AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

1. CONTRACT ID CODE: S	PAGE OF PAGES: 1 / 13		
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.: 0002	3. EFFECTIVE DATE: 15-Jul-2003	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY — CODE: DACA42	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) — CODE		

6. ISSUED BY
VBURG CONSOLIDATED CONTRACTING
ALEXANDRIA OFFICE
7701 TELEGRAPH ROAD
ALEXANDRIA VA 22315-3864

7. ADMINISTERED BY: See Item 6

8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)

CODE | FACILITY CODE

X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. DACA42-03-R-0023
X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 12-Jun-2003
	10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.
	10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

[X] The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer [ ] is extended, [X] is not extended.

Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods:
(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning ______ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS.
IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.

B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).

C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:

D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor [ ] is not, [ ] is required to sign this document and return ______ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

SEE CONTINUATION SHEET

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) TEL: EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR ______ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY ______ (Signature of Contracting Officer)	16C. DATE SIGNED 15-Jul-2003

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

(End of Summary of Changes)

The following items are applicable to this modification:
CONTINUATION SHEET

a. This is Amendment 0002 to Solicitation DACA42-03-R-0023, Joint Precision Strike Demonstration (JPSD).

b. Date and time for receipt of proposals remain **August 04, 2003, 4:00 pm local time.**

c. Amendment 0002 is issued in response to the following questions submitted by prospective offerors:

1. Questions: Please clarify the costs to propose in CLIN 0003? Should CLIN 0003 include subcontractor labor, or subcontractor ODC, or both? Are all subcontractor costs to be included in CLIN 0003 only?

Response: CLIN 0003 should include both subcontractor labor and subcontractor ODCs. All subcontractor costs should be included in CLIN 0003 only.

2. Question: a. Please provide an example of the application of the co-efficient identified in Section B. b. How is the coefficient derived? c. Please define "coefficient" as it relates to use in CLIN's 0003, 0004, and 0005 of this RFP.

Response: a. The following example of the application of the co-efficient identified in Section B is provided in response to a task order proposal for a Statement of Work (SOW):

Subcontractor Labor:	
Subcontractor XXX, Inc.	$300,000
Subcontractor YYZ, Corp	$169,900
Total	$469,900
Co-efficient: 8.6%	40,411
(Includes Material Handling & G&A on Material Handling)	$510,311

Note: Offerors are to complete only the co-efficient in Section B for the contract. For example:

CLIN 0003 Other Direct Costs:
Subcontractor Co-efficient: 8.6%

CLIN 0004 Travel co-efficient may have G&A, Material Handling

CLIN 0005 Materials, parts, supplies, etc., may have other administrative indirect costs applied, such as costs to procure or cost of money.

b. The contractor will determine an appropriate co-efficient based on the contractor's current and projected indirect costs that are applied to different elements of costs. The co-efficient is applied to the estimated direct costs proposed on task orders subsequent to award to provide a tool for estimating indirect costs on task orders issued subsequent to award. The loaded labor rates and co-efficients established in an environment of competition will provide a basis for establishing estimated costs on task orders and reduce the administrative burden of providing certified cost and pricing data on each task order, as well as, reduce the effort to determine fair and reasonable costs on individual task orders. The fixed fee will be established based on loaded labor rates and use of co-efficients applied to estimated direct costs on task orders. The contractor will invoice actual costs on cost reimbursable orders.

c. A co-efficient for CLIN 0003 would include G&A and any other indirects that are normally applied to subcontractor labor. CLIN 0004 would include G&A and admin costs applied to ODCs. CLIN 0004 is not a fee bearing CLIN. CLIN 0005 might include additional procurement indirects that are normally applied to material purchases.

3. Questions: How does the Government require the Offeror to complete Section B, as required in L.2.B paragraph 1? How does the Government require the Offeror to complete Section B, as required in L.2.B paragraph 2?

Response: L2.B, paragraph 1. Section B will not be completed except to provide a co-efficient where required. As part of the proposal submission for this solicitation, the offeror is required to provide loaded labor rates for each skill level and category proposed to satisfy SOW requirements using Exhibits B and C.

