

## CAP PCA CHECKLIST

### I. BASIC INFORMATION:

a. Name of Project/Location: Dillon's Bridge, Bogue Chitto River, Walthall County, MS

b. Authority:      Section 14                             \_\_\_ Section 103  
                  \_\_\_ Section 107                             \_\_\_ Section 111  
                  \_\_\_ Section 205                             \_\_\_ Section 208

c. Non-Federal Sponsor(s): Walthall County, MS

d. Scheduled date for execution of the PCA: 1 September 2003

e. Scheduled Advertisement Date: 15 October 2003

f. Scheduled Award Date: 17 November 2003

g. Scheduled Project Completion Date: 17 February 2004

### II. PROJECT DOCUMENTS:

a. Title/Date/Approving Authority of Project Report Supporting PCA: Dillon's Bridge, Bogue Chitto River, Walthall County, MS/29 July 2003/Mississippi Valley Division

b. Project Management Plan Approval Date: August 2003

c. Estimated Implementation Cost: \$847,800

d. Date Cost Estimate Prepared and Price Level: December 2002

e. Date of Latest Economic Analysis: February 2003

f. Current Economics: BCR    12.8    @    5-7/8    %    FY    03

### III. PROJECT SPECIFIC: ANSWER QUESTION(S) ADDRESSING SPECIFIC AUTHORITY FOR PROJECT.

#### a. Section 103:

Is a separable recreation feature included in the project? \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No

**b. Section 107:**

Is material from the navigation channel being placed on a beach? \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No

If Yes, is disposal on the beach the least cost alternative? \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No

If No, does the PCA reflect 50/50 cost sharing of the additional cost for disposal?  
\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No If No, explain.

**c. Section 111:**

If the Federal share of the implementation costs, including periodic nourishment, exceed \$2,000,000, has specific Congressional authority been received to proceed with the project? \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No \_\_\_ N/A

**d. Section 205:**

Is a separable recreation feature included in the project? \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No

**e. Section 208:**

Is a separable recreation feature included in the project? \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No

**IV. FUNDING HISTORY**

**a. Allocations to Date:**

	Federal	Non-Federal
Feasibility	<u>134.0</u>	<u>0</u>
Etc.		

**b. Remaining Requirements:**

Plans & Specifications	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Construction	<u>457.1</u>	<u>296.7</u>
Etc.		

**c. Total Allocations:**

	<u>591.1</u>	<u>296.7</u>
--	--------------	--------------

**V. COST-SHARING SUMMARY:**

Purpose (s)	Non- Fed Cash	Non-Fed LERRD	Total Non-Fed Share	Federal Share	Total Implementation Cost
_____	<u>224,700</u>	<u>72,000</u>	<u>296,700</u>	<u>551,100</u>	<u>847,800</u>

Total

- a. Annual Non-Fed OMRR&R Costs As Applicable \$5,000
- b. Source of Non-Federal Funds: County
- c. Source, Amount, & Date of Authorization From Granting Agency for Other Agency Funds (Attach Authorizing Letter) : \_\_\_\_\_
- d. Status of Sponsor Obtaining Funds: Awaiting PDA approval and execution of PCA

**VI. SPECIAL CONDITIONS**

- a. Sub-agreement Required for Sponsor to Perform: \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_ No Describe the Sub-agreement and How It Relates to the Sponsor's Requirements Under the PCA \_\_\_\_\_
- b. As Applicable, Describe the Allocation of Costs Among the Multiple Sponsors: \_\_\_\_\_
- c. As Applicable Describe Special Cost Sharing IAW Section 1156, Public Law 99-662.
- d. List and Provide Cost Estimates For Betterments to be Funded by the Sponsor: \_\_\_\_\_

**VII. REVIEW BY NON-FEDERAL SPONSOR AND ITS COUNSEL**

- a. Does the non-Federal sponsor concur in the PCA as submitted? X Yes \_\_\_No
- b. Has PCA as submitted , including the Certificate of Authority, been reviewed by the non-Federal sponsor's counsel? X Yes \_\_\_ No

**VIII. CERTIFICATION FOR DELEGATED PCA's:**

**a. PROJECT PLAN**

Has the Project Report (Item IIa) been approved by the Director of Civil Works or other official to which authority has been delegated? \_\_\_ Yes X No a/

a/ Project is currently under review at MSC and will be concurrently approved with the PCA package.

If there were conditions associated with the approval, have they been resolved with the organizational level attaching the conditions? \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No X N/A

If the project to be described in the PCA deviates from the approved project document plan, was it approved by the approving authority? \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No X N/A

**b. FUNDING**

If funds were added by Congress, has guidance been furnished by HQUSACE regarding the scope of the project to be built and any limiting language to be included in the PCA? \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No X N/A

Does the proposal comply with HQUSACE Congressional add guidance? \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No X N/A

**c. AUTHORITY**

Is authority adequate to complete the project as proposed? X Yes \_\_\_ No

**d. ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE**

Have all applicable environmental requirements been met and clearances received? X Yes \_\_\_ No

Show actual or scheduled completion dates for environmental compliance.

Dates of Environmental Compliance : FONSI Signed 14 Jan 03 EIS Filed \_\_\_ ROD Signed \_\_\_ ESA Sec. 7 Concluded 17 Dec 02 CZM Consistency Determination \_\_\_ 401 Certification 5 May 03 or 404(r) \_\_\_ 404(b) (1) 5 May 03 Sec 103 MPRSA Eval \_\_\_ Sec 106 NHPA (SHPO and/or ACHP) 2 Aug 02 USFWS Coord. Act Rpt. N/A Clean Air Act 30 Dec 02

Have the costs of compliance with the above clearances been adequately defined and included in the project cost estimate to ensure the sponsor is not required to provide unexpected funds?  Yes \_\_\_ No

**e. FINANCING PLAN**

Has the Project Manager certified that the sponsor has the funds to share in the project costs as required by the PCA?  Yes \_\_\_ No

**f. PCA**

Is the PCA in accordance with the model PCA for this type project?  Yes \_\_\_ No

**g. DISTRICT COUNSEL REVIEW OF PCA**

Has the District Counsel reviewed and approved the draft PCA for legal sufficiency?  Yes (Certification attached) \_\_\_ No

**IX. PCA NOT DELEGATED FOR APPROVAL: PCA MUST BE SUBMITTED TO HQUSACE FOR ASA(CW) APPROVAL WHEN THERE ARE DEVIATIONS FROM THE MODEL PCA OR THE FOLLOWING APPLY:**

- a. If the PCA includes any deviation from the approved Project Report, describe them and the reasons why.
- b. If there are issues/controversies that must be resolved to enable the PCA to be signed, describe how and when they will be resolved.

- c. If the project is a Congressional add and the PCA covers more than the limit of Federal appropriations, describe why and how this can be recommended.

**X. AUTHENTICATION:**

Gordon Watkins

Gordon Watkins  
Project Manager

Date: 29 May 03

Douglas J. Kamien

Douglas J. Kamien, P.E.  
Deputy for Programs and  
Project Management

Date: 6/4/03

Frederick L. Clapp, Jr.

Frederick L. Clapp, Jr.  
Colonel, Corps of Engineers  
District Engineer

Date: 6/4/03