

CAP PCA CHECKLIST

I. BASIC INFORMATION:

- a. Name of Project/Location: Red Chute Bayou, Bossier Parish, LA
- b. Authority: ___ Section 14 ___ Section 103
 ___ Section 107 ___ Section 111
 X Section 205 ___ Section 208
- c. Non-Federal Sponsor(s): Bossier Levee District
- d. Scheduled date for execution of the PCA: September 2003
- e. Scheduled Advertisement Date: January 2004
- f. Scheduled Award Date: May 2004
- g. Scheduled Project Completion Date: February 2005

II. PROJECT DOCUMENTS:

- a. Title/Date/Approving Authority of Project Report Supporting PCA: Red Chute Bayou, Bossier Parish, LA / March 2003 / Commander, Mississippi Valley Division.
- b. Project Management Plan Approval Date: Included in Detailed Project Report subject to CEMVD approval.
- c. Estimated Implementation Cost: \$1,758,000
- d. Date Cost Estimate Prepared and Price Level: May 2002, March 2002
- e. Date of Latest Economic Analysis: September 2002
- f. Current Economics: BCR 1.66 @ 6-1/8% FY 02

III. PROJECT SPECIFIC: ANSWER QUESTION(S) ADDRESSING SPECIFIC AUTHORITY FOR PROJECT.

a. Section 103:

Is a separable recreation feature included in the project? Yes No

b. Section 107:

Is material from the navigation channel being placed on a beach? Yes No

If Yes, is disposal on the beach the least cost alternative? Yes No

If No, does the PCA reflect 50/50 cost sharing of the additional cost for disposal?
 Yes No If No, explain.

c. Section 111:

If the Federal share of the implementation costs, including periodic nourishment, exceed \$2,000,000, has specific Congressional authority been received to proceed with the project? Yes No N/A

d. Section 205:

Is a separable recreation feature included in the project? Yes No

e. Section 208:

Is a separable recreation feature included in the project? Yes No

IV. FUNDING HISTORY

a. Allocations to Date:

	Federal	Non-Federal
Feasibility	<u>441,000</u>	<u>341,000</u>
Etc.		

b. Remaining Requirements:

Plans & Specifications	<u>399,800</u>	
Construction	<u>742,800</u>	<u>615,200</u>
Etc.		

c. Total Allocations: 1,583,600 956,200

V. COST SHARING SUMMARY:

Purpose (s)	Non- Fed Cash	Non-Fed LERRD	Total Non-Fed Share	Federal Share	Total Implementation Cost
Flood control	<u>591,200</u>	<u>24,000</u>	<u>615,200</u>	<u>1,142,600</u>	<u>1,757,800</u>
Total	<u>591,200</u>	<u>24,000</u>	<u>615,200</u>	<u>1,142,600</u>	<u>1,757,800</u>

a. Annual Non-Fed OMRR&R Costs As Applicable \$5,000

b. Source of Non-Federal Funds: Funds from annual budget of Bossier Levee District, Bossier Parish Police Jury, and Bossier City. Bossier Levee District will sign the PCA.

c. Source, Amount, & Date of Authorization From Granting Agency for Other Agency Funds (Attach Authorizing Letter) N/A

d. Status of Sponsor Obtaining Funds: Funds are included in the annual budgets of the sponsors. Financing Plan is included in Detailed Project Report.

VI. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

a. Sub-agreement Required for Sponsor to Perform: ___ Yes X No Describe the Sub-agreement and How It Relates to the Sponsor's Requirements Under the PCA _____

b. As Applicable, Describe the Allocation of Costs Among the Multiple Sponsors: N/A

c. As Applicable Describe Special Cost Sharing IAW Section 1156, Public Law 99-662. N/A

d. List and Provide Cost Estimates For Betterments to be Funded by the Sponsor: N/A

VII. REVIEW BY NON-FEDERAL SPONSOR AND ITS COUNSEL

- a. Does the non-Federal sponsor concur in the PCA as submitted? Yes No
- b. Has PCA as submitted , including the Certificate of Authority, been reviewed by the non-Federal sponsor's counsel? Yes No

VIII. CERTIFICATION FOR DELEGATED PCA's:

a. PROJECT PLAN

Has the Project Report (Item IIa) been approved by the Director of Civil Works or other official to which authority has been delegated? Yes No

Project Report is currently under review at MSC and will be concurrently approved with the PCA package.

If there were conditions associated with the approval, have they been resolved with the organizational level attaching the conditions? Yes No N/A

If the project to be described in the PCA deviates from the approved project document plan, was it approved by the approving authority? Yes No N/A

b. FUNDING

If funds were added by Congress, has guidance been furnished by HQUSACE regarding the scope of the project to be built and any limiting language to be included in the PCA? Yes No N/A

Does the proposal comply with HQUSACE Congressional add guidance? Yes No N/A

c. AUTHORITY

Is authority adequate to complete the project as proposed? Yes No

d. ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE

Have all applicable environmental requirements been met and clearances received?

Yes No

Show actual or scheduled completion dates for environmental compliance.

Dates of Environmental Compliance : FONSI Signed 10/03/02 EIS Filed N/A ROD Signed N/A ESA Sec. 7 Concluded 9/04/02 CZM Consistency Determination N/A 401 Certification 5/16/02 or 404(r) N/A 404(b) (1) 5/16/02 Sec 103 MPRSA Eval N/A Sec 106 NHPA (SHPO and/or ACHP) 6/24/02 USFWS Coord. Act Rpt. 9/04/02 Clean Air Act 6/10/02

Have the costs of compliance with the above clearances been adequately defined and included in the project cost estimate to ensure the sponsor is not required to provide unexpected funds? Yes No

e. FINANCING PLAN

Has the Project Manager certified that the sponsor has the funds to share in the project costs as required by the PCA? Yes No

f. PCA

Is the PCA in accordance with the model PCA for this type project? Yes No

g. DISTRICT COUNSEL REVIEW OF PCA

Has the District Counsel reviewed and approved the draft PCA for legal sufficiency?

Yes (Certification attached) No

IX. PCA NOT DELEGATED FOR APPROVAL: PCA MUST BE SUBMITTED TO HQUSACE FOR ASA(CW) APPROVAL WHEN THERE ARE DEVIATIONS FROM THE MODEL PCA OR THE FOLLOWING APPLY:

a. If the PCA includes any deviation from the approved Project Report, describe them and the reasons why.

b. If there are issues/controversies that must be resolved to enable the PCA to be signed, describe how and when they will be resolved.

c. If the project is a Congressional add and the PCA covers more than the limit of Federal appropriations, describe why and how this can be recommended.

X. AUTHENTICATION:

David A. Jenkins
Project Manager

Date: 15 Nov 02

Ray Ken
DDB (PM)

Date: 15 Nov 02

Richard Klapp
District Commander

Date: 15 Nov 02