L2.B, paragraph 2, requires that the offerors submit a cost proposal for the Sample Task Order completing Section B in accordance with the skill categories and loaded labor rates stated in Exhibits B and C, subcontractor, travel and ODC costs with applied co-efficient.

4. Question: Does the Government require the Offeror to submit a separate proposal for each Advanced Concept Technology Demonstration (ACTD) (i.e. three proposals), or does the Government require one proposal, inclusive of costs for all three ACTD's? How should the Offeror display the costs in Section B?

Response: The offeror should submit one proposal for the Sample Task Order. Section B should display the costs for the Sample Task Order.

5. Question: Please confirm that CLIN 0005 is a fee bearing CLIN.

Response: CLIN 0005 is a fee bearing CLIN.

6. Question: Does the Government require the Offeror to complete the SF 33 with submittal of a proposal?

Response: Yes.

7. Question: Please define "methodologies" as used in Section L.2.B. Please provide an example of performance-based methodologies that the Government may employ.

Response: Regarding the term "methodologies", it simply amplifies the Government's intent to employ performance-based contracting, where applicable, against Task Orders. Performance-based contracting is not a contract type, but a strategy or "method" of contracting to realize maximum cost efficiency and product delivery in a given contracting situation.

There are numerous performance-based contract examples that are within the public domain and can be referenced to become familiarized with that contracting strategy.

8. Question: Please clarify the maximum value possible for each delivery order, i.e., $100,000 (ref: page 17 stated amount), or $100,000,000 (ref. page 44 FAR 52.216-19)?

Response: The maximum value possible for each delivery order is $100,000,000.00. Page 17 has been corrected to read: $100,000,000.00.

9. Question: Please provide an example of what incentives may be included in each Task Order (ref. page 18)?

Response: References to incentives are hereby deleted.

10. Question: Please clarify the process for receipt of approval for release of Public Information? The process in the DD 254 is different than that in Section H.2.

Response: Section 12, Public Release, of the DD 254 has been revised to read as follows: Prior to release to the Public Affairs Office (PAO) all requests for Public Release of Program and/or Project information shall be coordinated IAW the JPSD-PO Coordination Policy and approved by the Contracting Officer's Representative (COR)/Contract Monitor (CM) and/or the JPSD-PO Director.

11. Question: Please identify which items in the Contract Data Requirements List (CDRL) will require a DD 250 signature. There are inconsistencies between DD Form 1423 and the sample Statement of Work.

Response: The CDRLs were developed as samples to accompany the Sample Task Order, and intended to serve as an aid in preparing the bid against the Sample Task. Neither the Sample Task Order, nor the sample CDRLs will necessarily be elements of an actual Task Order awarded after the successful bid has been evaluated and selected. Determining the specific elements of the sample CDRLs which will require DD 250 signature is not deemed to be relevant to the bid preparation for this RFP.

12. Question: Please identify each Destination in Section E?

Response: The following address has been added to Section E – Destination of the RFP:

U.S. Army Topographic Engineering Center
7701 Telegraph Road
Alexandria, VA 22315-3864

13. Question: Is the process for submittal of a DD 250 the same in Section F.3 as it is for a CDRL?

Response: Yes.

14. Question: Are five (5) total Past Performance contracts to be submitted on behalf of the entire team, or are five (5) Past Performance contracts to be submitted by the Prime Contractor in addition to five (5) Past Performance contracts being submitted by each Major Subcontractor, as set forth in section L.3? (I.e., Are there five (5) total Past Performance contracts required?)

Response: A list of the last five total contracts and subcontracts similar in nature to this procurement should be submitted on behalf of the entire team.

15. Question: Page 5 of 7 in the DD 254 makes reference to contract DACA76-98-D-0002, which seems to be outside the scope of the RFP? Please comment.

Response: The CDRLs were developed as samples to accompany the Sample Task Order, and intended to serve as an aid in preparing the bid against the Sample Task. The reference to contract DACA76-98-D-0002 has been changed to read: DACA76-98-D-00XX.

16. Question: Is the DD 254 expiration date of 30 September 2003 correct?

Response: The CDRLs were developed as samples to accompany the Sample Task Order, and intended to serve as an aid in preparing the bid against the Sample Task. The expiration date on DD 254 will be indicated at the time of contract award.

17. Question: Can an Offeror satisfy the requirement set forth in Section M.2.8.3 if it already has a Corporate Comprehensive Subcontracting Plan approved by Defense Contracts Management Agency (DCMA)?

Response: No. An individual plan (contract-specific plan) is required to determine the extent of the offeror's small business participation in regards to this solicitation. The plan should establish separate goals for this requirement, by dollar amount and percentages. In addition to goals, the plan must also contain the other elements required by FAR 19.704 and 19.705-4. Once the contracting officer has approved it, the plan becomes a material part of the contract.

18. Question: Will the Government establish a reference library to provide documents such as the "Survey Operations Handbook" in paragraph 4.8.4.1 of the Sample Task Order Statement of Work?

Response: Limited information is available upon request through the Contracts Office (Nilda Lugo 703-428-6272).

19. Question: Several subtasks in the Sample Task Order appear to be out of order. Specifically, Section 2.4.4 skips to Section 2.4.3, Section 3.3.3.2 skips to 3.3.2.3, and Section 4.6.3 skips to Section 4.8.1. Are there missing subtasks that need to be included in the Sample Task Order?

Response: Administrative oversight. Paragraph numbering has been corrected to read:

Page 4 of 37	Section 2.4.3	Corrected to read: 2.4.5
	Section 2.4.4	Corrected to read: 2.4.6
	Section 2.4.5	Corrected to read: 2.4.7
	Section 2.4.6	Corrected to read: 2.4.8
	Section 2.4.7	Corrected to read: 2.4.9
Page 12 of 37	Section 3.3.3	Corrected to read: 3.3.2.1
	Section 3.3.3.1	Corrected to read: 3.3.2.2
	Section 3.3.3.2	Corrected to read: 3.3.2.3
	Section 3.3.2.3	Corrected to read: 3.3.2.4
	Section 3.3.2.4	Corrected to read: 3.3.2.5
	Section 3.3.2.5	Corrected to read: 3.3.2.6
	Section 3.3.2.6	Corrected to read: 3.3.2.7
Page 20 of 37	Section 4.6.3	Corrected to read: 4.7

20. Question: Section L.2 states that the Past Performance Volume shall be submitted prior to the other parts of the proposal in order to expedite the evaluation period. When is the Past Performance Volume due?

Response: Past Performance Volume is due on 4 August 2003. Past Performance surveys from references listed in your past performance volume may be provided anytime but no later than 4 August 2003.

21. Question: Should FAR 52.227-16, used in C.5.2 on page 23 of 71, be incorporated into Section I?

Response: FAR 52.227-16 is hereby incorporated to Section I of the solicitation.

22. Question: Please incorporate FAR 52.227-1 Authorization and Consent in Section I?

Response: FAR 52.227-1 Alt I is hereby incorporated to Section I of the solicitation.

23. Question: DFAR regulation 252.227-7014 is in Section I twice, however DFAR 252.227-7013 is excluded. Should DFAR 252.227-7013 be incorporated into Section I?

Response: DFAR 252.227-7013 is hereby incorporated to Section I of the solicitation.

24. Question: Per Section L.3.H of the solicitation, please advise whether the Past Performance Survey should be provided to the Administrative Contracting Officer, the Contracting Officer, and the Government Program Manager.

Response: The offeror shall forward the Cover Letter and the Contractor Past Performance Survey to each of the reference in the offeror's past performance volume of the proposal, which may include all three, or only the later two.

25. Question: The solicitation states that cost will be weighted not scored, and also states that cost is evaluated and not weighted. Please clarify how the Government will evaluate cost.

Response: Administrative error. Cost will be evaluated and weighted but not scored.

26. Question: FAR clause 52.251-1 (Government Supply Sources) is in Section I, and DFAR clause 252.251-7000 (Government Supply Sources) is incorporated in full text in Section I. Does one clause take precedence over the other?

Response: No. The FAR clause indicates the Contracting Officer may authorize the contractor to use Government supply sources. The DFARS clause indicates the procedures.

27. Question: Ref: SF 33, Item 9 (p. 1 of 71). Item makes reference to Para L.9. Section L does not contain a paragraph L.9. Request the Government provide either the text for Para L.9 or updated reference information.

Response: Paragraph L.9 has been corrected to read: See Section L, Para L.7.

28. Question: Ref: Section B, Delivery/Task Order Minimum/Maximum Quantity and Order Value (p. 17 of 71). If the maximum amount for a task order under this contract is limited to $100,000.00, should the pricing for the sample task order also be limited to this ceiling amount?

Response: The maximum value possible for each delivery order has been corrected to read: $100,000,000.00. There is no limit for the Sample Task Order.

29. Question: Ref: Section B, Text (p. 18 of 71) and Section L.2, Proposal Submission Instructions, Para B, Volume 2 (Cost Proposals) (p. 61 of 71). The government is instructing the offeror to propose a coefficient for each ODC, Travel, and Subcontracting CLIN. Is the term coefficient a synonym for indirect rate or burden (i.e. G&A, Subcontractor Handling, etc.)? Or, is the coefficient an estimate of the amount of ODCs, Travel, and Subcontracting each Task Order will contain? If the latter is germane, what is the basis for this coefficient if the scope of each Task Order is currently unknown?

Response: The co-efficient is a combination of all indirect rates or burdens applied to the category of direct costs.

30. Question: Ref: Section K, Para. K.1 Personnel and Facilities, TEC Provision No. 7-4001 (p. 49 of 71). Line B requires the offeror to list any "additional personnel necessary for the proper performance of the work and services." As there is no key personnel provision listed in the RFP what are the evaluation criteria for this requirement?

Response: Section K, Para K.1 is hereby deleted in it's entirely. The offerors are expected to identify key personnel in their responses to the RFP in accordance with Sections H.1 and M.2.5, Contractor Qualifications.

31. Question: Ref: L.2 Proposal Submission Instructions (p. 61 of 71). Is the use of Microsoft Excel an acceptable format for the digital version of Volume 2, Cost Proposal?

Response: Yes.

32. Question: Ref: L.2 Proposal Submission Instructions, Para B, Volume 2 (Cost Proposal) (p. 61 of 71). RFP instructs the offeror to complete Section B as part of Volume 2, Cost Proposal. Section B currently contains "Undefined" Maximum Quantities. Request the Government provide instructions on how the offeror is to complete Section B if the quantities are undefined and the total number, type, and value of Task Orders is presently unknown?

Response: Offerors are required to submit a cost proposal for the Sample Task Order by completing Section B using skill categories and loaded labor rates proposed in Exhibits B and C, and by applying the co-efficients proposed in Section B as a part of Para 1 cost proposal submission.

Offerors must also provide loaded labor rates for each skill level and category specified using Exhibits B and C, and propose a co-efficients as indicated in Section B as part of the proposal submission for this solicitation.

33. Question: Ref: L.3 Past Performance (p.63 of 71). Line F states, "Offerors lacking relevant past performance history shall receive a neutral evaluation for past performance." Presuming that relevant past performance receives a positive evaluation for this factor, we believe that the lack of relevant past performance, in turn, should receive a negative evaluation for this factor.

Response: FAR Part 15.305(2)(iv) states that in the case of an offeror without a record of relevant past performance or for whom information on past performance is not available, the offeror may not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably on past performance.

34. Question: Ref: Attachment 2. There are three (3) separate activities within the sample Task Order: TEBO, RTG, and ADOCS. Are these three activities to be addressed separately and priced separately, so that the Government has the option of awarding separate Task Orders?

Response: No. The Government does not intend to award a task order from the Sample Task Order. Only one proposal must be provided for the Sample Task Order.

35. Question: Ref: Section M: Evaluation of Proposals. Statements regarding weighting/scoring of cost appear to be in conflict; request clarification: M1.4 states: Cost will be evaluated, but not weighted. M2.4 states: Cost is weighted, but it is not scored. M.3 states: Cost will not be scored, but evaluated to determine if the offeror has a reasonable and realistic price and offers low performance risk to the Government.

Response: Administrative error. Cost will be evaluated and weighted but not scored.

36. Question: Ref: Attachment 2, page 1. Paragraph 1.0 is missing.

Response: Paragraph 1.0 is the General Introduction paragraph.

37. Question: Sample Task, Para 2.1.5.7, Period of Performance (Attachment 2, p. 11 of 37). The sample task order indicates that the period of performance "shall be sixty (60) months after contract award, covering the period September 2003 to October 2008. The period of performance prescribed by the RFP (section F, Delivery Information) begins 1 October 2003 thru 30 September 2008. Does the Government intend for the two periods of performance to be incongruent?

Response: Administrative error. The period of performance shall be sixty (60) months after contract award, covering the period of 1 October 2003 to 30 September 2008.

38. Question: Ref: Exhibit B and C, Labor Categories and Loaded Rates. Does the Government have a preference for the total number of labor categories to be proposed (i.e. a not-to exceed amount)?

Response: The Government does not have a preference for the total number of labor categories to be proposed.

39. Question: Ref: Para. C.4.3.3 Program Management (p. 21 of 71). Will the Government provide a program WBS in accordance with MIL-STD-881B that will define WBS Level 3 contained in paragraph C.4.3.3?

Response: No. The Government requires that the contract provide the WBS IAW the RFP.

40. Question: Is there any requirement to define Key Personnel?

Response: Yes. The offerors are expected to identify key personnel in their responses to the RFP in accordance with Sections H.1 and M.2.5, Contractor Qualifications.

41. Question: Are resumes required? There is no mention of resumes in the RFP.

Response: Resumes are not required, but strongly encouraged.

42. Question: Are there any requirements/clauses addressing Organizational Conflict of Interest (OCI)?

Response: Not at this time. The Government reserved the right to add those requirements/clauses as appropriate during the life of the contract.

43. Question: a. Reference Sample Task (Attachment 2) Paragraph 2.6.2.
Response: MOE #1 - MOP #1 (+25%)
MOE #1 - MOP #2 (-20%)
MOE #2 - MOP #1 (+10%)
MOE #2 - MOP #2 (-15%)

** For all MOE and MOP questions: Regarding "Baseline Parametric Values", JPSD is providing values that are only baseline estimates. The intent of the Sample Task is to provide a mechanism through which JPSD can evaluate the approach and capability of the responses. Parametric values may change when the actual Task Order is developed for TEBO.

b. What is the baseline parameter value for each of the Measures of Performance (MOPs)? What constitutes “effectively” for the first MOE of the second MOP?

Response: If the question is meant to read the first MOP of the second MOE, then the answer is as follows ... Effectively means "be used to prepare for an action of warfare". In other words, be useful in providing a better understanding of the enemy.

44. Question: Reference Sample Task (Attachment 2) Paragraph 2.7.4. What is the baseline parameter value for each of the MOPs?

Response: The following are minimum starting values (estimates):
MOP #1 (+15%)
MOP #2 (+35%)
MOP #3 (-20%)
MOP #4 (-25%)

45. Question: Reference Sample Task (Attachment 2) Paragraph 2.8.4. What is the baseline parameter value for each of the MOPs?

Response: The following are minimum starting values (estimates):
MOP #1 (-10%)
MOP #2 (-40%)

46. Question: Reference Sample Task (Attachment 2) Paragraph 2.9.7. What is the baseline parameter value for each of the MOPs?

Response: The following are minimum starting values (estimates):
MOP #1 (-35%)
MOP #2 (+20%)

47. Question: Reference Sample Task (Attachment 2) Paragraph 3.3.3.4. When will the specific UAV to be used in the demonstration be determined?

Response: The specific UAV platform is scheduled to be selected by 2QFY04.

48. Question: Reference Sample Task (Attachment 2) Paragraphs 3.3.4.4 and 3.3.4.5. Paragraph 3.3.4.4 indicates an objective capability range of 1-8 km. Paragraph 3.3.4.5 indicates an objective capability range of 0.1-8 km. Which is correct?

Response: Paragraph 3.3.4.4 is correct. Paragraph 3.3.4.5 contains a misprint and should read (1-8 km) as does Paragraph 3.3.4.4.

49. Question: Reference Sample Task (Attachment 2) Paragraph 3.11. The schedule provided indicates a task start date of 1 April 2003 (the start of the third quarter FY03). However, the contract will not be awarded until after that date. Should the schedule be adjusted for the actual contract start date or are the activities shown occurring during FY03 currently on-going and will be available for input by the winning contractor?

Response: Offerors should adjust the schedule for projected contract award date of Oct 2003 for purposes of proposal submission.

50. Question: Reference Sample Task (Attachment 2) Paragraph 4.2.3. How many training classes are expected? How many training participants are expected?

Response: The estimate is two training conferences per month or 24 per year. In regard to training participants, the estimated range is 10 to 30 participants.

51. Question: Reference Section C Statement of Work Paragraph 4.3.3 and Sample Task (Attachment 2) Paragraph 4.4.2. The Statement of Work requires the submission of Monthly Status Reports (CDRL A001), the development of Program Management Review presentation materials (CDRL A002), and the conduct of the In-Process Reviews and Program Management Reviews. Is it the intention of the Government that each Advanced Concept Technology Demonstration (ACTD) issued under this contract will have a separate Monthly Status Report, a separate In-Process Review, and a separate Program Management Review? Or, will the entire contract be reported as a single entity?

Response: Each Task Order, awarded under this contract, will have the requirement for monthly reports, program review, etc... Each Task Order will likely be associated with a single ACTD or special project.

52. Question: What is the anticipated contract start date that should be used by the offeror?

Response: 1 October 2003.

53. Question: Our company would like to provide several supporting documents such as a Table of Contents, a Compliance Matrix, and an Acronym list in our offer. Will these documents be included in the page count, as set forth in Section L.2.A?

Response: These documents will not be included in the page count.

54. Question: What are requirements/restrictions for; binding and labeling, page format restrictions, page size, line spacing, font size and/or type, margins, numbering, Indexing, Tables of contents, figures, tables, Cross references, Foldouts, Glossaries

Response: Section L.2 has been revised to add the following paragraphs:

All information the offeror wishes to have considered must be submitted with the initial proposal and shall be confined to the appropriate volume. It is suggested that secure binders such as ring binders be used to assemble the separate volumes for ease of evaluation. Indexes to the proposal and cross-references between the proposal and the solicitation are useful for ensuring that all pertinent sections of the proposal are fully understood. The use of tabs to easily locate sections of the proposal also facilitates thorough evaluation.

The offeror’s proposal, shall not exceed the page limitation, and must be printed in a 12-point font with one-inch margins (top, bottom, left and right). Pages printed on both sides will count as 2 pages. Cover letters, proposal introductions, table of contents, compliance matrixes, cross reference matrices, section tabs, and acronym lists shall not exceed a total of ten pages combined and are not included in the page limitation.

Offerors are strongly cautioned that the Government will not read or evaluate pages in excess of the page limitations.

55. Question: Could the government provide a list and charters of the established IPTs?

ISSUE: Section C.4.3.4…The contractor shall also participate and assist in the established Integrated Product Teams (IPTs), and provide inputs to the JPSD Project Office, as required.

Response: This information will not be available for proposal preparation.

56. Question: Does the contractor winning the JPSD award assume responsibility for the existing ACTD's, Special Projects, and Simulation-Based Acquisition tasks?

Response: Not completely, some of the existing ACTD work will continue on current contract vehicles until conclusion of the project. Other work may remain on existing contract vehicles until those contracts terminate.

57. Question: a. The TEBO Sample Task Order SOW (attachment 2) lists RTV/Urban Recon and ADOCS as examples (section 3.0/4.0). Do we address RTV/Urban Recon and ADOCS as separate sample tasks?

ISSUE: There appear to be 3 sample tasks; TEBO, Urban Recon and ADOCS. In addition, there is also a SOW in Section C. It is unclear how to use all four SOWs in the proposal given the 30 page limitation

Response: a. No. The Sample Task Order is not a TEBO Sample Task, but includes TEBO, RTV/Urban Recon and ADOCS as examples of the type of work anticipated as individual Task Orders upon award of this contract. It is to be bid as one sample task.

b. Do we use Section C SOW as the framework for bidding the Sample Task?

Response: Yes.

c. Do we fill out Section B items with the TEBO Sample Task pricing?

Response: Yes. See above for sample task pricing and response to issue.

ISSUE: There appear to be 3 sample tasks; TEBO, Urban Recon and ADOCS. In addition, there is also a SOW in Section C. It is unclear how to use all four SOWs in the proposal given the 30 page limitation

58. Question: Does current/present tense indicate not yet fully accomplished and work remains?

ISSUE: In Section C, subsection: Samples of Past and On-going Projects, TPSO ACTD, Program Accomplishments - last three (3) bullets change tense from past to current/present.
The three referenced bullets are as follows:
• Expand PACOM & CENTCOM Operational Architectures
• Establish Operational Architecture Throughout USSOC
• Complete Transition of ADOCS to the Acquisition Baseline
Same issue later in TPSO write-up, under Accomplishments (after ACTD Demonstrations: subsection), two (2) bullets:
• Improve Interoperability With Global Command & Control System
• Enhance CESC Capability to Conduct Deep/Precision Engagements.

Response: Yes. The TPSO ACTD is scheduled for completion in Sep 03, however, some of the related tasks had not been completed at the time this RFP was developed.

59. Question: On what date is the Past Performance data required?

ISSUE: Reference Section L.2, C. VOLUME 3 (Past Performance): c. Past Performance Information. In accordance with L.3, Offeror shall submit past performance information as part of its proposal for both the offeror and subcontractors that the offeror has determined to be significant. This information shall be submitted prior to the other parts of the proposal to assist the Government in reducing the evaluation period.

Response: Past Performance Volume is due on 4 August 2003. Past Performance surveys from references listed in your past performance volume may be provided anytime but no later than 4 August 2003.

60. Question: Is the limit of 5, applicable to the entire team, or per offeror and major subcontractors?

Response: The entire team.

61. Question: These two section M paragraphs appear to be in conflict. What is the intended scoring/evaluation schema/mechanism for Cost?

ISSUE: Section M.1.4: Cost will be evaluated, but not weighted. Section M.2.4. Cost is weighted, but it is not scored.

Response: Administrative error. Cost will be evaluated and weighted but not scored.

62. Question: Given various sections of Volumes 1 and 2 critically hinge on what is and what is not contained within the Sample Task Order(s), which tasks (TEBO, Urban Recon, ADOCS and RTV operational and sustainment support) constitute the Sample Task Order(s)?

Response: All of these projects represent the one Sample Task order in this RFP. The intent of the Government was to provide a representative sample of the type of work executed by JPSD, and not to specify specific SOW's for all work current and planned within JPSD. Specific SOW's will ultimately be issued as separate tasks under this IDIQ contract.

TEBO is identified as a sample task SOW. (example of C2 and Modeling and Simulation tasks)
Urban Recon ACTD is identified as a SOW (rapid terrain data generation tasks) ADOCS and RTV (Rapid Terrain Visualization) are identified as a SOW of operational and sustainment support tasks.

NOTE that both TEBO and Urban Recce contain schedules of activities and deliverable products. NOTE that ADOCS and RTV do NOT contain schedules, making it very problematic to address these efforts in terms of time-phased efforts and associated costs without assuming a notional period of performance for each.

63. Question: Is the intent of providing background on EBO at the tactical level to expand the TEBO task order to include all three levels (namely, strategic, operational, AND tactical)?

ISSUE: Within the Background section of the SAMPLE TASK ORDER SOW, subsection 2.1.9, EBO AT THE TACTICAL LEVEL, addresses EBO at the tactical level. The referenced TEBO briefing only addresses the strategic and operation domains, NOT the tactical level.

Response: The intention is not to expand the TEBO portion of the Sample Task Order. The material referenced in section 2.1.9 is provided solely as background information. The purpose of this Sample Task Order is to evaluate and consider various contractor approaches to alternate solutions.

64. Question: Should the contractor propose fee, fixed or performance based, for the sample task?"

ISSUE: It’s not clear how the Government plans to determine fee allocation.

Response: The contractor should propose fixed fee.

65. Question: What is the estimate of OCONUS activity for each option year?

ISSUE: Corporate and individual tax implications cannot be clearly defined.

Response: The Government anticipates two "fielding" activities per OCONUS location and the associated support for each command.

66. Question: Section 13 of the DD-254 references the "National Security Manual".

ISSUE: The currently approved reference manual is "National Industrial Security Program Operating Manual."

Response: There is a mistake in Section 13 of the DD 254. The correct reference is hereby added to read: "National Industrial Security Program Operating Manual."

67. Question: Sections 13 and 14 - DD-254 references several "AR" standards.

ISSUE: Should the standard be "National Industrial Security Program Operating Manual" (See Q. 13 above).

Response: No, there are also Army Regulations that apply, such as AR 380-19.

68. Question: Section 13, item #9, Attachment 1 references an OCI Plan developed under Task 5 and potentially for 8 for FCS.

ISSUE: Could you please provide copies/access for these plans for proposal preparation?

Response: Section 13, Item #9, Attachment 1, is hereby deleted in it's entirely. In addition, page 5 of 7 first sentence of the last paragraph, is also deleted in it's entirely.

69. Question: In reference to Section L, Paragraph C, Part C, it mentions that the Past Performance Volume will be submitted prior to the other parts of the proposal. What is the submission date for the Volume 3, Past Performance?

Response: Past Performance Volume is due on 4 August 2003. Complete Past Performance surveys maybe submitted anytime but no later than 4 August 2003.

70. There are errors in the numbering of paragraphs that starts on page 12 of 37 and ends on page 13 of 37 of the Sample Task Order Statement of Work. Please clarify.

Response: See above question number 19.

71. Question: In the Sample Task Order Statement of Work, a monthly report is mentioned in several different places (paragraphs 2.4.4, 4.4.2). Is it the intent of the Government to have separate monthly reports for the different sections of the SOW or should the there be a consolidated monthly report for the entire program?

Response: The Government's intent is to have monthly reports for each Task Order of the contract.

72. Question: In Attachment No. 1 in the DD Form 254 under Section 13, Paragraph 9, Task Order 5 and SOW Section 3.7.8 are mentioned. Those items are not found anywhere in the RFP. Can the government elaborate on what those particular items are?

Response: See above question number 68.

73. Question: The CDRLs that were incorporated into the RFP as Exhibit A do not seem to coincide with the Sample Task Order Statement of Work. Can the government elaborate on whether the CDRLs in the RFP are the ones to be used for the Sample Task Order Statement of Work?

Response: The CDRLs that are provided in Exhibit A are referenced in the Sample Task Order and are intended to be used in preparing the response. Upon award of this contract, Task Orders will be developed, specific to JPSD projects and will include CDRLs that address unique aspects of that work

74. Question: Request the name of subject solicitation Incumbent and Subcontractors for teaming purposes.

Response: The incumbent contractor is Raytheon, and his subcontractors are AAC, AAR-ATICS, Cameron, Cibola, EPAI, ERIM, General Dynamics, IBM, Intecon, ITC, LMC, MPRI, MRJ, Northrop, Object Sciences, Optimetrics, SAIC, Sterling, Sun, TASC, TRW, Unisys, Veridian, Vexcel, and VSIG.

d. All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.