

**GREENVILLE INNER HARBOR
GREENVILLE, MISSISSIPPI**

PCA CHECKLIST

I. BASIC INFORMATION:

- a. Name of Authorized Project: Greenville Inner Harbor Channel, Greenville, MS
- b. Name of Separable Element: N/A
- c. CWIS Number: 077051
- d. Project Report Reviewed by OMB: ___ Yes X No Any special conditions imposed by OMB? ___ Yes ___ No Describe conditions _____
- e. Date Chief's Report Transmitted to Congress: N/A
- f. Authorizing Document: N/A
- g. Law/Section/Date of Project Authorization: Section 509 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (Public Law 104-303)
- h. Laws/Sections/Dates of Any Post-Authorization Modification: None.
- i. PCA Covers: ___ (1) Authorized Project
___ (2) Separable Element
___ (1) or (2) plus (for example Locally Preferred Plan) _____
X Assumption of Maintenance Dredging
- j. Construction New Start: N/A Budgeted
N/A Congressional Add
- k. Non Federal Sponsor(s): Greenville Port Commission and the Board of Supervisors of Washington County, MS
- l. Project/Separable Element Purpose(s): Commercial Navigation
- m. Scheduled date for execution of the PCA: April 2002
- n. Scheduled Advertisement Date: N/A Scheduled Award Date: N/A
Maintenance dredging will be incorporated into the District's annual dredging program.

II. PROJECT DOCUMENTS:

a. Title/Date/Approving Authority of Project Report Supporting PCA: Greenville Inner Harbor, Greenville, Mississippi, Aug 01, Project approved by ASA(CW) on 2 Oct 01.

b. Project Management Plan Approval Date: N/A

c. Mitigation Authorized: ___ Yes ___ No Cost of Mitigation: Mitigation not required
Describe Type of Mitigation and Whether Included in Project Report and PCA: N/A

d. Current M-CACES Estimate: Maintenance dredging is projected to be required at 5-year intervals. Cost for this maintenance dredging is estimated at approximately \$205,000. The proposed Federal action involves assumption of maintenance of an existing navigation channel constructed by others.

Date Prepared and Price Level: Prepared Aug 01, based on Oct 00 price levels.

e. Section 902 Cost Limit: \$ N/A Fully Funded as of 1 Oct FY N/A

f. Date of Latest Economic Analysis: August 2001

g. Current Economics: BCR 2.8 @ 6-5/8 % FY 2001
RBRCR N/A @ N/A % FY N/A

III. COST SHARING SUMMARY:

Purpose (s)	Non- Fed Cash	Non-Fed LERRD	Non-Fed Const. Credit	Total Non-Fed Share	Federal Share (%)	Total Project Cost
<u>Navigation</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>\$205,000 a/</u>

a/ Maintenance dredging is projected to be required at 5-year intervals at a cost of approximately \$205,000. Standard discounting procedures resulted in estimated annual costs of approximately \$46,000.

Total

a. Projected Credit for Section 215 Work and Date 215 Agreement Signed: None.

b. Projected Credit for Section 104 or Other Authorized Creditable Work and Date Work Approved by ASA(CW) or Agreement Addressing Work Signed: None.

c. Annual Non-Fed OMRR&R Costs (1 Oct FY _____ Price Levels): \$0 All operation and maintenance work is Federal

d. Source of Non-Federal Funds: Non-Federal funds are not required.

e. Source, Amount, & Date of Authorization From Granting Agency for Other Agency Funds (Attach Authorizing Letter) : None.

f. Status of Obtaining Funds: Non-Federal funds are not required.

g. Describe Sponsor's Financial Capability (Attach Documentation Required By ER 1105-2-100):

District Commander's Assessment of Sponsors' Financial Capability is not required due to all of the operation and maintenance work is Federal cost.

IV. FUNDING HISTORY

a. Construction, General or MR&T Construction Budget and Appropriations History for Project/Separable Element:

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Budget Amount</u>	<u>Appropriated Amount</u>
<u>2000</u>	<u>\$333,000</u>	<u>\$333,000 1/</u>
<u>2001</u>	<u>\$626,000</u>	<u>\$626,000 1/</u>
<u>2002</u>	<u>\$645,000</u>	<u>\$645,000 2/</u>

1/ MR&T Maintenance funds for maintenance of the existing Federal project on Greenville Harbor.

2/ MR&T Maintenance funds for maintenance of the Greenville Harbor project, a portion of which will be used to maintain the Inner Harbor.

V. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

a. Sub-agreement Required for Sponsor to Perform: ___ Yes X No Describe the Sub-agreement and How It Relates to the Sponsor's Requirements Under the PCA _____

b. As Applicable, Describe the Allocation of Costs Among the Multiple Sponsors: None

c. As Applicable, Describe Special Cost Sharing IAW Section 103(m) or Section 1156, Public Law 99-662: None

d. List and Provide Cost Estimates For Betterments to be Funded by the Sponsor: None

VI. REVIEW BY NON-FEDERAL SPONSOR AND ITS COUNSEL

a. Does the non-Federal sponsor concur in the PCA as submitted? X Yes ___ No

b. Has PCA as submitted, including the Certificate of Authority, been reviewed by the non-Federal sponsor's counsel? X Yes ___ No

VII. OTHER REQUIREMENTS:

a. Attach current Federal/Non-Federal Allocation of Funds Table Using Format Prescribed by Appendix B, ER 1165-2-131.

b. Notify Affected Congressional Delegation , Including Senators' Offices , Once the PCA Has Been Approved for Signature.

VIII. CERTIFICATION FOR DELEGATED PCA's: YOU MUST ANSWER "YES" TO ALL OF THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS TO SIGN THE PCA UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY.

a. PROJECT PLAN

Has the Project Report (Item IIa) been approved by ASA(CW) or other official to which authority has been delegated? X Yes ___ No

If there were conditions associated with the approval, have they been resolved with the organizational level attaching the conditions? X Yes ___ No

If the project to be described in the PCA deviates from the approved project document plan, was it approved by the approving authority? X Yes ___ No

b. FUNDING

Have funds to initiate construction been appropriated? X Yes ___ No

If funds were added by Congress has guidance been furnished by HQUSACE regarding the scope of the project to be built and any limiting language to be included in the PCA? X Yes ___ No

Does the proposal comply with HQUSACE Congressional add guidance? Yes
No

c. AUTHORITY

Is authority adequate to complete the project as proposed? Yes No N/A

Is the project (GNF cost plus LERRD for navigation projects) cost estimate to be entered into the PCA less than the Section 902 limit (for projects authorized by WRDA 1986 and thereafter)? Yes No

d. ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE

Have all applicable environmental requirements been met and clearances received?
 Yes No

Dates of Environmental Compliance :

FONSI Signed 7 Nov 01 EIS Filed N/A ROD Signed N/A
ESA Sec. 7 Concluded 13 Oct 79 and Noticed Again 3 Oct 01
CZM Consistency Determination N/A 401 Certification 1 Oct 01
or 404(r) N/A 404(b) (1) 3 Oct 01 Sec 103 MPRSA Eval N/A
Sec 106 NHPA (SHPO and/or ACHP) 10/30/79 and Noticed Again 3 Oct 01
USFWS Coord. Act Rpt. 13 Nov 79 and Noticed Again 7 Feb 01 1/
Clean Air Act 3 Oct 01

1/ Environmental Assessment for Greenville Inner Harbor coordinated with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in February 2001 with no objections.

Have the costs of compliance with the above clearances been adequately defined and included in the project cost estimate to ensure the 902 cost cap is not exceeded and that the sponsor is not required to provide unexpected funds? Yes No

e. FINANCING PLAN

Has the Project Manager certified that the sponsor has the funds to share in the project costs as required by the PCA? Yes No

f. **PCA**

Is the PCA in accordance with the model PCA for this type project? X Yes ___ No X N/A (i.e., No model exists for Federal Assumption of Maintenance. PCA was developed by CEMVD in coordination with HQUSACE.)

g. **DISTRICT COUNSEL REVIEW OF PCA**

Has the District Counsel reviewed and approved the draft PCA for legal sufficiency? X Yes (Certification included in PCA package submittal) ___ No

IX. PCA NOT DELEGATED FOR APPROVAL: PCA MUST BE SUBMITTED TO HQUSACE FOR ASA(CW) APPROVAL WHEN THERE ARE DEVIATIONS FROM THE MODEL PCA OR THE FOLLOWING APPLY:

- a. If the PCA includes any deviation from the approved model, describe and provide the rationale.
- b. If the PCA includes any deviation from the approved Project Report, describe them and the reasons why.
- c. If there are issues/controversies that must be resolved to enable the PCA to be signed, describe how and when they will be resolved.
- d. If the project is a Congressional add and the PCA covers more than the limit of Federal appropriations, describe why and how this can be recommended.

X. AUTHENTICATION:

_____ Date: _____
Project Manager

_____ Date: _____
DDE (PM)

_____ Date: _____
District Commander

**FEDERAL FUNDING REQUIREMENTS
GREENVILLE INNER HARBOR CHANNEL
GREENVILLE, MISSISSIPPI**

Estimated Maintenance Schedule	Estimated Maintenance Cost (\$) <u>a/</u>
2001	205,000
2006	205,000
2011	205,000
2016	205,000
2021	205,000
2026	205,000
2031	205,000
2036	205,000
2041	205,000
2046	205,000
2051	0

a/ Includes dredging costs of \$164,000 and contingency costs of \$41,000. Price levels of Oct 00.