faster to protect their
17	constituents?
18	I got up to speak now in hopes that
19	this message will somehow make it to them
20	and that they can actually stick around
21	for the entire meeting this evening.
22	Thank you.
23	MR. SHAW: Thank you. Next.
24	MR. LOUIE MILLER: Good afternoon.
25	My name is Louie Miller. I'm the state
L	

1	director for the Sierra Club in
2	Mississippi, and on behalf of our
3	2,000-plus members in Mississippi is what
4	I am delivering these remarks for.
5	As a native Jacksonian, I'm no
6	stranger to the issue of flooding. In the
7	1979 flood, I was helping friends and
8	family move furniture and valuables onto
9	the roof of their homes along Meadow Oaks
10	Park Drive in Jackson. So I know
11	flooding. I know what it looks like, and
12	I know what it feels like to this day, and
13	hearing what people are saying today is a
14	stark reminder of that.
15	After the '79 flood, the General
16	Accounting Office conducted a postmortem
17	of the flood, the '79 flood. What they
18	found was a cascading series of errors
19	that significantly contributed to the
20	flooding impacts, such as not sandbagging
21	the Fortification Street Levee, leading to
22	the flooding of the fairgrounds area.
23	The City of Jackson and the Levee
24	Board failed to cut off the 66-inch sewer
25	line that also let in millions of gallons

1	of river water. But foremost, first and
2	foremost, unabated development in the
3	floodplain and, yes, the floodway by
4	greedy, opportunistic developers.
5	So here we are again with history
6	repeating itself with the so-called One
7	Lake plan, which is nothing short of a
8	real estate development scheme
9	masquerading as flood control, which will
10	once again allow development in the
11	floodway. I can't think of anything more
12	asinine than that at this point in time.
13	While the DEIS is woefully incomplete,
14	as Mr. Andrew Whitehurst has identified,
15	it is incomplete. In the 25 to 30 years
16	that I've been doing this work, I have
17	never seen a DEIS that was going to wait
18	for the PED section to be conducted.
19	What as Mr. Andrews said, what is the
20	rush here? What's driving this process
21	besides politics?
22	So given that, you've given us a draft
23	Environmental Impact Statement that does
24	not contain a mitigation plan. You have
25	given us a DEIS that has no impacts for

1	tributary flooding in Jackson. How can
2	that be if you're really, truly interested
3	in resolving flood issues?
4	Tributaries are one of the biggest
5	problems, and there's been plenty of
6	information about one lake and the
7	inability of those tributaries to drain,
8	exacerbating the existing situation. We
9	hear and I appreciate what you've done.
10	We hear all this talk. But we don't have
11	the information to make decisions, and
12	that tells me you don't either.
13	Determination of whether the weir is a
14	high hazard dam, that information is not
15	in this document. No sedimentation study.
16	It's to be conducted during the PED
17	period. On and on and on. The hazardous
18	waste has not been analyzed or done an
19	assessment
20	MR. SHAW: Sir, can I get you to wrap
21	it up, please?
22	MR. LOUIE MILLER: that in and of
23	itself is very disturbing in the sense
24	that these are we know there are sites
25	that if One Lake gets built, you're going

1	to dredge those up several of those
2	toxic sites. Why has that not been done
3	now given the costs that are involved in
4	cleaning up those types of sites?
5	Formal environmental let me get
6	this straight. Formal Endangered Species
7	Act. Okay. Very important, required by
8	law, consultation, and it must be
9	initiated. Formal the other the
10	you know, the list is insane, but let's
11	let's try to keep our cool here.
12	Let's talk about the Fish and Wildlife
13	Coordination Act that has not been
14	followed through. That is another issue
15	that will be critical to what this is
16	going to look like and what kind of
17	mitigation you would end up with.
18	So there is you know, again, we're
19	putting the cart before the horse in not
20	having that information available to the
21	public in a draft, which is where it's
22	supposed to be so people can evaluate that
23	in some meaningful way and just say, oh,
24	well, we'll get to it at some point in the
25	PED.

1	So it's disturbing to me that that is
2	the process that we're facing right now.
3	So given that
4	MR. SHAW: Sir, would you please wrap
5	it up?
6	MR. LOUIE MILLER: Pardon?
7	MR. SHAW: Would you please wrap it
8	up?
9	MR. LOUIE MILLER: I will when I'm
10	finished. Thank you. I've waited for
11	everybody else to speak. Okay?
12	MR. SHAW: But there are other people
13	that want to speak, sir.
14	MR. LOUIE MILLER: I appreciate that,
15	and I'll make it short.
16	So those are those are issues that
17	we need to look at. As many people have
18	said here today, the A1 plus with
19	additional levees will do get the
20	biggest bang for the buck and protect
21	people's houses and homes now rather than
22	later. Thank you.
23	MR. SHAW: Thank you. Anyone else?
24	Okay. As we mentioned earlier, there are
25	multiple ways that you can continue to add

1	your feedback, add your comments, ask
2	questions. You can certainly do so back
3	in the lobby area with the subject matter
4	experts. You can go to the project
5	website, which is here at the bottom of
6	the page. You can also email to that
7	email address there, and then there are
8	comment cards in the back.
9	I would like to thank you all for your
10	participation, for being here, to
11	expressing your views, to being heard. It
12	does matter. We want to hear. We want to
13	know. I would ask that you please have a
14	safe journey, and with that, we're going
15	to adjourn in here. If you would like to
16	talk to some of the subject matter
17	experts, please do so now. Thank you.
18	(END OF MEETING.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
2	I, Ella J. Hardwick, CVR-M, #1749, Court
3	Reporter and Notary Public, in and for the State of
4	Mississippi, hereby certify that the foregoing
5	contains a true and correct transcript, to the best
6	of my ability, as taken by me in the aforementioned
7	matter at the time and place heretofore stated.
8	I certify that I am not in the employ of or
9	related to any counsel or party in this matter and
10	have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the
11	final outcome of this matter.
12	Witness my signature and seal this the 21st day
13	of July, 2024.
14	
	$P \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \gamma \gamma \gamma \gamma$
15	Elle Jachuich
15 16	Ella J. Hardwick, CVR-M #1749
16	Ella J. Hardwick, CVR-M #1749 My Commission Expires:
16 17	Ella J. Hardwick, CVR-M #1749
16 17 18	Ella J. Hardwick, CVR-M #1749 My Commission Expires:
16 17 18 19	Ella J. Hardwick, CVR-M #1749 My Commission Expires:
16 17 18 19 20	Ella J. Hardwick, CVR-M #1749 My Commission Expires:
16 17 18 19 20 21	Ella J. Hardwick, CVR-M #1749 My Commission Expires:
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Ella J. Hardwick, CVR-M #1749 My Commission Expires:
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Ella J. Hardwick, CVR-M #1749 My Commission Expires:
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Ella J. Hardwick, CVR-M #1749 My Commission Expires:
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Ella J. Hardwick, CVR-M #1749 My Commission Expires: