1	TRANSCRIPT OF THE HEARING OF
2	USACE PEARL RIVER FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT
3	PROJECT MEETING
4	JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI
5	DATE: May 24, 2023 at 1:00
6	
7	
8	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers - Vicksburg District public meeting for the Pearl River Flood Risk
9	Management Project was held at Sparkman Auditorium, 1150 Lakeland Drive, Jackson,
10	Mississippi beginning at 1:02 p.m.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	REPORTED BY: Dawn Dillard, CCR 1763
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEA	ARANCES:
2	Army	Corps of Engineers:
3		Thomas R. Shaw Robyn Colosimo
4		Colonel Christopher Klein Brandon Davis
5		Biandon Davis
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	MR. SHAW: Okay. Good afternoon,
2	everybody. Welcome to the first of our two
3	sessions of face to face meetings. Inaudible
4	if you will for me. The Pearl River Flood
5	Risk Management public meeting. This one is,
6	of course, at 1:00. We will have another
7	session at 6:00 p.m. this afternoon. I would
8	just like to thank you. My name is Tom Shaw.
9	I am the project manager for the project. I
10	would a couple of housekeeping rules that
11	I'd like to go over. If when you came in one
12	exit most of you on this side, there is a
13	second exit over here in the event we have to
14	have one. So we do greatly appreciate your
15	attendance here. This meeting is the
16	purpose is to be informational and
17	educational, but it's also to be for us to
18	get feedback, to get input from you the
19	public. And so it's very important to us.
20	And at this time I would like to
21	recognize our panel speakers today. On the
22	far your far right hand side we have Robin
23	Colosimo. She is the deputy assistant
24	secretary for the Army for project planning
25	and project review or planning review,

1		
1	excuse	me .

2.2

2 And then next to her is Colonel
3 Christopher Klein. He is the Vicksburg
4 District Commander.

And beside Colonel Klein is Brandon

Davis. He's is the planning liaison with the

Regional Planning Environment Division South.

So with that I'd like to open with a couple of real quick things. One is there is a sign up list out there. Of course it's not mandatory but we would love to have a record of your attendance here today. I would ask that you probably not put your personal e-mail on there because it will become part of the public record. I'd hate for somebody to see it and have some e-mail addresses they can start sending to you, so.

And so, when you came in there were a couple of boards out there, one of which is what we kind of call the rules of engagement. Why is that important? Well, this meeting is being conducted face to face, and as I said we're asking for input from you. And so we want everybody to get an opportunity to speak that wants to do so. We're also offering a

T	virtual meeting as well. And so that
2	information everything that you're getting
3	there now, they will hear as well with the
4	exception being they won't be able to ask a
5	question except through the chatroom in the
6	Webex itself. So I would ask that if you do
7	come up for some provide us some feedback
8	or input or comment, try to limit your
9	question your comment to three minutes
10	and, likewise, try to avoid interrupting
11	others. And lastly, I would just ask that
12	please wait to be recognized. I will kind of
13	be the moderator when it comes time. We've
14	to two stations up here for comments.
15	So with that all right, let's go
16	to I do want to mention, we got boards up
17	on both sides. One of the boards is a study
18	board. You'll see it's got some push pins in
19	it, and we would ask that if you don't mind,
20	if you would place a push pin with your
21	location, where you're from. The assistant
22	secretary of the army is wanting feedback.
23	They also want to go know where the concerns
24	are coming from. So that will be there as
25	well. There's also a board that shows the

1 study area here in the Jackson Metro area.

2.1

2.2

And then we've got two hours allotted for this meeting, so if for some reason we can't get to your comment there's multiple ways to do it. We have a comment box up front where you can physically write them out. There's an e-mail address for you to be able to send in an e-mail question. And then, lastly, if you choose, we can do a video recording of your comment at the end.

So with that, let's go ahead -- and so why we're here. We're here because we're looking to provide information to you and get feedback. The Non Federal Interest is Rankin, Hinds, Pearl River Flood and Drainage Control District. That's what we call the Non Federal Interest or NFI. You're all familiar with the history of the Pearl. The Pearl has flooded a lot of times. And once again, we're trying to do something about it.

So the Non Federal Interest provided a report, and that report was a draft feasibility study and environmental impact statement. They provided that back in July 2022 and it was written by the ASA's

1	office, comments were generated and then they
2	engaged us, the Vicksburg District, to help
3	resolve the data gaps.
4	So lastly, you'll hear about the review
5	process shortly, but we basically are trying
6	to get the the database, get that
7	information to the Assistant Secretary of the
8	Army by the first of September with the final
9	NEPA document to follow-up in January.
10	With that let's get going. Next slide
11	please. So as I mentioned earlier, this is
12	actually the second location that we've been
13	to. We were in Slidell yesterday for two
14	sessions similar to these. We'll have
15	another session here in Jackson at 6:00. And
16	then as I mentioned earlier, we're doing
17	virtual now, but we're also going to do a
18	virtual only on June 1.
19	I also mentioned ways you can provide
20	comments. Next slide please.
21	All right. And so we will get a
22	Ms. Colosimo, do you want to introduce ASA.
23	MS. COLOSIMO: First of all, thank you
24	everybody for being here. I can't say enough
25	how meaningful it is to have folks to come in

1	person during a workday and share your views
2	here, looking forward to this discussion. We
3	had two great session in Slidell and continue
4	to build on that here. It's hugely
5	important. As we move forward continuing
6	that engagement we need transparency kind of
7	throughout this process to deliver under the
8	opportunity we have before us to meet our
9	goal here. We'll talk more about that today.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As said, I'm Robin Colosimo, I do oversee project planning and review and the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works office.

Long story short, anything that the Corps has to do, it comes through a recommendation that ultimately goes to Congress for authorization or will be delegated to my boss as it has in this case to make a decision, has to come through my group. So see, we are sort of arms length oversee the Corps of Engineers and what they do every day across the nation in all kinds of projects. I'm going to talk a little bit more about why we're here but first I want you to hear it from my boss who is not able

1	to attend today who is Michael Connor, the
2	Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil
3	Works. Can we play the video?
4	(Video played.)
5	MS. COLOSIMO: I know it spent a lot of
6	time seeking. We are posting the slides at
7	some point, but also have a video.
8	MR. SHAW: Yes, ma'am, we can make that
9	happen.
10	MS. COLOSIMO: Yeah, we can make those
11	available in case you wanted to hear that.
12	Bottom line for me is that we are
13	honored to have Mr. Connor as our leader and
14	what I want to share with you is that he has
15	vast experience in solving complex water
16	resources problems at the federal level and
17	the state level. His experience includes in
18	and out of government and regional work. He
19	was most recently the Deputy Secretary of
20	Department of Interior, and he brings a lot
21	of that knowledge that's in a different lane
22	of the federal government that benefits here
23	in this kind of space. He is the ultimate
24	decision maker in this situation and we'll
25	come back to why that is in a few minutes.

1	And policy oversight with the Corps of
2	Engineers, a vast agency with important
3	responsibilities, that oversight includes
4	plans, designs and implementation of projects
5	for the entire scope of those activities.
6	And he is obviously very interested in
7	engagement of the public and the community
8	we're talking about here is affected by the
9	flood problems.

So why are we here? We're here to advance flood risk management solutions and that includes repetitive flooding in Jackson. We want to hear about your experiences there and what solutions look like. You know, that for over 100 years headwater flooding has plagued the Pearl River area and disrupted businesses and industries throughout Jackson. 5000 commercial structures and residential structures impacts on a population of over 500,000. And your notable events were in '79 and '83 and more recently 2022.

I will say a personal note, I was here with Mr. Connor last year in '22 as the rains were coming and the floods were about to arrive. And it was very poignant to arrive

home and see what's happening on the
landscape where I just stood. We also note
that the Pearl River Basin has a tremendous
environmental value including wetlands that
become waters that eventually flow into the
Gulf of Mexico that support thousands of
geese, ducks, and migratory birds.

For decades, as you know, the Corps and non-federal interests have tried to solve the flood risk management problem in Jackson, but a lack of project justification, community support, and funding has stalled meaningful progress. That's the challenge we're going to solve with you. We look forward to working with you to do that. Next slide please.

So the federal interest piece that I
want to get back to, why that exists. It's
really about what Congress has directed the
Corps to do. Congress directs the Corps by
authority to study something and by providing
monies to implement solutions once those
projects are authorized. In this case, in
1986 Congress authorized the Corps to plan,
design, and implement a flood risk management

1	project. Later, in 2007 they modified that
2	authorization to allow Army Corps Secretary,
3	Mr. Conner in this case, to determine the
4	appropriate plan to implement without further
5	consultation with Congress. That latter part
6	really matters as twice they have said you
7	have federal interest to do something here.
8	Congress has determined it once and then
9	reaffirmed it. And as many folks pointed out
10	in Slidell, others may have amplified it here
11	as well, is we also had specific directions
12	in 2018 to ensure we appropriately considered
13	the potential downstream impacts as well as
14	economic justification of any potential
15	solution.
16	Bottom line is we've had the

responsibility to carry out a project here,
we just have been unable to make that happen.
The difference today is funding. Why is that
different? Because Bipartisan Infrastructure
Law my boss just mentioned. Monies were
allocated because of a bill to plan, design,
and implement a project. Once you have
inaudible and funding it's a question of what
you can implement and that's what we want to

scope with all of you. With both of those in
hand it allows us to go forward through the
decision process with you all. As we end up
in that decision we can quickly go to design
and implementation. That's a very big
departure from normal processes in terms of
opportunity. It speaks to the federal
interest at hand. And we are looking for all
of you to reinvigorate technical and
community work to deliver for Jackson.

And with that I'm going to turn it over to Colonel Klein to walk through the details of where we are and where we're headed.

thank you ladies and gentlemen for coming out this afternoon for this public session. We had a really good engagement yesterday where we learned a lot about impacts, even today, with the lower Pearl River but erosion along the banks, silting, impacts of the aging infrastructure down there and how it's been maintained. We also heard a lot of concerns about what's happening with the environment down there and we know that there are coastal restoration solutions the Corps is working on

1	down in our coastal Louisiana. And they
2	really shared and how this river also impacts
3	those as well, so a lot of really good
4	feedback and I think we can get similarly
5	good feedback from you all here about how
6	this river what this river means to you
7	and how these latest flooding events have
8	been impacting you as here in the
9	community of Jackson.

2.2

So I'm Colonel Chris Klein. I'm the

Commander of the Vicksburg District, the

local servicing district for the Corps of

Engineers in this watershed. I am also the

one overseeing this study and how we're going

to move forward with this project.

So the Pearl River has got a long
history of both navigation as well as flood
risk management on it. I know the story of
flood control here in the City of Jackson
started in 1968 with the construction of
those first levee systems. Since then we've
had a flood of record in 1979. I've seen the
pictures online. And then last year I saw
the pictures live in 2022. I was here. All
right, watching it all happen, working with

the team to how we did the flood fight here
locally.

2.2

In between 1979 and now there have been many locally proposed projects as well as Corps-proposed projects that would deliver that flood risk management solution for the City of Jackson. In this latest locally presented plan was presented under Section 211 of the 1996 Water Resources Development Act. The plan was reviewed and pushed forward by the local group, and presented for consideration directly to the Secretary, and that brings us to where we are today and the work that we're doing today. Go to the next slide please, sir.

Our latest efforts started the end of last year, 2022, by direction of the Secretary, and our mission is to build off of all previous work that was presented over the years and develop a report that assesses an array of options for technical feasibility, which includes economic feasibility, environmental acceptability, and legal compliance. And the two most critical items that we're working on right now are

1	identification of what is known as the
2	National Economic Development Plan. All
3	right. And that is the plan that is the
4	plan that has the best for the best
5	financial benefit, provides the most flood
6	risk reduction. All right. So we're working
7	on identifying that plan. And once we
8	identify that plan, that is the plan against
9	which all other arrays will be assessed. And
10	any other option has to provide as much flood
11	risk management as the NEP plan or more. It
12	will never be less.
13	The second thing that we had to do is
14	complete the all included environmental
15	compliance activities and make sure that this
16	is an environmentally acceptable project
17	moving forward.

Finally, what we're going to do is we're going to provide an analysis of comprehensive benefits. We have two types of benefits we're looking at. We're looking at quantitative, those that we can measure, you know, be it economically by numbers; as well as qualitative, because we know flood risk management means a lot more than just the

L	numbers. It means a lot, you know, floods
2	take an emotional toll on folks as well. All
3	right. There's also a lot of ancillary
1	benefits that come with other projects, so
5	we're taking a look at the comprehensive
5	range of benefits and, you know, really
7	appreciate your feedback on that.

The last thing I want to leave you with, the Corps of Engineers, we're not a proponent or an opponent of any one project. We just want to deliver flood risk management solutions for the nation, and today we want to deliver that for the City of Jackson. To that and take you more through where we are technically in this particular effort I'm going to turn it over to Mr. Brandon Davis.

MR. DAVIS: Thank you, sir. And great to be here. My name is Brandon Davis and I am the planning liaison at the Vicksburg District Corps of Engineers. I'm an agricultural environmental economist by trade so I have a lot of experience in that area as well. And echoing what Robyn and Colonel Klein have said, I want to thank you guys for being here today. I'm a local boy and I

1	understand some of the impacts of what's
2	going on in this area, of what you need or
3	what you're looking for, but I also have an
4	appreciation for those that have concerns and
5	I think today it's very vital that we have
6	your input as we go through this, and so I'm
7	just grateful for you to be here.

To set the table for these next few slides here on what we're going to go -- what we're going to be talking about. We get an overview of the non-federal interest, the reports that they did. We're going to go over some of the alternatives that are currently being evaluated. We'll look at the study area of this project and then we're going to talk about areas of interest. We'll get to that in a second. I just wanted to lay the table out for you as we go. And if I say something in one of these previous slides, you know, that catches your attention I'll probably get to it before we're done here today.

But at any rate, in non-federal interest, their report -- their report was authorized to be completed under Section 211

1	authority of the WRDA Water Resource
2	Development Act of 1996. A memorandum of
3	agreement was executed on July 19, 2012
4	between the non-federal interest and the Army
5	Corps of Engineers that described the terms
6	of the Section 211 authority. Those terms
7	are specified in the 211 feasibility
8	report specified, excuse me, in the 211
9	feasibility report will serve as a decision
10	document for the Assistant Secretary of Army
11	for Civil Works for review and for approval.
12	The alternatives that are non-federal
13	interest looked-at and you see up here on the
14	screen, a non-structural plan, a levee plan,
15	a channel improvement plan which was their
16	preferred alternative that consisted of
17	constructing channel improvements, the
18	demolition of the existing weir near the
19	Fewell Water Treatment Plant along I-55
20	constructing a new weir, a low flow gate
21	structure further downstream that would
22	enlarge the existing river channel, federal
23	levee improvements using excavated
24	material excavated material plan, and
25	upgrading existing non-federal levee into a

L	federalized ring levee that surrounds the
2	Savannah Street Water Treatment Plant down to
3	the south.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So what's been going on since they submitted their report. So, the Assistant Secretary of the Army's office and the Corps, we've been working the non-federal interest on resolving some identified issues that were in their 211 study.

Currently, the Corps has been preparing a draft environmental impact statement to identify the National Economic Development Plan, and as Colonel Klein was talking about, the NED, sometimes people get tripped up on that, but really it's how we get the best bang for our buck. To make it as simple as possible, if we know where flood damage is before the project was \$10, and that's just a rough number, if we can implement some type of project that reduces it down to \$5 then we have \$5 in benefits. Again, that's very elementary, I'm just trying to give you an idea. We're trying to implement a plan that maximizes the NED. So that's one of the things we have been charged to do with.

1	When we're comparing the level of flood
2	protection provided by the NED plan that the
3	non-federal interest has determined, and as
4	we said, their preferred plan is the channel
5	improvement plan, we're comparing that to see
6	the level of flood protection of their
7	alternatives versus the alternatives that
8	we're going to be evaluating, but something
9	that needs to be really pointed out that's
10	important is the environmental laws, right?
11	Because we have wetlands down to the Pearl
12	River Basin and we need to make sure that
13	we're a good steward of that. So we're
14	currently in the process of assessing the
15	environmental acceptability and the technical
16	feasibility of these alternatives. We'll
17	provide the Secretary with the necessary
18	information to choose a plan to be
19	implemented. Robyn made that clear that the
20	Secretary is the one who is making will be
21	making the recommendation. We just have to
22	provide Secretary Conner the information to
23	do so.
24	Additionally, consistent with Section
25	1176, the WRDA 2018, this draft environmental

1	impact statement will also assess potential
2	downstream impacts in the Pearl River Basin.
3	We talked about that, the importance of
4	protecting the environment, protecting the
5	Louisiana coast, and all areas to the south

6 of us. Next slide please.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All right. So the alternatives that are being considered, and this is what the Corps right now, what we are considering, and the first you can see is a non-structural alternative. And that's really something that we do for all of our projects. We look at a non-structural plan. We have Plan A, which is relocating structures, buy-outs and relocating properties both commercial and residential. Also, you see A1 there, it's -additionally, it's a non-structural measure of increasing maybe the elevations of a structure and flood provisions. And, again, these are things we're looking at. I will point out to you guys though that on non-structural alternatives, these are the only alternatives where there are no structural features being considered such as any type of channel improvements. So it's

1	just the buy-outs, the flood proofing,
2	elevation increases, things of that nature.
3	So if we look at Alternative C, this is
4	the Channel Improvement Plan, the recommended
5	plan of the non-federal interest. It is
6	similar to what this plan here is similar
7	to what we've seen from other Corps studies
8	of around the country. There was a
9	project in Forth Worth District of a river
10	widening and it has similarities to that.
11	What this alternative includes is excavation
12	of widening of a river channel, the use of
13	materials to enhance levees, create islands
14	for environmental habitat, and a real
15	location down towards the south or at some
16	point in the river channel because we need to
17	make sure that we are cognizant of the
18	infrastructure of Jackson with the water. So
19	we're going to put a weir into the system
20	with the water for the City of Jackson within
21	that alternative.
22	There's also some type of a potential
23	for a combination of a hybrid plans. Even
24	though you see a non-structural and you see

an Alternative C, that doesn't mean that

1	that's it. There could be a combination of
2	that, some type of hybrid plan to be
3	considered.
4	And there's also looking at other
5	potential alternatives meaning that it's been
6	brought to our attention one of the most
7	brought to our attention, there were some
8	students from the University of California
9	Berkeley, they had put together a plan, they
10	submitted that to us and that's something
11	that we'll have to consider as we're going
12	through this process. Next slide police.
13	So our study area, the area, I know it's
14	a little bit difficult for you to see there,
15	I just want to point out that what I'm
16	showing here is a 100 year flood inundation
17	slide. And it's kind of difficult to see.
18	Excuse me, I'm going to stand up just to show
19	you guys. Make sure I've got enough cord so
20	that I don't tell my wife I'm tearing
21	something up.
22	If you can see this area through here,
23	it's a little darker shape gray, that is the

100 year inundation sites or the area, the

footprint, of this project. So what we're

24

1	looking at here or what you're seeing, you're
2	seeing up at the top Ross Barnett Reservoir
3	and the mouth of that is the spillway and
4	down to the south, it gives you a good idea,
5	down to the south there is just to go back
6	to the east and that's Florence. So it gives
7	you an idea of the 100-year footprint in this
8	area. But also, you need to be we need to
9	be cognizant of what's going on downstream as
10	well. Next slide.

So areas of interest. Something we got to talk about and this is where I really need your help. I really need your help here. So these are some things that we have considered working with the non-federal interest and working with our project delivery team. But, again, I need to hear from you. You guys are out there and you know the ground-truth, you can tell us. Some of the things we've looked at is flood risk reduction, water supply, water and wastewater treatment, the impacts of the ecosystem restoration in the environment. Also, there's some existing waste sites out there, cultural resources — we want to inaudible fossils and make sure

1	that we aren't damaging culturally sensitive
2	areas. Transportation, how is this going to
3	affect transportation on the interstates, on
4	local roads, things of that nature.
5	Downstream impacts, we talked about that.
6	Recreational access and opportunities,
7	community impacts. Quality of life, if we
8	put some type of project in and how it's
9	going to help, how is it going to, you know,
10	be a part to that.
11	Economic opportunities. We'd love to
12	see more economic opportunities for Rankin
13	and Hinds County. But there are potentially
14	others out there that you guys could help us
15	with that we may not consider. There may be
16	something out there that you have in mind
17	that we would love to hear on maybe the flood
18	risk or whatever it might be, let us know.
19	There may be a process that we haven't
20	thought of, so please be open with us and
21	provide that information. Next slide.
22	All right, well, I'm going to yield the
23	microphone back to Colonel Klein, thank you.
24	COLONEL KLEIN: So this is our timeline.
25	It looks pretty aggressive. Right now that

1	technical teams work is continuing. And
2	we're here today to receive your feedback and
3	take into consideration everything that
4	you're observing on the ground and what you
5	want as a community. By August we'll have
6	the draft report complete. That draft report
7	will go out, again, for review as well as
8	comments. Of course, we understand there are
9	processes and that will inform the final
10	report which we're hoping to be complete in
11	December and will then go to the Secretary
12	for a decision in January. It does seem like
13	a streamline and aggressive timeline, but
14	it's very feasible and that's because we're
15	building on many, many years of work. A lot
16	of information was already there and, you
17	know, with your feedback and with all the
18	work that's being done we can get to a flood
19	risk solution for the City and the community.
20	Thanks a lot folks. We look forward to
21	hearing from you today and thanks for coming.
22	MR. SHAW: Okay. Thank you, Panel.
23	So we are now about to enter into the
24	time where we would like to have input. We
25	would like to have feedback from you. And so

1	before we do that there are multiple ways
2	that you can submit your feedback as well as
3	through your personal testimony here. So I
4	would ask that you come to one of the
5	podiums, please wait to be acknowledged, and
6	then the panel will respond to the questions
7	So with that we can begin. So whoever would
8	like to come up and make a statement.
9	Yes, ma'am.
10	REPRESENTATIVE BECKY CURRY: I'm
11	Representative Becky Curry, District 92. I

Representative Becky Curry, District 92. I have Copiah, Lawrence, and Lincoln Counties, which the Pearl River goes right through my district. And we have very much concerns about this One Lake. It was Two Lake and I understand they're trying to call it something else now because nobody wants it.

You said that you're having problems with funding but what you mean is you needed tax money in order to do this and we the people don't want it. One Lake is a private real estate development scheme masquerading as a flood control project. We have some very strong politicians who've made sure you have the money to do it now. And I say a

1	flood control scheme because I don't believe
2	that the flooding in Jackson, Mississippi
3	will be better if you put a dam or whatever
4	you're proposing to do, you're going to have
5	more, more flooding. And I don't think you
6	can assure us that that won't happen.
7	When you have a group of people, rich
8	people who just want to get richer by having
9	reservoir number two, we're going to sell
10	lake front properties and we're going to make
11	sure the water stays up here, you have to
12	understand we have a whole lot of problems in
13	Jackson that come down the Pearl River and
14	it's of nobody's fault in Jackson,
15	Mississippi. We get raw sewage every day
16	from Jackson. What are you going to do with
17	that? Block it up some more. I just don't
18	understand. This does not compute with me.
19	And I don't think the Corps of Engineers
20	wants to be a part of a get rich quick
21	scheme. I just am so upset about this.
22	These waterways are owned by the people of
23	Mississippi. They're not owned by anybody
24	else. They're owned by us. We want to swim.
25	We want to fish. We want to have our

1	wildlife. We can't do that right now because
2	of the sewage that comes through our
3	district, but we have been in hopes for 20
4	years that that would be fixed. If we want
5	to take this much of taxpayers money, for
6	God's sake let's fix the sewage before we go
7	into anything else. There's so many other
8	problems that need to be fixed. You may have
9	to flag me when my time is up because I have
10	a lot to say.

One Lake is probably going to raise
everybody's property tax, everybody's
property tax. I'm in the state legislature
and unfortunately unbeknownst to most of us
we've passed several bills in a disguise
years ago, I've been here four terms, 16
years, and I'm unopposed so you have to
listen to me for four more, I'm sorry, but
they have a levee board who we gave the
authority to raise your taxes and they don't
have to answer to anybody. How stupid were
we, and I apologize for that.

We have people in our Congress who are not worried about people south of Jackson, they're worried about Rankin County. Well,

1	don't come looking south of Jackson for a
2	vote let me just assure you because we're all
3	mad. And I'm going to stop there before I
4	say something I'll regret. But I want you
5	guys to know, the people of Mississippi do
6	not want this. Only a handful of people who
7	want to make money off this want this.
8	MS. COLOSIMO: Thank you for your
9	service, first and foremost, and thank you
10	for your input. For reminding me that number
11	one of which is, yes, we do have an
12	opportunity to deliver a project not yet
13	designated here, right. But the number one
14	thing is it could actually be no action as
15	well. So it can be no action, it can be this
16	NAD non-structural thing, the NFI's plan or
17	it can be something else. So also interested
18	in your ideas here that I'm sure you have in
19	your experience about what could work for
20	flooding and flood risk management in
21	Jackson. So please, also take the time to
22	share those with us. Thank you.
23	MR. SHAW: Sir.
24	ATTORNEY JUSTICE GIVENS: First of all,
25	thank you all for putting this on. I'm

1	Attorney Justice Givens. I'm a young
2	professional here in Jackson, born and
3	raised. And one of my main concerns sets in
4	with flooding and issues that's been
5	impacting low income and minority communities
6	in the City of Jackson. I really think that
7	once the issues, the big ticked issues that
8	we've been dealing with is this investment in
9	the City of Jackson, flooding, and also
10	increase in economic development. So there
11	are a few EJ neighborhoods that have
12	unfortunately been experiencing more flooding
13	as a result of the current levee system, the
14	system that's been in place since the 1960s,
15	so I'm looking for solutions for those
16	communities with are within the City of
17	Jackson. I hope that you guys have done much
18	research on those areas that continue and
19	continue and continue to have these problems.
20	And so, again, I think that the current
21	system that we have in place that protects a
22	lot of other areas than those that's been
23	impacted regularly for years and years.
24	So, also, there's a choke point in the
25	current levee system that actually increases

1	the flood levels north of the levee to my
2	understanding. And I believe that at least
3	this is a solution or at least something
4	that's been proposed to help the people that
5	are within the city limits that have been
6	going on with these issues for a very long
7	time.

Also, the nonfederal sponsor that's adopted one of the most progressive minority contracting policies that will insure that if a project does proceed that the economic benefits will funnel back into the local communities by this contract and that's very important so that money, of course, can help us with these economic issues that I've been discussing. So thank you, again, for providing this forum.

MS. COLOSIMO: Thank you for your comments. On the EJ part, in particular, it's a huge priority to this Administration particularly for my boss. So we want to make sure that any solutions put forward doesn't just deal with maximizing the benefits and leaving communities behind. So if you have particular areas, we want to see what those

1	suggestions are as well; we'll have ideas in
2	our plans, but we want complete solutions to
3	ensure that actually is taken care of. So
4	thank you.

MR. SHAW: Sir.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. DAVIS: Yes, so I just want to add on what Robyn is saying. If we could I'd like to meet with you to see on the map some of the areas you're talking about, impact areas that we are looking at that has the EJ areas that are impacted. We'd like to know if there are more of something that we might have missed. One thing we don't want to do is we want to make sure that whatever project is implemented does not create any type of (inaudible) areas as a result of environmental justice and we're taking care of everyone and no one is going to be singled out. So I really would like to meet with you afterwards so we can see some of those areas on the map. Thank you.

COLONEL KLEIN: I appreciate what you said too about getting the contracts going back. And so there's one thing that we're -- that this district is really good at and we

1	were just recognized for it by the
2	Mississippi Small Business Association,
3	delivering back to 8(a) and Hub-zone
4	companies and so that is absolutely we
5	will need small business owners for all of
6	the work we will be doing. So thanks a lot
7	for highlighting that.
8	MR. SHAW: All right. Sir.
9	MR. ROBERT GRAHAM: Good afternoon. I
10	rise in support of One Lake Project. My name
11	is Robert Graham, Hinds County Supervisor
12	representing District 1. I'm also a Levee
13	Board member. District 1 encompasses north
14	and northeast Jackson. There are over 47,000
15	registered voters in District 1. The
16	majority of those voters live on the east
17	side of Interstate 55, the side that is most
18	prone to flooding. The people on that side
19	of the interstate are the most anxious every
20	time that it rains or that we receive heavy
21	rain knowing that the river is going to rise
22	and that there is a potential for flooding.
23	The household with the most anxiety is the
24	person that lives at 531 Rolling Wood Drive.
25	The reason for their anxiety and fear is

1	because that's the first house that will be
2	flooded in the event that we receive heavy
3	rain in the City of Jackson. Many citizens
4	in north Jackson not only feel the emotion of
5	anxiety but they are also afraid. Afraid
6	that politicians are going to or not going to
7	do anything this time around. Afraid that
8	the One Lake Project is going to slip away
9	one more time. Afraid that we will let
10	politics and good common sense get in the way
11	of us doing something to improve the lives of
12	the citizens of the City of Jackson and Hinds
13	County.

So I rise in support of the One Lake

Project. I'm speaking on behalf of the

people that have been flooded nine to ten

times over the last ten years. The people

who live on Rolling Wood, River Wood, River

Run, downtown Jackson, and many other streets

in the City of Jackson. The One Lake Project

is not only good for Jackson and Hinds

County, but it will help improve the quality

of life for the citizens and bring good

economic development to the entire area. So

it's time. It is time for the vision of

1 Mr. John McGowan to come to light under the 2 One Lake Project.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I can sum it up with two words followed by five words. The two words are flood control. The five words, controlling the flow of water. That is One Lake. It's time to get it done. I thank you for your time.

MS. BETTY JOYCE JOHNSON: My name is Betty Joyce Johnson and I live at 830 Cypress I was in the news several times to consider the flood. I lived through the flood and half the people in the community of Pearl River flood. I'm not afraid because God has not given me a spirit of fear but of love. But I know one thing, something new got to start because what we been having it got to end because it not going to work. But one of the things that I have a problem with is that when the flood happened nobody came out and helped us except a few members of the church. Now, our supervisor, they came and did a little bit of looking but nobody came to our rescue. We did not receive any funding and a lot of people had to live in their cars and all over due to the fact that

1	the flood happened. I don't know where y'all
2	spending money at but we did not receive the
3	money. And that was my main concern. Our
4	insurance would not even pay for our hotel.
5	I had to stay in the facility of my church
6	for three months. I had insurance, but you
7	have a lot of folks that did not have
8	insurance and did not have a way to get
9	around due to the fact that the government
10	did not help us. And my house had to be
11	completely remodeled. Now, I don't want this
12	to happen anymore. And I speak on some of
13	the elderly. I'm an elder, 68 years old and
14	some of the elderly have problems with people
15	coming and helping them and then they have a
16	problem with not receiving any type of
17	funding and then you have some people that
18	didn't have insurance.
19	My main concern is what is you all going

My main concern is what is you all going to do about the welfare. And some people had anxiety, some had depression that hit them so bad -- even I had a little depression because I was tired. I worked the same sort of flood when I got out of college in '76. I worked this area and then it increased. But to live

1	through it myself I saw the residue that it
2	left. And the residue is still upon people's
3	heart. Every time it rains my neighbor get
4	afraid and nobody around there help them.
5	And then any time a little water come up they
6	become afraid because they're afraid of their
7	life, afraid of their property, and a lot of
8	them have invested and they're retired, have
9	invested a lot of debt. And they don't want
10	to leave Jackson. What I want to know as a
11	whole, what are we going to do. We can have
12	politics come up here all day long, they done
13	talked noise, but they not doing anything. I
14	need to know from you all if giving them
15	assurance that if something happens next time
16	that you all are going to be there to help
17	them. I have seen neither one of your groups
18	coming out to help. I didn't see nobody
19	because I had to take a boat in '20 when it
20	happened to go get my medication out of my
21	house. But nobody else came out to help us.
22	But my main thing is for the people's voice
23	is that, what are you all going to do? Do
24	the best thing you can do to ensure that this
25	flood won't happen anymore. And if you have

1	a program, do it well because we depending on
2	you. We not depending on these politicians
3	running around here saying what they going to
4	do because they haven't did nothing so far.
5	So I need to know what happened to the money
6	that was supposed to be allocated for our
7	neighborhood, our streets are still unpaved.
8	Yes, they're paved a few of them running
9	around. I don't have nothing to lose because
10	I'm saying nothing happened, nothing
11	happened. It messed up the treat. It messed
12	up everything but we don't have a voice, but
13	today I want to be part of that voice. I
14	want to be the voice in the wilderness to say
15	I experienced and I've been through it, but
16	I'm not afraid. I'm more concerned about my
17	neighborhood. We as a people have to help
18	each other out in order to secure and let
19	them know that what we all are going to be
20	all right, depending on you all to do y'all
21	part. I don't want to get up anymore, but
22	these things doing this and that, but nothing
23	came out of it. But I want to see I want
24	somebody to tell me so I can go back to my
25	neighborhood and give assurance that things

1	are getting ready to change. That S are I m
2	asking you all today, do the best you can,
3	not the least, because not only did it affect
4	my neighborhood, it affect all these other
5	folks. They tired. These are retired
6	people. They need help because we're not
7	relying on our city officials, we're relying
8	on you. So we help pay your taxes because I
9	pay taxes on my house even as a senior
10	citizen because my house is more than what
11	they allow. I pay tax on my automobiles and
12	I'm expecting you all to do work under this
13	term, that y'all doing something different.
14	And this is the first time me seeing you all,
15	but I want to thank you all for letting me
16	speak. I'm Betty Joyce Johnson and 830
17	Cypress Trail. Thank you again.
18	MS. COLOSIMO: Ma'am, I really
19	appreciate your words on so many levels and
20	we want to make sure we're capturing your
21	experience because it does cost at parts of
22	government, state, local, federal, and we
23	need to do better together, but I clearly
24	heard a lot of what you said, but do the best
25	you can and not the least should be a

guidance principle on what we are doing right

2 now and I'm going to take that to heart.

3 MS. BETTY JOYCE JOHNSON: Okay. Thank

4 you.

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

5 MR. SHAW: Sir.

DR. SCOTT CRAWFORD: Good afternoon. My name is Dr. Scott Crawford. I live about a mile and a half away near the intersection of State Street and Meadowbrook Road on Choctaw Road right adjacent to Eubanks Creek. I can speak for everyone in Jackson when I say we all want the risk of another 1979 flood minimized. It was awful, no doubt. You've seen the photos. Doing nothing is not an option. However, I must share that we can't ignore the flash flooding risks along our creeks that run into the Pearl. My neighbors and I on Choctaw Road along Eubanks Creek experience serious flash flooding that gets into some of our houses and threatens the rest of them two to four times a year. Two to four times a year. Much more common than the rare Pearl River floods. I share photos and express these ongoing concerns with the

Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil

1	Works Jamie Pinkham back in March '20. Why
2	doesn't the current proposal include flash
3	flooding mitigation along Jackson's Creeks
4	Town, Lynch, Eubanks and Hanging Moss?
5	That's the first question. Do you want me to
6	go into the second question or do you
7	COLONEL KLEIN: Let me start the first
8	one and that is I can assure you that the
9	model that we developed and ran in order to
10	assess all the alternatives includes I know
11	specifically Town Creek as well as I think
12	other tributaries. So when we put in any of
13	the available alternatives we'll be looking
14	all the way up into the tributaries to assess
15	flood risks reduction.
16	DR. SCOTT CRAWFORD: All four? Town,
17	Lynch, Eubanks, and Hanging Moss?
18	COLONEL KLEIN: Yes, sir.
19	DR. SCOTT CRAWFORD: All right. Okay.
20	Second question: I'm aware that the current
21	One Lake plan involves very extensive and
22	invasive dredging excavation of natural
23	wildlife habitats. I'm also aware that a
24	less environmentally destructive structure
25	plan exists offered by a fluvial

1	geomorphologist, basically a hydrologist
2	specializing in rivers. Dr. Matt Kondolf and
3	his graduate students at the University of
4	California-Berkley. That plan involves half
5	the dredging as the One Lake plan and so it's
6	less expensive. It is also less
7	environmentally impactful while mitigating
8	any flooding through measures of just levee
9	set backs and channel restoration. That plan
10	preserves most of the sensitive wildlife
11	habitats while adding helpful downtown parks
12	and green spaces that we all want. It seems
13	to me to be a cheaper and less
14	environmentally destructive plan, which could
15	potentially reallocate the savings towards
16	creek side and flood mediation. Has the Army
17	Corps of Engineers considered this
18	alternative.?
19	MR. DAVIS: Thank you for your comments
20	there. Yes, sir, we received that plan. We
21	are still looking at it. To answer your
22	question we are going to consider that to see
23	if it's something that can be justified and
24	maybe pieces of that can be added to whatever
25	the final array might be. Yes, sir, to

1	answer	your	question,	that	will	be	looked	at
2	by us.							

MR. SHAW: May I also mention, either side if you see the study area maps that shows that 100 year flood elevation, which includes the tributaries as well. So you can see that was modeled.

Sir.

MR. RONNIE CRUDUP: My name is Ronnie

Crudup, the pastor of the Fellowship

International Church and also senior pastor

of New Horizon Church International in

Jackson, Mississippi. I'm also the chairman

of the Downtown Jackson Partners and I live

in south Jackson, which is the lowest income

census track, one of the lowest in Jackson,

Mississippi.

In 1979 and also '83 I pastored actually inside Jackson and our church got seven feet of water in it. My personal family's house, once again had water up to the roof of it and that house was destroyed. That community that we own property in still gets flooded all the time, and so I am absolutely for flood control and better flood control than

1	we ha	ave	right	now.	And	so	there	has	to	be	a
2	bette	er s	olutio	on.							

5

6

7

8

9

11

21

2.2

23

24

25

3 But I'm also here today to say to you, I'm for a tremendous opportunity that I think that lies before us here in Jackson, Mississippi, and that is I support the One Lake Project because I think it becomes an opportunity for particular communities in the southern part of this city to have the 10 opportunity to help out of all the years of degradation and blight and pain and suffering 12 to see something much better happen for them in this city. And I actually believe that 1.3 what in the past has been a problem can be 14 one of the greatest opportunities that ever 15 16 happened in the city. And as I look at what happened, once again, with the reservoir area 17 18 up north, I believe that can happen again in 19 the southern part of the city. Once again, 20 for communities along -- that will now have that kind of shoreline.

> And so I support the One Lake Project because I think it gives that kind of opportunity for tremendous improvement in the City of Jackson and certainly to see African

1	American business folks as well get their
2	rightful share, once again, of the contracts
3	that will come out of that and people will be
4	put to work. Thank you.
5	MR. SEAN MILLER: Good afternoon. My
6	name is Sean Miller. I live at 585 Ridge
7	River Road, part of the River Road
8	subdivision. It's something I just came here
9	and wanted to share and kind of stress the
10	impact that we have with flooding. One of
11	the things that I would like to see it is
12	what plan can we implement the fastest. I
13	live there. I've been there with Ms. Betty
14	and being displaced twice in the past two
15	years is no fun. Being to the situation
16	where you have to remodel your house, even
17	for me and some of my colleagues in that
18	housing area, well, not colleagues, some of
19	my neighbors, Alice Venables and Casey
20	Smalls. When the impact flood had happened
21	during COVID, I think a lot of people missed
22	the aspect happened with COVID, so not having
23	no resource and being in an environment it
24	almost feels like a refugee. That's how it
25	would feel. And what happened to me, it

1	neiped chilive of bring about Situations with
2	community involvement. After I unpacked my
3	house and got it moved, we were helping
4	neighbors, elderly. It was a couple that
5	even had COVID at the time and they were so
6	afraid for me to move them because they
7	didn't want to infect somebody else so we had
8	to move them. I, myself, went and got a
9	neighbor and helped pack her stuff to get it
10	loaded into my truck and my trailer. And
11	it's an impact again.
12	So the main solution I want to see is
13	moving to something different as I live
14	there. We live there in a sensitive ticking
15	time bomb. It can flood any day. And me
16	living there understands that any day it
17	could flood, so I don't care to see a process
18	that could take five years. I want to see
19	one that we can put together fastest for
20	somebody that lives there, lives in that

23 As Ms. Betty said, she lives down there 24 in that part. It's something that -- it's 25 something that nobody should have to deal

21

22

basis.

community and who lives there on a daily

2	an idea that I had to be displaced twice
3	since 2018. And, you know, me, I was in
4	school and working at the tame same time and
5	got kids and it was just hard. We had a
6	neighbor that couldn't afford a hotel room.
7	And as Betty said, we had to invite them to
8	our hotel and eventually we got an apartment.
9	And it was just it was just an experience
10	I don't want to go through again, and I feel
11	like we shouldn't have to go through again.
12	And it's something that here's what I care
13	about, what decision can we get to fastest to
14	fix the problem. Thank you.
15	MR. SHAW: Sir.
16	MR. PAT SULLIVAN: Hi, I'm Pat Sullivan,
17	and I'm the mayor of the City of Richland and
18	I am downstream of the project. This project
19	is not going to help with flooding in the
20	City of Richland, and I'm here to support
21	this project. And the reasons why are very,
22	very selfish. My childhood home flooded six
23	times because of the backwater of the Pearl

River. I -- since then I've inherited that

home and I'm the proud owner of a vacant

24

25

with. I moved there in 2018 and I never had

1 house.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Another thing, I was 13 years old when 2 3 the flood happened in '79. As a young man we 4 were out there sandbagging having a great 5 time. We were out there in boats, you know, 6 could fish out the front door, it was great. 7 Kids were loving it. That's not what we really need. Since then I've gotten into 8 politics and I'm the Mayor and I'm 9 10 responsible here for the City of Richland. 11 We got a plan for water going both ways in Richland. Either their backed up and come 12 off the Pearl River or they come down from 1.3 14 throughout Rankin County into the City of 15 Richland. 16

And as far as economic development is concerned, we plan around that. I built my house on the hill, my new house, but one of the main things that really got me now is in '79 I wasn't worried about a family. I'm now married and have two children. In '79 we were cut off completely from all hospitals, everything, could not get into one if we wanted to. That is something that it's, you know, I have young married daughters. My

1	daughter is young and about to start a family
2	and I can't imagine waiting around and not
3	doing something while we have opportunity to
4	do it to provide a quality of life, to give
5	her opportunity to not be afraid that there
6	would have been a flood to be able to get to
7	a hospital when they're raising their family.
8	I really think that this young lady here
9	says, we need to do more, we need to do
10	something. We've got to address this issue
11	and, you know, we always say, Houston, we
12	have a problem; Vicksburg and Washington, we
13	have a problem. Thank you.
14	MR. SHAW: Ma'am.
15	MS. MARTHA WATTS: Good afternoon. I'm
16	Mayor Martha Watts, Mayor of Monticello.
17	We're about 60 miles 60 road miles south
18	of Jackson. I hate for you all that flood.
19	I have sympathized with you. We had the '79
20	flood down in Monticello also. We were
21	displaced. I was displaced in '19 or '20. I
22	had to actually the first two days I had
23	to hike about a mile from the back of my
24	house to a road. And then when the current
25	went down I took a boat to get to work and

1 had a car on the other side.

24

25

2 I am 100 percent against this project, 3 and I'll tell you the reason why. We are 4 south of Jackson. The Pearl is our river, 5 it's everyone's river. It's not one groups 6 It's Mississippi's river from Neshoba County all the way down to the Gulf of 7 Mexico, it belongs to everyone. No one can 8 take over this river. That is just not 9 10 right. This Savannah Street Sewage Lagoon is 11 going to be south on our side of the dam 12 you're going to put in. We're receiving billions of gallons of raw sewage every year 13 from the City of Jackson. That's not going 14 15 to be corrected. I mean, it hasn't been in 16 what, 14, 15 years since they were issued the reprieve by EPA. Nothing has been done. 17 18 have no reason to believe that anything will 19 be done. We'll still be receiving that raw 20 sewage. 21 Since the building of Ross Barnett 22 Reservoir we experience flooding because of 23 that also. It has widened -- this One Lake

Project has nothing to do with that, but it

is related. The flooding caused by Ross

1	Barnett, we know within two and a half days
2	of what Ross Barnett has done, whether
3	they've shut the gates or whether they've
4	opened them. In two and half days we know
5	exactly what they did and what has happened
6	because it happens that fast. We have lost
7	thousands and thousands of acres of land
8	south of Jackson, Mississippi because of the
9	sudden fall of the river. We're now we're
10	in peril of losing recreational opportunities
11	and suffering economic losses with this
12	highly destructive impediment what's known as
13	One Lake with its new dam billion gallons of
14	raw sewage and flooding for going on 13 years
15	will have a solution? Wildlife and wildlife
16	habitat will be in peril from several
17	aspects.
18	And most frightening to me is the eight

And most frightening to me is the eight known toxic waste sites that are going to be disturbed. On our last trip to DC Mr. Clyde Waters spoke to that. He's got an environmental company that he's been in for 50 years, has been in several offices in several states. And his business is to clean up and manage toxic waste sites. You don't

```
clean them up. There is no way that toxins
 1
 2
          are not going to come down to us, they
 3
          already are.
 4
               This project is not what our
          recreational users want or ones that fish for
 5
          a living. We actually have people that fish
 7
          for a living. This is not what the 90 plus
          permitted users south of Jackson can stand.
          Our town has a permit. Georgia Pacific mill
 9
10
          that supports our town has a permit.
11
          Others -- 90 something or 100 south of
12
          Jackson permitted users, all of those permits
13
          are going to change. When you have a local
14
          water flow their permits are going to change.
          Is it going to put us out of business. Is GP
15
16
          going to stay in Monticello? I don't know.
          But the fact is we -- with the widening banks
17
18
          caused by Ross Barnett rising and falling,
19
          the river is wider, the water is shallower.
20
          If the water is too shallow GP can not cool
          from it. If it's too shallow it's got a
21
22
          higher temperature and they can not use it.
23
          Their estimations -- they took the EIS and
24
          set their hydrologist on it and it will cost
25
          them millions of dollars every year to be
```

able to use the water from the Pearl. Are they going to stay there? I don't know.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A 15-foot dam even with a gate in low water times will not release the accurate amount of water downstream to have a viable The proposed new lake is being dealt for economic development not for the good of the river or the vast majority. The proposed site had to respond to whatever flow the reservoir is sending their way, when the reservoir dumps their water then One Lake is going to dump theirs. When the reservoir holds back to keep theirs at the level they're required to keep it at, well, then, you know, One Lake is going to hold theirs back. And we're not going to get the water downstream and heaven help Louisiana, heaven help Bogalusa, Louisiana with the IP mill. You know, all of us are in peril downstream.

The state of Mississippi has invested millions and millions of dollars into our seafood industry. The nutrients coming into the Gulf from the Pearl is vital to the seafood industry. It's astounding to me that our state is willing to throw away all of

1	their effort and all of their funding of our
2	11 billion seafood industry that employs over
3	6,000 workers. This lake is an economic
4	development project, not a flood control
5	project. We want a flood control project.
6	As I say, I know the problems that
7	they're suffering here on these three major
8	creeks in south Jackson. There has to be
9	another way to do it without destroying
10	downstream.
11	MR. SHAW: Sir.
12	MR. GRAY DAY: Good afternoon. I am
13	Gray Day, and I pastor here in south Jackson.
14	I live in south Jackson. I am an advocate
15	for Mississippi as a whole and I'm an
16	advocate for Jackson and south Jackson. What
17	I've seen in the past few years we have a
18	number of challenges here in the City of
19	Jackson. And what I think is that we have ar
20	opportunity with this project to write a new
21	narrative for our city.
22	In the church that I pastor I also have
23	preschools and after schools and academies
24	and I'm excited about this project because

for one, if you don't experience flooding

1	like we do in Jackson on a regular basis, you
2	can not understand our plight. We experience
3	flood on a regular basis in Jackson and this
4	project is a way to minimize that flood.
5	Like I said, they may be facing many
6	challenges here in our city lately with
7	garbage, with blight, with crime, and I see
8	this project as a way to bring an economic
9	empowerment to our city. I see this project
10	as a way that could help our educational
11	system with the children that are here in
12	Jackson. An opportunity to assess the
13	environment and better understand the
14	environment. I believe that this plan is a
15	game changer for Jackson. I believe that it
16	can address the flooding. It can make a
17	future way for our water to be possible
18	because you all know we do have a challenge
19	with water in our city. It can also be an
20	incredible educational opportunity for future
21	generations of Jacksonians who can get
22	connected to their environment in a way that
23	now is not possible. I want our young
24	children to understand that the environment
25	is their friend and how to save our

1	environment. So I believe that this project
2	will help us in a way economically as well
3	as I heard the Colonel say, can bring
4	quality as well as quantity benefits to our
5	community. God bless you.

7

8

9

10

11

14

21

24

MR. SHAW: Sir. MR. PETE PERRY: Thank you, sir. Pete Perry. I live here in Jackson. wasn't planning to come up here and speak today until my friend, and I mean literally, my friend Representative Curry got up here 12 and started this conversation. Being the good politician she is, she was speaking for 13 everybody saying nobody wants this, nobody --15 well, I live here in Jackson and I will speak differently saying, yes, a lot of people want 16 I live in Jackson. I moved here in 17 this. 18 1981. I moved here from Neshoba County, Mississippi where the Pearl River starts. I 19 spent a good bit of 1979 and 1980 down here 20 in Jackson. I was in commercial/industrial construction business. And after coming down 2.2 23 here on Monday and helping friends move their friends over on and a couple of others get their furniture out of the houses because it 25

was flooded, we had crews that came down here 1 2 and helped a dozen or so friends rebuild 3 their houses all through '79 and '80. So I 4 saw it up close and personal then. I moved here in '81 for other reasons, 5 6 but during that time I have heard studies, I've been to hearings. I've known these 7 politicians. I've heard the proposals. 8 looked at all these things. I'm probably the 9 10 only person in this room that went to the 11 hearing on Shoccoe Dam in my hometown area 12 with the dry dam proposal back in the early '80s and listened to all of them since then. 13 Right now I live in Jackson and I 14 live -- I can walk to the Pearl River. 15 16 not going to get flooded, I'm up high. 17 can walk from my house just a mile and be at the Pearl River. I've been familiar with it 18 19 all my life. I canoed it back in the '60s 20 before they built the Ross Barnett Reservoir. 21 But I'm not a wealthy Jacksonian. I'm not a 2.2 rich developer. I'm none of those things 23 that were said earlier for the old people 24 said that wanted it. I'm somebody that's

sitting here and in '79 and in '83 and again

Τ	in 2020 and '22 helped friends move their
2	stuff out of their houses that had heck of
3	floods and watched and seen what it's done in
4	all those areas. I'm saying it's time to
5	quit the studies. It's time to get something
6	done. I appreciate where you are and the
7	timeframe you discussed earlier that it's
8	moving forward and the funding is there. I
9	support the One Lake Plan. I support getting
10	something done, and One Lake Plan is the best
11	plan I've seen over the Two Lake and
12	expanding Two Lake plus a lake or expanding a
13	levee, all of those have been gone through
14	over the years. I just want to see something
15	done. And I'm glad to see the Secretary and
16	everybody else moving that way. Thank you.
17	MR. SHAW: Sir.
18	MR. JOHN HORN: Thank you. And to
19	Colonel Gray and your team members, we
20	appreciate your presence here, your mission
21	to find out what the right decision needs to
22	be to go forward and solve this problem.
23	We're talking about a problem that is more
24	than 40 years old without a solution. We've
25	been waiting for a solution for flood control

2	century. And we don't have it yet, but have
3	something within our sights and it's the One
4	Lake Project. So as a State Senator I'm John
5	Horn. I'm a 31 year veteran of the State
6	Senate and I've been waiting for a solution
7	for several decades now. I will say that in
8	the large part of my career as a legislator I
9	focused on economic development and I have a
10	number of years and served as chairman of
11	that committee for several as well as for
12	several years in the field. And I will say
13	that my focus has been particularly on
14	development in and around the metro area. A
15	lot of the development working with
16	minorities, small business contractors as
17	well as a general economic side of things in
18	the metro area.
19	Prior to my election I was fortunate
20	enough to have included as my jobs running
21	the Governor's office for federal-state

and in this community for almost a half

programs serving as the director for the

State of Mississippi. And so my introduction

to this project factors in and forms from

those experiences that I had in this case as

1	a policy maker and how we manage flood
2	control. But also as an economic developer,
3	we need to look at how we leverage this
4	project in addition to dealing with the
5	solution of flood control and other problems
6	that brings to be able to do and create
7	jobs and create economies around what this
8	opportunity presents. I also looked at a
9	federal-state program initially and seeing
10	what the challenges are to even get a project
11	that combines resources of the federal
12	government as well as state and local
13	government. And as a tourism developer, I
14	have been involved in recreational
15	development and creating destinations in
16	Mississippi that we can attract businesses
17	and tourists to.
18	So I say that in conclusion, of this
19	project, probably addresses three major
20	problems that we got in Jackson. One is
21	fresh water and waste water insecurity right
22	now. And somebody mentioned that we've
23	got down river we've got issues with raw
24	sewage and whatnot, that's not going to be
25	addressed by this project. This project

1	that's going to be addressed through a
2	completion of the consent decree that a
3	federal judge currently has under his
4	authority giving responsibility to a 3rd
5	party administrator who's been already
6	assigned to deal with our
7	fresh-water/drinking water problem. He's
8	going to get that waste water issue, and I
9	think the raw sewage issue is going to be
10	addressed in that regard. This has nothing
11	to do with that issue that's downstream. One
12	Lake has nothing to do with that. But I
13	think that the locally proposed flood control
14	plan, we've got it's option C if I
15	remember correctly, solves all three of these
16	challenges that we've got. We've got
17	problems with fresh water, waste water
18	insecurity, got a problem with flooding and
19	we have the issue of economic development.
20	The plan provides protection for minority
21	groups that haven't been protected in
22	decades. I'm talking about communities that
23	we call (inaudible), which is down in the
24	south part of downtown Jackson, not to
25	mention homes that get flooded over in

Τ	northeast Jackson, as well as the nomes that
2	are flooded down in south Jackson, but it
3	also makes possibility for us to create a
4	water treatment facility for south Jackson
5	that's had a lot of issues with regard to
6	safe delivery of water to that part of town.
7	It makes possible for us to construct a water
8	treatment facility, if needed, and to
9	reconnect Jackson residents to an urban water
10	front. The Jackson economy needs this shot
11	in the arm. And I'm not saying that this is
12	all about economic development because it's
13	not. At the heart of it, it's about flood
14	control. It's about making this environment
15	safe for our residents and resolving issues
16	with flooding now and in the future. But it
17	also has an economic development benefit and
18	it has to include Jackson and this area of
19	our state, but Jackson will never be a great
20	city, will never be a great city until we
21	develop our waterfront potential. And I
22	think that's a part of our obligation to make
23	sure that this city is great and is
24	prosperous, that it's as productive as it
25	possibly can be while keeping its citizens as

1	safe as possible. Every other city that has
2	embraced urban waterfront development has
3	seen an explosion of economic development.
4	And I'm talking about cities like
5	Chattanooga, San Antonio, Tulsa, Oklahoma
6	City, Little Rock. Jackson suffered from
7	years of disinvestment and population
8	problems, and this project gives us a real
9	shot at reversing those trends and different
10	opportunities for small business owners to
11	start creating wealth, for medium size
12	businesses to start building larger projects
13	and longer range projects to compete with the
14	rest of the world. Doing this has had a
15	100 percent success rate in making for
16	positive economic impact.
17	MR. SHAW: Sir, can I ask that you
18	close.
19	MR. JOHN HORN: I will say this, I
20	really didn't to be the coat tail that got
21	pulled today, but I am.
22	As we say, the rising tide lifts all
23	boats, and I can tell you that a rising an
24	attempting deepening of the channel in this
25	case will function of this project is also

going to lift our boats. We need the flood
control. We need the water security. And
also we need economic development. Thank
you.
MR. ASHBY FOOTE: Thank you. My name is
Ashby Foote. I'm the councilman for Ward 1
in Jackson and also the current president of
City Council for the City of Jackson. I
appreciate y'all being here today to
represent this program. This is through the
different voices. The Ward 1 is right at the
point where we suffer flooding two different
ways. We get it when the Pearl River rises
up above 31.5 feet or so it starts to
inundate a number of
MR. SHAW: Sir, we're not hearing you.
MR. ASHBY FOOTE: Oh, I'm sorry. Excuse
me. So when the Pearl River rises above 31.5
feet or so we get the rising water that comes
into a lot of our communities along the Pearl
River, which is the east boundary of Ward 1.
And then when we have heavy rainfall across
Hinds and Madison County we suffer flash
floods, urban flash floods that have gotten

worse and worse over past 30 years as more

1	hard surfaces have been built up in the
2	Madison County area whether it through roads
3	or rooftops, whatever. So while the creek
4	flooding that Dr. Crawford talked about is
5	the same sort of thing, in addition to the
6	creeks he mentioned there's also Purple
7	Creek, and White Oak Creek that suffer a lot
8	of the flash floods, particularly White Oak
9	Creek is probably the poster child for
10	erosion and serious issues that inundate the
11	back yards of the people that live along side
12	White Oak Creek, but you're not here to solve
13	the flash flood issue at this point, but it
14	is something we get flooded different ways
15	and I really appreciate the fact that y'all
16	are here with this plan to try to address the
17	flooding that comes from the higher water of
18	the Pearl River and I'm a big supporter of
19	that. I think it will benefit Ward 1
20	significantly.
21	A lot of the communities that have seen
22	their home values depreciate because the
23	water rises up in their yards or maybe their
24	houses every four or five years, if you can

get control of that that will really see

increased home values in those communities, 1 be a big plus and it will help the morale of 2 a lot of the folks that live in that area. 3 4 And lastly, I'd like to thank y'all. 5 Last August we had high water that got to 6 about 35 feet or so in -- over in Jackson. And on short notice from the MEMA, the Corps 7 of Engineers supplied -- brought over a sandbag machine that you had there, came over 10 to the First United parking lot and in about 11 six hours produced about -- and along with 12 the help of the county supervisors 13 maintenance department and public works 14 department, produced about eight tons of 15 sandbags which was very beneficial for the 16 citizens that were seeing water getting close 17 to their houses. So I really appreciate the 18 Corps efforts in that as well. Thank you so 19 much. 20 MR. SHAW: Ma'am. 21 MS. CHRISTY SIMMS: Thank you. My name 2.2 is Christy Simms. I'm the Executive Director 23 of Internal Affairs at the University of 24 Mississippi Medical Center. I noticed -- am

I doing something wrong. I noticed on your

1	slides that you are seeking input on the
2	water systems and also on economic
3	development and I want to focus on that
4	today.

7

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The University of Mississippi Medical Center is located just down the street here in the heart of Jackson and is Mississippi's only academic medical center and serves as the state's primary safety net hospital. are home to seven health sciences schools and we have an enrollment of over 3,000 students across all of our programs. Each year we graduate nearly 1,000 students that are ready to enter the work force. We have the only children's hospital in the state, the only level 1 trauma center, the only level 4 neonatal intensive care unit, the only organ transplant program, and any specialty services that are only available here in Jackson. We serve over 350,000 patients across the state every year. And that accounts for -- I'm sorry, 5.2 million individuals each year. We have more than 10,000 employees here on our Jackson campus and we're the largest employer in the City of

L	Jackson and the second largest employer in
2	the state of Mississippi after the ship
3	builders down on the gulf coast.

2.2

We bring in more than 100 million dollars of external research funding and that brings in researchers into Mississippi from outside of the state. So all together we have a 1.8 billion dollar annual budget that is quite a significant contributor to the City of Jackson both directly and indirectly.

I say all that to say that we bring a lot to the city. We care a lot about the future of the city and we want to continue to grow in all of our missions and want to grow with Jackson hand in hand. So as we think about our future growth one of the things that's very important is the reliability and consistency of city services and utilities including water, sewer, flood control, and while it's true that we have our own domestic well water systems on the main campus as most large hospital systems do, we are relying on the city for water for all of our numerous off campus clinics including the Jackson Medical Mall to ensure our people are

1	healthy.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

In many cases our water supply system is disrupted in the City of Jackson, we have to completely suspend patient care until the water can be restored to the sites, which is disruptive for patients, but in some cases it can actually be dangerous, you know, in the case of dialysis for example.

Another key to the growth of UMC is being able to attract and retain health care professionals, educators, and researchers in Jackson. And we think we can do that best by capturing those that are already here in Jackson. You know, like I said, we have so many students that are graduating from our programs but there are several higher education institutions here in Jackson that are educating highly trained capable young people who seek to live in a vibrant city where they can live and work and raise their families. And so we believe investing in our city's critical water infrastructure will signal to the next generation that there's a foundation here on which to build a career and to build a future.

1	It will also help counter the national
2	narrative that Jackson is the city that's
3	plagued by insurmountable and ongoing water
4	problems, which is a message that works
5	against all of our collective efforts to
6	attract students, faculty, and researchers to
7	our city.
8	And then finally from a health
9	perspective, benefits of reliable clean water
10	can not be overstated. Mississippi suffers
11	from a myriad of health challenges. Many
12	chronic diseases that are exacerbated by lack
13	of health care access, exercise, and healthy
14	food. Of course, having healthy clean water
15	in communities that are safe from flooding
16	and exposure to sewage is critical. By
17	investing in the quality of life for
18	Jacksonians, a better health of our
19	communities and ultimately a healthier state.
20	Thank you for the opportunity.
21	MR. SHAW: All right.
22	MR. TOM TROXLER: Yes. Thank y'all for
23	being here. My name is Tom Troxler. I'm the
24	executive director of Rankin First, also on
25	the Executive Committee for the Greater

1	Jackson	Partnership	and	Greater	Jackson	Life.
2	T'll he	quick				

Obviously, the floods have been mentioned. They previously had a traumatic effect on Rankin County, especially the flow of the Pearl in Richland areas as the mayor of Richland said. But it created hundreds of millions of dollars of loss and we certainly support any type of flood control project, this particular project here today to stop the flooding.

From the economic development standpoint, we get questions all the time now because of the flooding and the international and national press that covers the flooding and water issues in Jackson, so we're very supportive of a strong Jackson, a resilient economic led by a vibrant Jackson because it hurts the whole metro area. And I know Jeff behind me will speak to this, but what happens in Jackson affects the whole area because if you're in Chicago or you're in London and you have a prospect and trying to bring industry to Mississippi, all you see is the negative news. They don't know Rankin

- 1 County. They don't know Madison County.
- They don't even know Hinds County. But they
- 3 know Jackson because that's what we're
- 4 reporting on. So we support any project that
- 5 creates a stronger Jackson and creates a
- 6 project like this.
- 7 And one last thing that hasn't been
- 8 brought up today is a water feature such as
- 9 this, on an economic development standpoint,
- will very much help the brain drain that we
- 11 experience right now in our area. It will
- bring the quality of life, the type of things
- that young people that are graduating from
- 14 college, it will bring some of the wildlife
- that they're interested in, the lakes and the
- items and all those things, it will help
- create an area that young people will want to
- live and it will help stop some of the brain
- 19 drain from our local universities as well as
- 20 our own children and grandchildren when they
- graduate wanting to stay in the Jackson area.
- Thank you very much.
- MR. SHAW: Sir.
- MR. ANDY HIGGINS: Good afternoon. My
- name is Andy Higgins, and I want to talk to

Τ	you from a couple of perspectives that I
2	observed on this project and how it affects
3	people down south and south of town here. As
4	a young child I can remember in 1979 going
5	and wading in the water and unloading and
6	moving furniture at my grandfather's house in
7	the Rockport community in Copiah County that
8	flooded. And so I'm very sympathetic to
9	those of you that experience floodings
10	that has experienced flooding since '79 and,
11	you know, I am concerned about it. But as a
12	farmer I've years ago in the '90s I rented
13	land in Lawrence County and along the banks
14	of the river and year after year I
15	saw the effects of at that time I believe
16	it was mismanagement of the river and how it
17	eroded the banks of the river. I continue to
18	see that today. I also own land in Jefferson
19	Davis County and have two miles of a
20	tributary, Silver Creek, that flows to the
21	Pearl River south of Monticello. And I know
22	whatever I do on that creek, it affects those
23	above me and below me. If I worked on it,
24	widened it, those effects are going to flow
25	downstream and also have effects upstream as

1	this	project	will	that	we're	talking	about
2	today	y .					

2.2

In my day job I'm Executive Director of the Mississippi Cattleman's Association, and I represent members from one end of the state to the other. And the only problems I hear anything dealing with the Pearl River are those that live below Jackson below the Ross Barnett Reservoir. And it's primarily with erosion. I can cite one of them in Lawrence County that's lost approximately 40 acres of land as a result of erosion along the banks. Just this past week, we see in the news of a graveyard with a coffin exposed along the banks of the river due to erosion along the banks.

Recently I had a misguided step and I entered politics and I'm the Senator elect unopposed in District 35, which covers

Copiah, Simpson, Lawrence, and Jefferson

Davis Counties, so I have a large portion of the Pearl River along its banks. And when I talk to people in that district, bank erosion is the primary concern that I hear on the Pearl River and it's a valid concern. And so

1	I'll sum up my comments real quickly in that
2	we look back and can see a big change from
3	the time that the Ross Barnett Reservoir put
4	in to the river down below it and I believe
5	those people were convinced that another man
6	made structure will do anything but make more
7	problems for us. Thank you.

MR. JEFF RENT: Good afternoon. My name is Jeff Rent, and I'm the president and CEO of the Greater Jackson Chamber Partnership.

We're probably the city's oldest and largest chamber of commerce. We cover Hinds, Rankin, and Madison Counties. We have approximately 1,400 members, and half of them are in the City of Jackson.

My other part of my job is also to industrial improvement and economic development under the umbrella of Greater Jackson Alliance. We go out and conduct traditional economic development and industrial recruitment activities all over the country. And as Mr. Troxler said before me, when we leave the area that we're identified solely through the name Jackson and the headlines have been devastating

1	lately to some of our prospects with the
2	water issues, repetitive flooding, repetitive
3	loss, and how that acts as a barrier to
4	recruiting companies besides the drinking
5	water issues, with repetitive flooding and
6	repetitive loss, you're talking about how it
7	affects your work force and your potential
8	work force. You would have a work force that
9	is unsure if they're going to be able to get
10	to work due to being cut off due to flooding,
11	or they're going to be displaced. You may
12	have a business that gets cut off. Well,
13	then you don't have customers who are able to
14	access that business.
15	And so the effect compounds itself and
16	companies decide to locate elsewhere. And

And so the effect compounds itself and companies decide to locate elsewhere. And we -- that's what they need, more economic development. We want good jobs. We want better jobs and we work hard every day to try to make that happen here in the City of Jackson and Hinds County and Rankin and Madison Counties. So what happens in Jackson affects the entire region.

Also, we have a river, an amazing river that has also public access right now. So

1	the quality of life component can not be
2	understated. Quality of place and quality of
3	life drives economic development projects
4	more so than any of my more than a decade
5	experience has ever seen. Right now is one
6	of the first questions they ask: what are
7	people going to do when they're not in the
8	office, when they're not at work. And it's a
9	boom for us.
10	This is truly a transformative project

This is truly a transformative project and so I'm here today just to voice my support for the One Lake solution. Thank you.

MR. DON UNDERWOOD: I'm Don Underwood.

I am currently the republican Alderman at

Large for the City of Brookhaven. Brookhaven
is 20 miles from the Pearl River on Highway
84. Way back in the '80s and early '90s I
was the representative for District 92 that
Becky now represents and I know about Shoccoe
because one of the -- and there were probably
many votes that I'd like to go back and
revisit, I voted against Shoccoe because the
folks of Neshoba County and Choctaw and all
said, oh, don't put this on us. And Shoccoe

1	miaht	M [110 M	hatto	helned	this	situation,	hut
_	mirgire	WOULU	11a v C	IICIPCG	CIII	SICUACION,	Duc

- it was the Corps' third plan and everybody
- 3 said, well, why haven't you done anything.
- 4 It was Shoccoe and the state rejected it.
- 5 There was the levees, the state rejected it.
- 6 It's a history of the state rejecting what
- 7 the Corps wanted to do.

24

25

projects.

But then after that I became Executive 8 Director of the Mississippi Soil and Water 9 10 Conservation Commission. And in that 11 position I literally wrote the Mississippi 12 Watershed and Rehabilitation Act word for word. And I see some of the senatorial 13 14 reporter staff back there and some of them 15 remember when we did this. I worked with the staff of Senator Cochran to develop a six 16 state pilot project to invest federal funds 17 18 into watershed repair and rehabilitation of 19 federally constructed flood control shortage. 20 That program has now become part of the Farm Bill over the last decade. It's available in 21 2.2 all 50 states. And those of you from the

Corps, some of you have been around long

enough to remember that we have worked on the

1	The only reason I get up today is quit
2	calling this a flood control project. Some
3	of you people get up and say this is going to
4	stop flooding. It is not. You build I
5	have overseen dozens of these. You build
6	flood control structures to either protect
7	downstream because you control the water or
8	you build storage capacity above stream. And
9	if you're going to fill it up and have a lake
10	front, you are not developing storage
11	capacity. If y'all want to build an economic
12	development boondoggle, get after it, but
13	quit lying to people and calling it a flood
14	control project.
15	MR. JUAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you all for
16	having this meeting. My name is Juan
17	Hernandez, I live in Jackson. I made two of
18	them yesterday. So as I mentioned yesterday,
19	my sources come from the 2000 DEIS and the
20	agency technical review. I understand that
21	these things tend to be outdated and old,
22	please forgive, it's the only thing I have.
23	MR. SHAW: Excuse me. Could you speak
24	up just a little?
25	MR. HERNANDEZ: Absolutely. Yes. So

1	I'll just go from here and I think everyone
2	probably agrees that there is a dire need for
3	flood risk mitigation in Jackson Metro.

1.3

2.2

So, for one, I think you started, like, saying that no option -- no action is an option. I don't think that's an option for us. That's something that I think everyone here agrees on. Something that I think is also in dire need is for education on what the current options, particularly the One Lake offers in terms of flood protections.

Just to name a couple of locations, two of these have been mentioned out here by the people. Multiple speakers have mentioned the need for flood risk mitigation in northeast Jackson. And at least one person has described the need for flood risk mitigation in south Jackson.

So what's in these documents that I mentioned? Document '18 DEIS and your agency technical review has to say about these locations. Northeast Jackson, flooding will continue along other neighborhoods, Canton Avenue Estates and North Canton Club. North Canton Club is the one pictured at the

1	beginning of your neighborhood. I am not
2	certain that any of those speakers who have
3	come up here and said that they need flood
4	protection understand that the One Lake
5	Project will not won't reduce the
6	frequency of flooding nor the heights of
7	flooding, but flooding will continue in these
8	neighborhoods.
9	South Jackson, at least one person
10	mentioned this. Per comment 7058837 and the
11	DEIS Appendix C, flooding will not change in
12	south Jackson and will be nearly no
13	reductions of flooding south of Lynch Creek.
14	Now, to the last gentleman who spoke
15	about the dam. I agree that there needs to
16	be more communication about what the
17	infrastructures components are offering.
18	Referring to ATR comment 8285727, a new dam
19	will not offer any increase in capacity or
20	storage capacity. Flood reductions will be
21	offered primarily by the relocation of the
22	levee and very likely by the increase
23	channelization of the river. This
24	information has been public has been
25	available for I don't know how many years and

1	people here still speak about this
2	infrastructure as the dam was providing flood
3	control. They still talk about south Jackson
4	and northeast Jackson receiving complete
5	benefits from this.
6	There is a dire need for education and
7	it's not been provided by the current
8	sponsor. And I think that the US Army Corps
9	of Engineers needs to step up to make sure
10	that people are educated on what the
11	alternative actions you offer. Thank you.
12	MR. ANDY GRAIN: Thank you very much.
13	My name is Andy Grain. I'm with Jackson
14	Association of Neighborhoods. We work with
15	neighborhoods all over the city and just
16	wanted to reiterate Dr. Crawford's point
17	about creeks.
18	So I understand by looking at the 100
19	year event, I guess, with a circle around it,
20	you know, oval that shows that and all the
21	creeks that are within that. And I know that
22	you said that the modeling includes the

25 River watershed, so there's no reason to

creeks, I just want to make sure that it's

driven home and that it is part of the Pearl

23

1	leave those out of the plan. And so as we go
2	forward it's not those creeks need to be
3	cleaned out regularly. That's a big part of
4	the flash flooding, the cause of the flash
5	flooding events, so there could be funding
6	available for that as well as finding the
7	like the biggest problem spots throughout the
8	city along all those creeks.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then, you know, building a solution there. The organization that I work for is revitalizing the city and we're currently working in west Jackson along Lynch Street to use abandoned properties to do flood mitigation parks and those are going to be -it's kind of a test project. It's an area, it's called "the Bottom", it's a neighborhood near the bottom of the drainage. And so that's along Lynch Street. And think we could -- if we widen the scope of the project to include all those areas it would be very helpful and I'd be glad to work with you in identifying the locations of those problems. And then also maybe thinking of ways to plan in the future when it comes to maintenance, so we can always keep those creeks cleaned

- 1 out. Thank you.
- 2 MR. SHAW: Sir, you're next.

3 MR. ANTHONY HARKNESS: Hello. My name

4 is Anthony Harkness, pretty much a lifetime

5 citizen of Jackson, and I'd just like to say

6 that let's not get caught up on side issues

on this. One of the things that I heard from

8 one of my great friends in life in college

9 and I often tell it, do something less you do

10 nothing. The problem will continue. As you

point out the things that are problems or

concerns, well, let's put that in the plan.

13 Let's do something about it. Think about the

Wright Brothers. And I say this oftentimes

because I like to look successful recipes.

The Wright Brothers were told we got to clear

this, we just celebrated being in an

18 airplane, right. Well, they were told, if

God meant for us to fly we would have wings.

20 We don't ever think about that. They were

21 told no, but what they did was every time a

22 problem was pointed out, they solved that

23 problem. The first car that was driven, that

24 was a ridiculous idea. We have horses, why

do we need to build something that doesn't

1	need horses to move for. Well, they kept
2	figuring out the problem. And the next thing
3	you know we got interstates that are
4	overloaded with motor cars.
5	I'm saying that to say, maybe if you
6	don't call it flood control, what if we call
7	it flow improvement, that make it better or
8	more acceptable? But something does need to
9	be done. And we can solve these problems.
10	We have the mind. We have the will. Right
11	now there's funding available and the talent
12	is available. We don't have to hurt the
13	people downstream, but those of us who are up
14	here in Jackson don't have to continue to
15	suffer.
16	MR. THOMAS CLARK: Good afternoon.
17	Thomas Clark. I'm recently retired pastor of
18	Ascension Lutheran Church in Jackson where I
19	served for 34 years. And I am currently a
20	member of the Hinds County Disaster Recovery
21	Loan Service coverage committee.
22	Jackson does need a lot of the
23	developmental funds for all sorts of areas.
24	But flood control and the focus should be on

that. It should be, I think, on -- the focus

1	should be on the water coming into Jackson
2	from the north. I grew up in Winston County.
3	My family was living outside of Louisville,
4	the headwaters of the Pearl River. In 1979
5	when the water the flood from 1979,
6	floodwaters were not so much floodwaters that
7	came here from Jackson. They were waters
8	that fell north of Jackson in a watershed
9	area, and so when those waters arrived in
LO	Jackson that's largely the cause of the 1979
L1	flood.
L2	So it seems if you want to control
L3	flooding in Jackson the focus shouldn't be or
L 4	the flooding here, but rather something north
L5	of the city that's ultimately controlling the
L 6	water coming in. I know that there have been
L7	other projects in the past that have looked
L8	at that, but to me that just seems to make
L 9	sense.
20	MS. COLOSIMO: Thank you.
21	MR. SHAW: Sir.
22	MR. ANDREW WHITEHURST: Hello, my name
23	is Andrew Whitehurst. I live in Madison,
24	Mississippi. I was one of your guests last

night and I wanted to bring up something that

1	I talked about in Slidell. The two statutes
2	that give authority for this delineate the
3	three legs of the analysis. And that's
4	whether WRDA 2007 is whether this is
5	economic, whether this is environmentally
6	acceptable, and technically feasible.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And then the Water Resource Development Act of 2018 entered language to say this had to be economically justified. And last night I talked about the economic effects of everything downstream. The permits, the seafood industry, the recreation, and so much of the discussion today has been economic development. And let's do something to the river to make it economically attractive to keep students here or to -- all these other things. And the focus has not always been a discussion on flood control. So after some of us went to Washington in 2020 to meet with R.E. James' staff I looked up the three legs of analysis, and stuck with the economic part. So I wrote Secretary James a memo and I looked at the engineering notebook that governs the location of this project, and I noted that 10 million-dollars in location

1	benefit analysis comes from building lands
2	that are now wetlands on the batture side of
3	the levee, primarily in Rankin County. So
4	there's an executive order 11988 from the
5	Carter administration, it's a presumption
6	against flood plain development. I brought
7	that up from my memo to R.E. James and I'm
8	going to send it to whoever on your staff is
9	the proper person to read it.
10	Again, because I think points are still
11	valid. There's some factors that you analyze
12	in figuring out whether a project can or
13	can't go forward if it does have flood plain
14	fill-in, Sec 404 Army Corps Flood Plain that
15	notate against it and one excuse. There's
16	one, you know, way to get into flood plain.
17	You know, I analyzed this project,
18	looking at the factors, and I don't see
19	coming down on flood plain fill-in. I don't
20	see a way to go against a presumption of EO
21	11988. So who can I talk to and correspond
22	with about this in the next weeks or months
23	about this economics piece.

MR. SHAW: Yes, sir. If you will, there's an e-mail address, if you'll send

1	comments in they will be included in the
2	record and
3	MR. ANDREW WHITEHURST: Can I have a
4	person's name and e-mail please?
5	MR. SHAW: Yes, sir.
6	MR. ANDREW WHITEHURST: Talk to you
7	after this?
8	MR. SHAW: Absolutely. Craig, can you
9	go back to the first slide. Slide 2 or 3.
10	MR. ANDREW WHITEHURST: I have it. I
11	mean, I took a picture of it last night.
12	My first job as a law clerk with LDEQ in
13	Louisiana was help figure help the agency
14	figure out how it could avoid one of its regs
15	on that was about disposal of radioactive
16	waste from dentist offices. We had a famous
17	football player that was a dentist and didn't
18	want to pay his fees. And so my very first
19	law clerk job was figuring out how an agency
20	didn't have to follow its own rules. That's
21	what agencies sometimes do. I want this
22	agency to follow its own rules on this
23	wetland business.
24	MR. SHAW: Yes, sir. If you'll see me
25	right after I'll get you the info. Anybody

-	1			$\overline{}$
		\triangle	se	٠,
_	<u>L</u>	\sim \perp	\sim	•

2.2

MS. COLOSIMO: Thank you for coming to

another event. We appreciate it. And, of

course, Andrea and I work every day for the

Assistant Secretary, so just continue to make

sure you resend the same document you send in

there to make sure it gets where it needs to.

Thank you.

MR. SHAW: Yes, ma'am.

MS. JILL MASTROTOTARO: Good afternoon.

I'm Jill Mastrototaro. I'm the Mississippi

policy director for Audobon Delta. I live

and work in metro Jackson and across the

state. Thanks for having this meeting today.

I did want to underscore and appreciate our dialogue over the last few years with the Assistant Secretary's office more on Pearl River issues. I did want to reiterate the disappointment that I have had with how the Corps has rolled out this next phase of the process. Of course, I've shared my concerns in e-mail to the agency but I did want to underscore the value of public input and the importance of giving people adequate notice so advertising these meetings at least two

1	weeks in advance of when they're going to be
2	held. These meetings were announced, I
3	think, in several e-mails that came several
4	days before the Federal Register notice.
5	When the meetings are advertised, information
6	should become available via a variety of
7	formats and platforms including burned and
8	social media, direct mailers, utilizing
9	community organizations, neighborhood base
10	organizations, newspapers, online platforms,
11	and there should be the information should
12	allow for at least two weeks review of what's
13	going to be presented in advance of community
14	meetings. There should also be a lengthy
15	comment held so people can digest information
16	a period of at least 90 days. And given the
17	seriousness and the many years of study
18	around the Pearl River, the public deserves
19	more notice of it being engagement
20	opportunities, clarity of the process that's
21	underway, and a solid understanding of how
22	past and future input is going to and is
23	expected to shape the final plan, so that
24	local communities can get the flood
25	mitigation meanwhile protecting the

1	environment,	public	health,	and	downstream
2	interest.				

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

In fact, alternatives appears twice in which those set forth by the local levee board in 2018 with the current NFI recommended plan outfunding what is commonly known as One Lake, and during the Levee Board's 2013 planning and 2018 draft EIS processes, hundreds of environmental, social justice, Facebook, business and industry sectors and elected and community leaders express opposition about One Lake. called for neutral based nonstructural solutions to help the Metro Jackson community. Solutions that will benefit wildlife and also respect the downstream interests and the public health interest of the community at large.

And so we're deeply disturbed that One
Lake remains the top alternative despite the
broad and sustained opposition and the
multitude of environmental public health and
economic concerns that we and many others
have shared at length with the Assistant
Secretary's office and the Corps. And the

1	fact that there are more effective and more
2	environmentally sustainable, more immediate
3	flood solutions available today.

2.2

I did want to recognize the fact that the last iteration of One Lake will destroy about 2,500 acres of important habitat flood plain and wetland habitat that already protects the local metro community. It's also for wildlife.

We know that there are eight contaminated sites that will be in the footprint of that project either directly or near by. There's no plan to protect public health at these sites containing heavy metals and (inaudible) hydrocarbons that will be suspended in the air, the water, and the soil. Not just to the detriment of the local community but downstream interests as well.

There's also the issue of flash flooding. And it was clear from the 2018 draft study that One Lake will do nothing for the flash flooding concerns that people have expressed today and they've expressed in the past of the tributaries that flood through Jackson and connect to the Pearl River. In

1	fact, One Lake will make that flooding worse
2	because we'll now have an elevated lake that
3	will cause water to back up all the time into
4	those creeks, those lower creeks, and rain
5	water will actually have more of an impact
6	every time we have that kind of event. We
7	also know that the Fewell plant, the drinking
8	water plant, the one of two that worked
9	during the recent water crisis that provided
10	drinking water to 30 percent of the City of
11	Jackson will have to be temporarily shut down
12	for three to four years, three to four years
13	shut down during the construction of the
14	project because of the turbidity in the
15	water. And so there's going to have to be a
16	temporary drinking water supply found for
17	that segment of the Jackson Community. And,
18	of course, there's an array of downstream
19	impacts both environmental and economic that
20	others have spoken to today and in the past.
21	And I'll just remind the audience that the
22	Corps in years past has rejected the One Lake
23	Project. They rejected any project that was
24	a lake. And so it's really a time for the
25	Corps and the other federal agencies that are

1	now reviewing this as an opportunity to
2	deliver true meaningful flood relief for the
3	Metro Jackson area, to put all options on the
4	table, and that would ensure that we have the
5	environment, the community at large including
6	our Louisiana neighbors, and public health at
7	the core.

And one last thing I'll just mention is there was a government accounting office report done after the 1979 flood fight and that report found that it was majority human error, and the fact that the City of Jackson had built an illegal 66-inch sewer pipe through the levee that contributed significantly to the flooding that happened in downtown Jackson. So for folks that would like to learn more about Audobon's position on the issue we're happy to meet with you and share more. Thank you.

MS. COLOSIMO: So a couple of things to make sure we're clear. First of all, it's early engagement and that one is on us. We wanted to make sure it was early engagement, not engagement in perfect form going on that Mr. Connor requested. So we knew there was

1	going to be a little short fuse that got into
2	that. We didn't want things to only go out
3	in an e-mail. We know there's going to be
4	more. We haven't figured out what those are.
5	So it's not perfect, understand you're
6	probably not fully supportive.
7	Beyond that I would say a couple of
8	things that I want to be very clear about,
9	there is no situation including the
10	recommended project shutting down the water
11	supply or the water treatment in any way
12	shape or form. Anything that implicates that
13	we'd act on that. Certainly not public
14	consumption, so that is not a consideration.
15	And in fact, Mr. Klein has specifically said
16	the investments that are happening at EPA to
17	help the current situation, the work we're
18	undertaking under our Section 219 program,
19	all those things are going to make sure those
20	investments are protected as they're put in
21	place. So we need to make sure that we're
22	not undermining other things going on.
23	That's always the case.
24	But more importantly, the Corps is arms

length and so is the Secretary's office on

1	what solution. One Lake is not a priority
2	solution, no action is not a priority
3	solution, neither is the NED. Right now
4	we're in a what are the great alternatives
5	that can address the problem at hand
6	consistent with direction and that's why
7	we're here. While we are here today, want to
8	continue to get that from all of you. This
9	is the process. Arms length. And this is
10	the Secretary's office here as a part of that
11	process to direct arms length (inaudible).
12	MR. SHAW: Sir.
13	MR. TAYLOR NICHOLAS: Thanks. I know
14	we're rolling up on 3:00 so I'll
15	MR. SHAW: Turn your microphone on
16	please.
17	MR. TAYLOR NICHOLAS: Thanks. I know
18	we're rolling up on 3:00 so I'll is that
19	better. Sorry about that. So I'll make mine
20	kind of swift.
21	My name is Taylor Nicholas. I serve as
22	the Executive Director of the Great City
23	Foundation. We're relatively new, started
24	about a year and a half ago. Out of as a
25	sincere recognition that a lot of us in the

1	city want a strong state. And if we're going
2	to have a strong healthy state we're going to
3	have a strong healthy capital city. And so
4	as we look around us to the quality of life,
5	right, economic opportunity and
6	infrastructure. And so I think as we
7	recognize here, economic heartbeat our entire
8	state is in Jackson. And we've heard a lot
9	of first hand accounts about flooding. It's
10	been a multi-year issue. When I was a kid
11	sorry, this mic. When I was a kid in
12	northwest Rankin County growing up, of
13	course, with a foot in Rankin and a foot in
14	Jackson and even still today, I wasn't
15	allowed to go to the Pearl River, but you
16	can't access that here. And I think that's a
17	disadvantage to a lot of our young people
18	here. Where our river is hidden behind
19	levees that has contributed but failed
20	really. And it's attributed to honestly one
21	of our state's greatest resources being under
22	valued, under appreciated, and under used.
23	And so I'm all for, and hear both sides of
24	the equation here. Clean water not just to
25	fish, right, swim in it, but at the same time

⊥	we need a refrable levee Structure that s
2	going to protect our citizens. And so I'm
3	for the and I understand that this has
4	gone multi year, 15 plus years of review,
5	thank you all for talking about that so I'll
6	speak up. That moving the weir from where it
7	is now south can provide clean reliable
8	drinking water, we just saw last year that
9	flooding exacerbated our drinking water. It
10	does provide the ability for our community to
11	actually access and engage our river, right.
12	So I see an opportunity for a restoration and
13	resiliency project. That to me is huge.
14	I'm going to keep my comments brief I
15	promise, probably under three minutes.
16	Forgive my rambling and I'm going to
17	encourage you all to engage in the final
18	review process, that we have a once in a
19	lifetime opportunity to make a generational
20	impact, right. To boldly move forward with
21	something that will have up-sized impacts on
22	our most challenged residents. And it's not
23	just our choice to do this in my opinion,
24	it's our duty. I speak on behalf of a lot of
25	people who live in this area, Rankin, Hinds,

1	our capital city matters. So in times like
2	this when we have resources, an opportunity
3	to take action and do good, right, these
4	times are few and far between. So let's not
5	waste this opportunity, all right. Let's
6	embrace the Pearl River, you know. I'd love
7	to restore it. I'd love to be sure that
8	Jackson will be resilient. So to that extent
9	I thank you for your time here, thanks for
10	everything you guys are doing and I yield the
11	mic. Thanks.
12	MR. SHAW: Last opportunities. Any
13	further feedback? Any closing remarks, Sir,
14	Ma'am?
15	COLONEL KLEIN: Ladies and Gentlemen,
16	again, thanks for coming out this afternoon.
17	A lot of very good feedback that will work
18	it's way into the future analysis. Remember,
19	we're going to do this again at 6:00 so maybe
20	we'll see some of y'all there.
21	
22	(Hearing concluded at 3:06 p.m.)
23	
24	

1	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
2	I, Dawn Dillard, Court Reporter and
3	Notary Public, in and for the State of
4	Mississippi, hereby certify that the foregoing
5	contains a true and correct transcript of the
6	public hearing of USACE Pearl River Flood Risk
7	Management Project, as taken by me in the
8	aforementioned matter at the time and place
9	heretofore stated, as taken by stenotype and later
10	reduced to typewritten form under my supervision
11	by means of computer-aided transcription.
12	I further certify that under the
13	authority vested in me by the State of Mississippi
14	that the witness was placed under oath by me to
15	truthfully answer all questions in the matter.
16	I further certify that, to the best of
17	my knowledge, I am not in the employ of or related
18	to any party in this matter and have no interest,
19	monetary or otherwise, in the final outcome of
20	this matter.
21	Witness my signature and seal this the
22	4th day of August, 2023.
23	DAWN DILLARD, #1763
24	CCR My Commission Expires:
25	March 7, 2025